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Introduction

Each day, Melony Armstrong demonstrates the power of one entrepreneur.

A petite, 40-year-old African-American mother of four who owns Naturally Speaking, a hairbraiding salon in Tupelo, Miss., Melony has grown into an inspiring economic force bringing needed hope and opportunity to her community and her state.

Before renovating her hairbraiding salon—which now employs four full-time stylists skilled in the art of braiding and weaving African-American hair—Melony first had to renovate archaic and restrictive government regulations, as well as her community’s mindset about natural hair styles. She accomplished all this through persistence, hard work, a lawsuit and lobbying, and by mentoring a cadre of young, black women who saw her as a role model and guide to a better life.
Melony challenged the political establishment to open up opportunity not only for herself, but for hundreds of other women across Mississippi who might never have otherwise had a chance to provide for themselves through honest enterprise. Today, Melony provides not only employment and innovative, culturally significant hairstyles, but also inspiration to other struggling entrepreneurs across her state and the nation. She shows how they, too, can succeed, no matter what governmental or cultural barriers stand in their way. Today, Melony is an entrepreneur, an employer and an educator.

“Just opening doors for other people is something I’ve done all my life,” Armstrong said. “I’ve just begun to recognize this is part of who I am and part of my destiny, to be a trailblazer and to show other people a better way.”

“My plan, my goal, is to improve the economy around here,” she said.

And now, no one doubts her.

Melony Armstrong epitomizes the power of one entrepreneur. In September of 2009, Naturally Speaking will celebrate its tenth anniversary, and Melony Armstrong will celebrate a decade of entrepreneurship.

And while this report tells the story of one entrepreneur—Melony Armstrong—it is a story that can be told and retold through countless other entrepreneurs like her in little towns and big cities nationwide. Indeed, if the impact of this one entrepreneur in a relatively small Mississippi community can be as wide, broad and deep as documented in this report, imagine the impact entire communities of unhampered entrepreneurs could create in America’s largest cities where hope and opportunity are in such great demand.
Research Methods

The foundational information for this report was gathered during a week-long trip to Tupelo, Miss., by Dick Carpenter, co-author of this report. Interviews in Tupelo were conducted either in Melony’s salon or in other locations to suit the participants’ needs and preferences, including at church and in other informal settings. Telephone interviews were conducted with those not living in Tupelo. Most interviews lasted from one to two hours, some even longer when clients were “trapped” in the salon chair for several hours. In fact, other than off-site interviews and observations, Carpenter was in the salon from the beginning of the workday to close of business all week interacting with Melony and her clients and watching and learning about the hairbraiding business and braiding techniques.
“Small-business entrepreneurs represent the backbone of the American economy. More than 73 percent of businesses employ fewer than 10 people, and more than 86 percent employ fewer than 20 people. In Melony’s community of Tupelo, more than 65 percent of businesses employ fewer than 10 people. Melony’s is one such business. And more than 82 percent of businesses in Tupelo employ fewer than 20 people.”
What is an Entrepreneur?

The term “entrepreneur” originated in French economics in the 17th and 18th centuries and indicated someone who shifts economic resources out of an area of lower productivity and into an area of higher yield.1

Entrepreneurs are achievement-oriented, pragmatic and positive. They enjoy independence, take risks, learn from their experiences and can inspire others. They also tend to be energetic, determined and self-confident. They do not, however, rely on vague notions of luck; rather, they are conscientious and disciplined.2

Small-business entrepreneurs represent the backbone of the American economy. More than 73 percent of businesses employ fewer than 10 people, and more than 86 percent employ fewer than 20 people.3 In Melony’s community of Tupelo, more than 65 percent of businesses employ fewer than 10 people. Melony’s is one such business. And more than 82 percent of businesses in Tupelo employ fewer than 20 people.4

Because of both her gender and her race, Melony faced high hurdles in opening her business. Toni Bradley, the owner of a traditional hair salon in Tupelo, describes the business climate in the town as “horrible” for women entrepreneurs and “very difficult” for blacks, and data bear this out. According to census data, only 18 percent of businesses in Tupelo are owned by women (compared to 25 percent for the state of Mississippi), and only six percent are owned by African Americans (compared to 13 percent for the state).5

In today’s economy, the opportunities created by entrepreneurs are especially important both for those who find themselves unexpectedly unemployed and must “reinvent themselves”—starting entirely new careers later in life so they might provide for themselves and their families—and for the people these new entrepreneurs will employ—those out of work who don’t have the skill, vision, capital or confidence to go out on their own and so must rely on others for work.

Individuals like Melony offer a key part of the answer to the questions: “How can America recover from its current economic downturn? How can we create long-term, sustainable growth?” That power lies where it always has in America: In the power of one entrepreneur.
Melony’s Path to Entrepreneurship

It was a rare appointment to get her hair braided that set Melony Armstrong on her entrepreneurial journey. Her youngest son was nearly one year old. She was brainstorming what career path to take. Her formal education was in psychology; she had worked at a battered women’s shelter, a boys’ home and a state hospital, but she longed to create a business of her own. “I’ve always had that entrepreneurial spirit flowing in me,” she said. “My mind was clicking, ticking. It was just itching, gnawing at me, to do something else.”

After a 1995 braiding appointment in a salon in Memphis, Tenn., for which she paid $75 for a 50-minute appointment that produced a relatively simple style, Melony saw the opportunity braiding presented for the Tupelo area.

Her husband, Kevin, drove her to the appointment. On the ride home, he couldn’t get a word in edgewise. His wife was suddenly on a mission that, unbeknownst to either of them at the time, would make headlines.

“After having my hair braided and looking in the mirror, it immediately clicked—this hair thing, these braids, is what I want to do,” she said. “Even during the process, seeing this is a real live business. On the drive back—and that drive is one-and-a-half hours—it’s all I talked about to my husband. I’m going to be a professional hairbraider.”

Hairbraiding is a manner of hairstyle indigenous to Africans and African-Americans that goes back centuries. Although largely frowned upon as an adult hairstyle in the United States for many decades, braiding and other natural hairstyles enjoyed a gradual reemergence in the African-American community beginning in the 1960s. By the mid-1990s, professional braiders were offering their services in salons nationwide to meet a growing demand.
Professional braiding salons, however, operated primarily in large cities, which meant those who lived in smaller communities or rural areas had to travel—sometimes considerable distances—for hairbraiding services. This included residents in and around Melony’s new hometown of Tupelo.

“I didn’t want what I saw on a daily basis in my neighborhood, I wanted what I was seeing in magazines and in movies,” she recalled of the hairstyles she hoped to create. After a series of workshops, classes and endless hours of practicing, Melony began working on clients in her home in late 1995—first for free to gain experience, and then for a fee. Because she was the only professional braider in the area, clients were drawn to her, especially those once forced to travel for their hairstyling. Melony knew she was on to something.

As is the case with many entrepreneurs, Melony opened her small business to achieve financial independence—to build wealth for herself and her family—by recognizing an unmet market need and offering an innovative solution. Melony’s path to entrepreneurial success required risk-taking, an eye for opportunity and the ability to weather setbacks. Her experience and success now play integral parts in inspiring and enabling others to do likewise.
Overcoming Skepticism

Risk taking is a defining characteristic of entrepreneurship, but Melony’s risk was arguably even greater due to the skepticism about her business within the black community—a community that would normally be an ally. Ethnic entrepreneurs usually benefit from the loyalty of buyers and sellers within their ethnicity, but that was not Melony’s experience. As Melony said, “At first I think it was surprising for people to see a young black woman in business for herself, but because of the nature of what I did, it required a lot of education in what ‘going natural’ meant and its advantages.”

While hairbraiding was well-supported within the African-American community, professional hairbraiding studios were at that time rare. “Going natural” means wearing hairstyles that do not use methods to straighten naturally curly African-American hair. There are various methods for doing so, but chemicals (called “perming”) are the most common method of straightening. Although straightened hair is often considered easier to manage, the process can come with some disadvantages (perming, for example, can cause serious scalp irritation or burning). According to Melony and numerous clients, the advantages of natural styles can include the lack of skin irritation, manageability commensurate with straight hair and comparably lower costs. Moreover, natural hairstyles are not limited to braids and Afros; they can include numerous styles, such as locks, Sisterlocks, twists and variations on these.

Melony’s challenge was educating the community to all of this and convincing them of the advantages of going natural, which was no small task given the decades of history she had to overcome related to African-American hair. (See sidebar on page 29 for more details.) As one of Melony’s clients, Diane Moore, explained, “We have been braiding our hair forever; it’s part of our culture.” Therefore, because Melony offers a service that is essentially a cultural mainstay, people in the black community did not initially see the value of braiding as a business. As Melony’s husband, Kevin, describes, “People in the black community just did not see it as legitimate.”
Melony remembers just a decade ago when she wore her hair naturally, she received “a lot of stares. One day while eating in a restaurant, another woman came up beside me and whispered, ‘I like your hair, but I could never wear it that way.’” As the decade passed, attitudes changed from “It’s just braids” to “You can actually make a living at this!”

Armstrong obtained her license and began working in someone else’s salon on Mondays, when the salon was normally closed. But she soon tired of walking into someone else’s post-weekend mess and, with her husband’s expertise in construction, fashioned her own salon at a small shop where she also sold natural hair products. She named her business “Naturally Speaking,” and steadily built up a clientele. An interview with a local radio personality brought her additional exposure, and demand soon exceeded the time she had to balance business and family.

Being the only professional braider in the area, Melony certainly enjoyed the economic benefits from taking the risks and overcoming skepticism, but she also created a presence for natural hair in the community, thereby producing new opportunities and markets for others, particularly young black women, to pursue business ownership.

Getting into business for herself was an important first step, but Melony wanted to do more. She felt a calling to teach other women not only about this trade but about how to run a business and then go out and support themselves through what they learned from her. But as she quickly learned, to fulfill that calling would require a years-long battle with the government and a politically powerful cartel.
Barriers to Entrepreneurship Nationwide

The over-regulation of hairbraiders is one symptom of the larger disregard for economic liberty, the bulwark of the American Dream. Today, almost one out of three people in the American workforce needs a government license to practice their chosen occupation, up from about one in twenty in the 1950s. These laws create barriers to entry for entrepreneurs in occupations as diverse as interior design, casket selling and floristry. A closer examination of hairbraiding regulations across the states reveals how onerous and irrational these licensing schemes can be.

- Seven states (Colorado, Illinois, Iowa, Missouri, Oregon, South Dakota and Wyoming) demand hairbraiders obtain a cosmetology or similar license—typically requiring 1,000 to 2,100 hours of coursework.

- In 22 states, the law remains silent on the issue of hairbraiding—leaving the question of licensing up to state cosmetology boards or investigators with a vested interest in shutting down potential competition. These states are Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas, Delaware, Hawaii, Idaho, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Dakota, Rhode Island, Utah, Vermont, West Virginia and Wisconsin.

- Eleven states (Florida, Louisiana, Minnesota, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas and Virginia) and the District of Columbia have imposed some form of specialized license for hairbraiders. In Ohio, for example, only 37 braiders have become licensed since the specialty license (requiring 450 hours) went into effect in 1999.

- Only ten states (Arizona, California, Connecticut, Georgia, Kansas, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, North Carolina and Washington) specifically exempt braiders from cosmetology licensing regimes. Braiders are simply required to comply with regulations governing all other businesses or with basic sanitation guidelines—all without any ill effects.
Battling the Government and a Cartel

Nationwide and in dozens of occupations from hairbraiding to taxicab driving to arranging flowers, many would-be entrepreneurs like Melony remain shut out of the market by needless, arbitrary and overwhelming government-imposed regulatory barriers. These barriers to entrepreneurship create environments in which cities and states never realize the greater economic and social benefits that entrepreneurs add to their communities. They stifle opportunity for entrepreneurs while limiting choice and driving up prices for consumers. Indeed, the only individuals who benefit from such roadblocks to honest enterprise are the private businesses protected from competition and the government officials employed to keep out newcomers. Until Melony Armstrong came along, Mississippi was one of the states that set up government-created roadblocks in the way of African hairbraiders.

To first open her doors as a hairbraider, Melony earned a “wigology” license, which required 300 hours of coursework, none of which covered hairbraiding. To teach others how to braid hair, however, the state of Mississippi required that Melony obtain a cosmetology
license (another 1,200 hours of classes in addition to the 300 she completed for wigology), then a cosmetology instructor’s license (another 2,000 hours of classes) and then apply for a school license—hours she could use more productively running her business, teaching others about braiding, volunteering in her community or nurturing her family. Again, none of the required instruction actually spent any time teaching the student how to braid hair. In the 3,200 classroom hours it would have taken for Melony to earn a license to teach hairbraiding, she could instead have become licensed in all of the following professions: Emergency medical technician—basic (122 hours plus five emergency runs), emergency medical technician-paramedic (1,638 hours), ambulance driver (8 hours), law enforcement officer (400 hours), firefighter (240 hours), real estate appraiser (75 hours) and hunting education instructor (17 hours). And that would all take more than 600 hours less than obtaining her license to teach braiding.

Perversely, the state awarded licenses to cosmetology instructors to teach braiding who had no experience braiding even as it forbade experienced braiders from teaching their craft (unless they sacrificed three years and thousands of dollars to learn unrelated skills to earn the license). The result was that students of braiding had no skilled and legal instructors to learn from. In effect, Mississippi outlawed both the teaching and learning of African-style braiding as a business.

Only one group benefited from Mississippi’s regulatory regime: The cosmetology establishment. Practicing cosmetologists could set the bar for entry to their profession high (and thereby keep competition to a minimum) and cosmetology schools enjoyed captive customers. Not surprisingly, these were the same people who wrote and enforced the cosmetology regulations—the State Board of Cosmetology, whose five members must all be practitioners of at least 10 years. The Board is officially advised by other practicing cosmetologists and cosmetology schools.

Rather than submit to such naked economic protectionism, Melony decided to take on both the political establishment and the cosmetology regime, which had convinced lawmakers to limit entry into the trade. In August 2004, Melony joined two aspiring hairbraiders, who wanted to learn the business from Melony, and the Institute for Justice to file a lawsuit against the state to break down the regulatory walls barring potential entrepreneurs from entering the field. At first glance, Melony’s actions seem irrational. Because she had already earned a wigology license that enabled her to practice her occupation legally, the state’s regulations benefited her by reducing the number of competitors in the market. But Melony’s goal was not only to build her own thriving business but also to enable others to do so by teaching them how to braid professionally.

In the ensuing months, Melony took weekly trips to the state capital of Jackson (a seven-hour round trip from Tupelo) working to convince legislators to change the law. She also organized potential braiders to contact lawmakers, responded to opposition from traditional cosmetologists and engaged in other grassroots efforts, all of which meant spending countless hours away from her family and her business. But for Melony, the short-term price was worth paying in order to create opportunities for herself and others—most of whom she will never meet.
“Rather than submit to such naked economic protectionism, Melony decided to take on both the political establishment and the cosmetology regime, which had convinced lawmakers to limit entry into the trade.”
“The results of the lawsuit have given an opportunity to people who had the talent to braid but couldn’t and were on public assistance.”
— Chervy Lesure, a hairbraider who trained under Melony and worked in her salon
According to Deborah Nutall, a hairbraiding salon owner in Memphis, Tenn., Melony’s fight against the licensing regime had several important implications: “She put up a fight, and that gives others courage to believe in themselves. By standing her ground, she created an opportunity for others to lead a successful life—they don’t have to wait for a handout.”

That final implication—not waiting for a handout—hit especially close to home for many of the women Melony trained. Cheryy Lesure, a hairbraider who trained under Melony and worked in her salon, said, “The results of the lawsuit have given an opportunity to people who had the talent to braid but couldn’t and were on public assistance.” Jackie Spates, another hairbraider who learned from Melony and worked in her salon, agreed. She said, “Other braiders now know they can open their own business and take care of themselves.”

On April 19, 2005, all of Melony’s efforts paid off: Mississippi Gov. Haley Barbour signed legislation enabling hairbraiders to practice their occupation without being required to take 1,500 hours of class for a cosmetology license or 300 hours of class for a wig-specialist license. These regulations stifled opportunities in an industry tailor-made for entrepreneurial activity, particularly for young, African-American women. The only requirements now are that hairbraiders must pay a $25 fee to register with the state and abide by all relevant health and hygiene codes.

Prior to her lawsuit, Melony’s business was one-of-a-kind in the Tupelo area, and she enjoyed the benefits of being the only professional braider in her area. Through her educational efforts, more people were growing aware of and choosing natural hairstyles, creating more business. She also picked up business from clients who already wore their hair naturally but had to travel some distance to find a braider. Some of Melony’s current clients used to travel to Memphis (90 miles), Jackson (221 miles), Atlanta (285 miles) or Chicago (641 miles) for styling.

Melony’s victory against frivolous regulation opened the braiding market for aspiring braiders—potential competitors. Nonetheless, with regulatory barriers removed, Melony also benefits along with other braiders. She has greater flexibility to hire other stylists to work in her busy salon. And as more braiders enter the business, Melony will undoubtedly enjoy the economic benefits of an even more expansive market that results from competition and increased awareness. The economic pie can and will grow, creating opportunities for others.

“There’s no doubt that what Melony did has meant more jobs for the community,” observed traditional salon owner Toni Bradley. “Traditional salons are now hiring braiders to work in their shops alongside traditional cosmetologists.”

And her influence is felt beyond the Tupelo area. Since the restrictions were lifted, more than 300 women have registered hairbraiding businesses, taking once-underground businesses “legit” (moving businesses from the informal economy into the formal economy) and opening new enterprises in places where customer demand was once unmet. And because of the change in Mississippi’s laws, aspiring braiders are moving there from neighboring states. Deborah Nutall plans to open a salon and braiding school in Mississippi and is even contemplating moving there because it now has fewer regulatory burdens than her home state of Tennessee.
What is the Informal Economy?

The “informal economy” refers to businesses that operate “underground” and are neither taxed nor regulated by the government. Measuring the informal economy is, by definition, difficult; however, among those who have tried, one figure puts its size at $42 billion in 1981. As a percentage of GDP, others estimate its size at between 6.7 percent and 13.9 percent in the early 1990s and between 8.8 percent and 9.5 percent in the mid-1990s. Almost 25 percent of cosmetologists function in the informal economy, which translates into more than $400 million for this small subset of the economy by itself.

Research concludes the informal economy is a prominent and persistent feature of rural life, and women work in the informal economy more than men. More people enter the informal economy when jobs are scarce in the formal economy or when taxes and regulation make it more difficult or expensive for employers to offer conventional jobs. Occupational licensing laws push entrepreneurs out of the formal economy and drive them into the informal economy. Indeed, the data are clear: More regulation means a larger informal economy.

People also work in the informal economy as a result of social welfare systems, which create disincentives for individuals receiving welfare to seek work in the formal economy. Many welfare programs limit how much income recipients may receive and still remain eligible for benefits. Therefore, their overall income is higher if they receive welfare payments while working in the informal economy. Finally, women find the informal economy enables them to stay home and earn money while fulfilling domestic responsibilities. This is particularly true for women of limited means who find barriers such as lack of childcare and transportation, low levels of education and few job skills limit their employment opportunities.

As the table below indicates, some of these factors describe a greater percentage of black women who live in Tupelo than white women. Thus, it would be entirely consistent with prior research to find robust participation by African-American women in Tupelo in the informal economy.

### Socio-Economic Indicators Comparing Black and White Women in Tupelo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tupelo Socio-Economic Indicators</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>White</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female single parent households</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females with less than a high school diploma</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civilian females in labor force unemployed</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single mothers below poverty</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers in civilian labor force unemployed</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females below poverty</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For some, participation in the informal economy represents a beneficial way to supplement an existing household or personal income. But relying on the informal economy for a sole source of income can mean maintaining a household on irregular, uncertain and often subsistence funds. As Melony’s husband, Kevin, observes, this happens all too often around their community, something Melony is helping to change. He said, “She has created opportunities for those who could do what she has done. This is particularly true for women who braid in their kitchens. Mel encourages others to leave the kitchen and open their own businesses, has shown them how to do it and has made it possible legally. She is trying to pull people out of the mindset that living off of $20 a day from braiding is okay.”

Client Roberta Matthews agrees: “Melony shows that it’s possible to braid professionally. You used to have to braid at someone’s house, but now it can be done in a salon.”

According to Toni Bradley, that is precisely what is happening. She said, “Melony has given girls a chance who want to braid—given them a chance to own their own business. This gives young girls hope. Some girls are now braiding in traditional salons and will probably open their own businesses someday.”

With more than 300 new hairbraiders registered with the state since Melony’s victory, that “someday” has already arrived for many. The newly registered braiders are also distributed throughout the state in counties of various demographics. Nearly two-thirds of all Mississippi counties now have at least one registered braider, and more than a third of the braiders work in predominantly rural counties. As the table below indicates, newly registered braiders are also working in counties with notable economic challenges. Thus, Melony’s efforts resulted in greater formal entrepreneurial and economic activity throughout the state and specifically in counties marked by economic disadvantage.

Through her determination, sacrifice and desire to achieve economic liberty for herself and other entrepreneurs, Melony instigated change in her state’s laws that enabled others to follow her entrepreneurial lead. Now, her community and her state are better for it. Melony’s creation of new opportunities was not limited to opening people's eyes to natural hair and its business potential. Melony also fought to ensure that those who wanted to take advantage of those new opportunities were not shut out by needless state regulations that imposed onerous licensure requirements on aspiring hairbraiders.

### Percentage of Registered Hairbraiders by Economic Characteristics of Counties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County Characteristics</th>
<th>Percentage of Registered Hairbraiders In Miss.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Counties with higher than average poverty levels</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counties with higher than average African-American poverty levels</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counties with greater than average percentage of African-American single mother households</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counties with greater than average percentage of African-American females with less than a high school diploma</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counties with greater than average percentage of unemployed African-American females</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counties with greater than average percentage of African-American single mothers living below poverty</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Achievements of the Entrepreneur Set Free

Freed from needless government-created barriers, Melony has now gone on to teach more than 125 individuals how to braid hair. No longer blocked from putting industrious individuals to work, she has employed 25 women, enabling them to provide for themselves and their families. For many of these women, the money they earn from braiding represents the first steady paycheck they have earned in their lives. A dozen of these women have gone onto start their own salons all thanks to the power of one entrepreneur: Melony Armstrong. Learning braiding skills, business skills and social skills from Melony has proven a transformative experience for many.

For the past three years, Ebony Starks has braided hair in Melony’s salon five times a week and earns about $200 weekly. She uses the money she earns from hairbraiding to pay for school and help her mother with the household bills. Ebony said that if she wasn’t able to braid, she would have no income.

Stephanie Armstead met Melony through church. After taking Melony’s class, she started working for Melony in May 2009, traveling one-and-a-half hours one way three days a week from her home in South Haven, Miss. Stephanie earns $150 each week, which she uses to pay her household bills. She said that working with Melony has made a great difference in her career not just by improving her hairbraiding skills, but also learning from Melony’s professionalism and spirit.

Santana Lockridge, who lives and works in Tupelo, was actually scouted by Melony to work in her shop. Melony saw Santana’s hairbraiding work and was so impressed, she hired her. Santana earns $200 braiding three times a week and is saving her income to buy a vehicle to make it easier to get around as she is now pregnant.

Santana Roberson first met Melony as a customer. She then took Melony’s hairbraiding class and has worked for Melony since 2002 earning $100 a week. Working as a hairbraider for Melony provides her with a flexible schedule so she can stay at home and take care of her children. Santana said braiding is her only opportunity to make money and what she earns pays her household bills and helps feed her family. Working for Melony has enabled her to meet other entrepreneurs and create a network of businesses and clientele. Melony has helped her advertise her services and create a professional reputation through her recommendations. Like many other women across the state, Santana recognizes that Melony’s fight against the cosmetology cartel removed the law requiring hairbraiders to go to cosmetology school for two years and has enabled her to earn a living and provide for her family.

Jacqueline Spates met Melony as a customer, took her hairbraiding class, worked for Melony for six months and then went onto open her own salon in Pontotoc County. She braids
daily part-time and earns $250 a week. Thanks to income from her salon and its location next door to her home, Jackie has the time and flexibility to spend time at home with her children. She recently purchased a concession stand to expand her business enterprise. Melony demonstrated to Jackie that owning and operating her own hairbraiding business was possible. Without Melony’s example, that might never have happened.

Jackie opened her salon with her sister, Chervy Lesure. Chervy, a licensed cosmetologist, also worked for Melony before venturing out on her own. She now earns $600 to $800 per week, money that she put toward purchasing a home, as well as a bar to further supplement her income. Even with all this, Chervy maintains a flexible work schedule so she can spend more time taking care of her family. Melony gave Chervy the opportunity to learn braiding and other hair styles and, just as critically, taught her that she could be an entrepreneur in more than just cosmetology.

By 2007—just two years after Melony helped open Mississippi’s hairbraiding market—Melony herself started regularly serving a regular clientele of 10 to 15 customers per week just for her while other stylists in her salon saw additional clients. Because many of the styles require several hours to complete (and some take multiple days), a clientele of 10 to 15 people represents a comfortably busy workweek. Although most clients are local, some travel up to four hours for regular appointments—from every two weeks to once every three months, depending on the hairstyle. Some of her clients come in on a semi-regular basis even while living in other regions of the country for college. Sixty percent of her clients are women, 40 percent are men and ages range from young children to senior citizens. Most of her clients are black, but she also serves a small clientele from other backgrounds.

The braiders Melony employed early on were almost exclusively women in their 20s and 30s, many of whom were interested in one day establishing their own salons. More recent employees, however, have also included teenage girls. As discussed in greater detail below, this affords Melony the opportunity to complement employment opportunities with the ability to mentor young, black women in their formative years.
Once, the government sought to keep Melony and women like her from pursuing this trade. But with the government out of the way, Melony’s Naturally Speaking salon has thrived and continues to provide many with the opportunity to earn an honest living in an occupation perfectly suited to the women who pursue it. It has given them a starting point—a door to enter the economic mainstream. Hundreds of women across the state of Mississippi and beyond find themselves gainfully employed by the expertise of their own hands thanks to the power of this one entrepreneur. This kind of impact could be replicated in occupation after occupation if governments protected the economic liberty of entrepreneurs rather than the power of government-imposed cartels.

The Social Entrepreneur

Traditionally, entrepreneurship is viewed as a profit-making enterprise—new businesses that involve financial risk, create new markets or develop better ways of utilizing scarce resources. But today, entrepreneurship goes beyond traditional business settings and includes “social entrepreneurs” and “civic entrepreneurs” driven by the desire to benefit society in some way; they seek to contribute to the well-being of a given community. Through their business and social networks, entrepreneurs create invaluable trust, norms, resources and networks (or “social capital”) in a community. They inspire greater individual and corporate productivity, increased social cohesion and stronger democratic institutions.

Social entrepreneurs are especially common among ethnic entrepreneurs, as is the case with Melony Armstrong. She fills the roles of both the traditional entrepreneur—adding economic capital to her community—and the social entrepreneur, by creating value for society as well as for herself. Her work develops better, stronger and more-independent members of her community.

Beyond creating new opportunities and expanding markets to the benefit of both consumers and aspiring entrepreneurs, Melony provides an important example of entrepreneurial success and actively teaches others how to pursue their own entrepreneurial activities. Melony uses her business, her skills and her knowledge to transform the lives of others around her, an effort with significant individual and social benefits reaching the lives of many people she will likely never even meet.
“Melony fills the roles of both the traditional entrepreneur—adding economic capital to her community—and the social entrepreneur, by creating value for society as well as for herself.”
Role Modeling

Melony models entrepreneurial excellence and the success that results from it. This is particularly important in promoting entrepreneurship in historically disadvantaged communities where a lack of business knowledge and skills create barriers to business ownership.45

Melony’s modeling begins with imbuing a sense of professionalism in a cultural practice not known for professional standards. As Melony remembers, “In the early years [around 1996], most people just did not trust the idea of a professional hair braider.” Traditionally, braiding was something done by a friend or relative in someone’s kitchen or on the porch. But Melony not only showed that it was possible to braid professionally, she also demonstrated what professionalism looked like.

At a minimum, it means “not going to someone’s house with babies running all over your feet,” as Roberta Matthews describes. But for many of Melony’s clients it means something more. Agnes Spears, an African-American client who lives in a town 20 miles away from Tupelo, said Melony is a professional because she “knows what she is doing. I feel confident that she is doing it right.”

When asked what attracts clients to her, professionalism is Melony’s first response. She said, “Clients often try to do something on their own and it doesn’t work. So they come looking for professional service to undo what they have done and then complete the style they want in the right way.”

Clients and employees alike see Melony’s professional standards in her business practices. She requires customers to make deposits on expensive hairstyles. Forty-eight-hour cancellation notice is required. There are no cash refunds. All clients receive specific appointments. And she asks customers to complete a customer information form.

In the early years of her business, Melony did not have such practices in place. But after observing their implementation in a Florida braiding salon, Melony applied them to her own business. She said, “The result was immediate. It raised the level of professionalism in the eyes of customers. Black salons are not typically operated professionally. Most of them are mom and pop businesses. With my new system, people began to notice. Like—whoa—having to fill out a customer profile form!” Other salon owners noticed too; they began visiting Melony’s store just to acquire the forms because of the sense of professionalism the forms created.

Whereas before, cosmetologists hid behind government licensing to establish their professionalism and credibility, Melony sought to earn that respect through her individual merit. One professional practice that receives particular comment is specific appointments. Roberta Matthews identified it as one of the most important indicators of Melony’s professionalism and one of the reasons she remains a client. She said, “Mel creates a specific time to do my hair, which means no waiting. This is really important to me since I work and I am on a schedule.” Numerous clients commented on the
common experience of waiting for hours in other salons and the inefficiency and frustration it creates.

Worse yet is when services are never provided. Blake Foster, an African-American man finishing college out of state, has been a long-time client of Melony’s beginning in his high school years. He continues to see Melony when he returns to Tupelo on vacations. He said, “When I am in town I could have my cousin braid my hair at her house for a few dollars, but she’s not dependable. She doesn’t follow through. To me, following through is a sign of professionalism.”

Melony more directly teaches these practices through regular meetings with stylists who work in her salon in which they talk about business issues and discuss new techniques. When a new technique emerged in the industry, Melony invested resources into training both herself and those who worked in her salon.

For those who research female entrepreneurship, this is no surprise. Generally, women’s social orientations are more focused towards relationships, which means they adopt a facilitative style to encourage participation in their business. This, then, enables employees to learn valuable business skills and knowledge that can be applied to their own business should they strike out on their own.
For example, when Chervy Lesure and Jackie Spates left to open their own salon in Pontotoc County, they took her training with them. Jackie identified “the business side of running a salon” and professional braiding technique as among the most important things she learned while working for Melony. Similarly, Chervy had always dreamed of opening her own salon, but it was not until after working for Melony that the time seemed right.

Melony also trained Jackie in her braiding classes. Although some students have worked in her salon after taking the classes, Melony receives little direct benefit from sharing her skill and knowledge. Instead, it is part of her desire to teach entrepreneurship to others, particularly young women, and inspire and compel them to pursue business ownership. As her husband, Kevin, observed, “Through braiding classes, the students learn entrepreneurship, not just braiding. She pushes people to use their gifts and build wealth and to teach and inspire others what she has learned.”

Nina Lyons, too, worked in Melony’s salon after completing the braiding classes, even though Nina had been braiding hair since childhood. She said, “I took Melony’s braiding classes because I wanted to learn the professional way.” She then worked in the salon for nearly two years. Due to family circumstances, she was forced to leave for another job but eventually plans to open her own business. She said, “Working for Melony gave me the ability to open my own salon. The experience showed me how to do it and gave me the confidence I need to run my own salon.”

Melony’s efforts at teaching others about entrepreneurship are not limited to her place of business. She also leads monthly classes for women in her church about business ownership and various financial matters. She teaches them how to identify financial goals and how to achieve them. According to Melony, “Three years ago, most of the people in our church rented their homes; now most of them own. And some of the women in our church are seriously looking at opportunities to build their own businesses.”

According to Roberta Matthews, Melony’s influence in teaching, modeling and inspiring others toward entrepreneurship is one of her greatest contributions to the community. She said, “Most people think they have to work for someone else and are afraid to work for themselves. The perception is a job equals security; owning a business is seen as too risky. But Melony provides an example of how people can work for themselves and own their own business and be successful. I admire people who take a risk like that—it’s something I’ve never done.”
Modeling Entrepreneurial Success

As an uncommon example of female and African-American entrepreneurial success in Tupelo, Melony shows others that the economic barriers embedded in her community can be overcome. Those in Melony’s network identify this as one of her greatest influences, particularly in the African-American community. Roberta Matthews, a 63-year-old African-American client of Melony’s remembered, “When I was young, we didn’t see many examples of people who made a lot of their talents. But Melony provides an example of using your talents to make something.”

Gary Bradley, an African-American native of the area who manages a local fast food restaurant, remembers a time when blacks interested in entrepreneurship were not encouraged to pursue their interests. He said, “As a high school student I wanted to pursue business as a career, but I was told by a counselor to work in a factory. I had no one to guide me in how to achieve my goals. So I went into the military where I learned lessons and information that helped me get into management. When I returned to Tupelo, not much had changed.”

The effect of Melony’s role in facilitating and modeling entrepreneurship in Tupelo cannot be underestimated. According to scholars, entrepreneurship is an important upward mobility mechanism for racial and ethnic minorities, particularly women in those communities. Ethnic and female entrepreneurs hold untapped job-creating potential and open-ended capacities for creating economic growth and economic diversity. A sociologist explained the multiplier effect minority businesses can have on minority employment: “[E]ven a relatively small number of entrepreneurs can offer employment opportunities to a substantial portion of their coethnics. For example, in a group with only average levels of entrepreneurship (six percent) [that is, the percentage of those working who make a living as a business owner], if each business owner employs just one coethnic, then 12 percent of the group’s work force is involved in the… economy and if each employer hires three coethnics, then 24 percent are in the…economy.”

So in modeling not only entrepreneurship, but entrepreneurial success, Melony’s influence over future entrepreneurs carries a potential compounding effect, as those who observe and learn from her likewise inspire and train others in their own establishments, whether they be in hair salons or other types of businesses.
Using Entrepreneurship to Help Others

As dedicated as she is to creating opportunities for and teaching entrepreneurship to others, Melony’s greater passion is using her product to improve the lives of individuals and the greater community, similar to the work of social entrepreneurs. Although Melony’s service happens to be related to hair, entrepreneurs with other types of products or services have the ability to realize similar effects with their own entrepreneurial activities. Melony provides a concrete example of how to do so.

Part of Melony’s effort is helping to change how people—both blacks and non-blacks—view African-American hair, an endeavor whose effect is ultimately designed to reverse decades of self-shame among African Americans and instill a greater sense of identity within the black community. The other part includes mentoring teen girls through her business and potentially through a future non-profit to give at-risk teen girls the skills and confidence necessary to pursue their own entrepreneurial activities.

Transforming Identities

For many years, natural black hair—that is, hair that is not straightened in some way—carried significant negative connotations. Beginning in the Civil War era, African-American hair, like other physical attributes (skin color and facial structure), were seen as a mark of inferiority. Whites frequently referred to black hair as “wool” to differentiate it from the “superior” white variety. For many African Americans, this created an attitude of shame about their hair.

Roberta Matthews remembers, “In my day there was a lot of social pressure to straighten your hair. You didn’t go out with nappy hair. People would say, ‘Oh girl, your hair is nappy—do something about it.’ We were ashamed of our hair. Do you know a white woman once told me...”

“As a high school student I wanted to pursue business as a career, but I was told by a counselor to work in a factory. I had no one to guide me in how to achieve my goals. So I went into the military where I learned lessons and information that helped me get into management. When I returned to Tupelo, not much had changed.” — Gary Bradley
that she thought black men had kinky hair and black women had straight hair? We contributed to that by hiding our natural hair.”

This began to change, however, in the 1960s, when natural hair became an important symbol of black pride. The change, right up to the present, has not been an easy one, both in and outside of the black community.60 Roberta Matthews remembered, “When I first saw a woman with an Afro, I was shocked. I could barely look at her. I almost fainted. I was downtown in New York on 59th by the Coliseum.” Yet, two years later, in 1960, Roberta began wearing her hair in an afro. Her relatives saw it and responded, “Why do you want to mess yourself up like that?” They thought I was awful.”

Five decades after the Civil Rights era and 145 years after the Emancipation Proclamation, Afro-centric hair is still seen with skepticism and viewed as unprofessional. Those who consciously choose to wear their hair a certain way as a challenge to the dominant ideology about “superior” and “inferior” appearance norms find it can come with a cost, such as losing out on jobs.61 It is no different in Tupelo.

As Deborah Harvin,62 a prominent African-American leader in Tupelo, described, “In Tupelo, there are lots of expectations around what people should and should not look like. There is an expectation about what looks professional. Although we are more accepting of different looks among our own community, black parents tell their children not to wear braids to a job interview.”

When Diane Moore’s sister saw her hair, which Diane wears naturally, her sister asked, “Surely you don’t wear your hair like that to work?” Darin Moss,63 a law school student and client of Melony’s, wears his hair in locks but will likely cut them off when he prepares to enter the legal profession. “They’re seen as hip-hop and not professional,” he explained.
A Short History of Black Hair

In African cultures, the grooming and styling of hair had long been important social rituals. Elaborate hair designs, reflecting tribal affiliation, status, sex, age, occupation and the like were common, and the cutting, shaving, wrapping and braiding of hair were centuries-old arts. During slave days, the way slaves styled their hair was important to them as individuals, and it also played a substantial role in communal life. But as hair became a symbol of shame, many blacks assumed the habit of hair straightening. Some scholars attribute hair straightening not only to shame and self-hatred, but also to an attempt to conform to the cultural norms of whites.

With social changes that accompanied the Civil Rights Movement, African-American hairstyles began to change. As scholars note, hair can be used to accommodate to or to resist cultural expectations regarding place in the social structure, thereby symbolizing individual and group identity. Consistent with this, African Americans began to adopt or readopt the old styles of cornrows and braids, decorated with chevrons, beads and mirrors. Likewise, Afros and dreadlocks challenged the white aesthetic that had long made curly and kinky hair a symbol of inferiority. For many African Americans, the rise in natural hairstyles represents a reclaiming of pride in their own unique hair.
It is an expectation that extends into entry-level jobs. In the restaurant Gary Bradley manages, braids have to come out: “It’s seen as not professional. Braiding is associated with gangs and thugs. As a result, parents tell their children not to wear braids when trying to get a job.” Yet, Gary sees changes occurring, albeit slowly, and credits Melony for playing a part in that. “Things are changing, mostly for women right now, and Melony was a change agent in that. She helped people to look at it differently.” Melony agreed, “When I started wearing my hair this way, I saw almost no one else. I felt like an oddball. Now I see more people wearing their hair naturally, and I think I contributed to that.”

That contribution was no accident. Hair can be used to help spread a new idea, help members of an incipient social movement identify each other and spark social change. Melony sought to use her business to change the historical social norms around black hair, and that change is occurring. Roberta Matthews now wears her hair in Sisterlocks, a hair innovation advanced by San Diego hairbraiding entrepreneur JoAnn Cornwell. A decade ago, this style would have been frowned upon in professional settings. But when Roberta started her job as an occupational therapist in 2006, her locks were a non-issue. Nina Lyons concurred, “I see a lot more people going natural, and I have especially seen more in the past year. Melony is definitely part of that change.” That change carries with it important consequences. Researchers assert that because hair is such a fundamental element of identity, there are strong relationships between facial features (including hair), self-esteem and black consciousness. In the African-American community, it is often said that a woman’s hair is her crowning glory.

And often the help Melony provides transcends hair. Chervy Lesure frequently saw “some level of informal counseling going on as clients talk about their personal problems.” Nina Lyons agreed, saying, “Melony’s salon is like a sanctuary where people find comfort…. It’s not everybody that I would open up to, but Melony’s character is such that she’s a woman I can talk to about my situations. I frequently saw this happen with other clients. If I ever run a salon, I want the atmosphere to be just like this.”

As with teaching and modeling entrepreneurship, this is intentional on Melony’s part. As she said, “I don’t look at myself merely as a hair stylist. It’s more about the personal interaction, and we happen to be doing hair. In fact, a lot of people come back for the ‘therapy.’ Some clients, when I finish their hair, you can tell they don’t want to leave.”

Others have noticed this passion in Melony. Deborah Tierce works as a leadership consultant in the Tupelo area and came to know Melony through their mutual involvement in a local non-profit. Although Deborah has never been a client of Melony’s, she recognizes through her interactions with Melony and through comments others have made that “[Melony’s] on a mission in life—braiding is a means—she wants to influence positively everyone she meets.”
Transforming the Lives of Young People

That “everyone” now includes teenage girls whom Melony brings into the salon, particularly during the summers, and trains as professional braiders. The idea is to redeem the teens’ unstructured time with a productive experience that will instill responsibility and work ethic while creating a family-type ethos where the girls learn about and experience entrepreneurship. As Melony described, “Teens will likely see working in the salon as an opportunity to earn some money, but it will give me an opportunity to mentor them.”

Through her network, Melony identified a 16-year-old girl as a possible candidate to work in the salon for the summer. After a series of interviews, Melony hired Melissa to work full time alongside Melony serving clients, learning new braiding techniques and experiencing the ins and outs of the business world.

“The experience lived up to all my expectations,” Melony said. “I would definitely do it again. Melissa had the opportunity to learn and grow. She was a great worker and a really fast learner.” Clients also noticed, frequently making comments to Melony such as, “She’s really good,” and “You should keep her,” which was Melony’s plan. Melissa returned to school that fall, but Melony brought her into the salon on weekends and holidays and asked her to work in the salon again the following summer. The incentive for Melissa was obviously the opportunity to make $15 per hour or more, but along the way she gained invaluable experience and guidance.

Mentoring young women in her salon is only the prelude to a project of even greater scope that Melony has been exploring. In 2006, she ran an ad for braiders on a local hip-hop radio station. “Originally I expected responses from young adults, but unexpectedly I received this huge response from high school dropouts,” she said. In classic entrepreneurial fashion, she saw an unmet opportunity.

Her idea is to open a non-profit residential school in which young women learn to run a business and braid professionally. The target population would be at-risk 15 to 20 year olds. As Melony described, “Too many young black women drop out of school, end up pregnant and live in poverty, all the while not realizing they could turn a skill they have known since their childhood—braiding—into an occupation.”

She would model it on the current experience of African braiders who come to the United States, live together and work in the same salon. Only in Melony’s school, the teens would live in housing provided by the school, spend two years learning about entrepreneurship and braiding, finish their GED and then leave to start their own business or work in a salon professionally.

On the continuum of economic to social entrepreneur, such an endeavor clearly leans to the social side, but Melony’s pursuits have always included such elements. As those who study the synthesis of social and economic entrepreneurship discuss, Melony’s economic activities were always somewhat secondary to what she sensed as her real calling: “Braiding is a means to an end—to a higher purpose. I think people who enter this salon are looking for something—looking for their true identities, who they are, why they are here, where they are going.”
Conclusion

Over the past several decades, policymakers have come to recognize the value entrepreneurs add to their communities, and researchers also demonstrate how entrepreneurs like Melony affect communities economically by creating new jobs, markets, innovations and tax revenue. As this study suggests, the value entrepreneurs add to their communities includes the traditional economic view as well as social value. These contributions are visible in the products, services and financial capital they deliver, but also in the social value, or social capital created by entrepreneurs, which contributes to greater economic vitality. Given Melony’s experience and other diverse research on entrepreneurship, the circular relationship between entrepreneurs, social capital and economic value becomes clear.

For example, Melony’s economic efforts build social capital in her network of friends, colleagues and employees. The trust, norms, resources and networks (all components of social capital) Melony creates inspire and enable others to seek their own entrepreneurial activities that will contribute greater economic value. As Melony’s entrepreneurial progeny build their own businesses, they, too, will create social capital through their own networks while expanding their economic capital, replicating the process observed with Melony. The statements made by braiders who worked for Melony illustrate the potential.

Inspiring and enabling others to pursue entrepreneurial activities is particularly powerful in communities with comparably greater percentages of individuals living at or below poverty, dependent on social welfare or on unemployment rolls.

So, too, are non-economic social effects. Take, for example, prominent entrepreneurs such as Henry Ford, Bill Gates and Ray Kroc. Their economic effects are obviously beyond question, but their work also in some way, or ways, fundamentally changed the social fabric, that is, how we live our lives. Microentrepreneurs can also create such change, just on a different scale. In Melony’s case, one example is how both blacks and non-blacks view and treat themselves and others based on appearance. Changes like this penetrate down to essential issues like social consciousness and self-identity.

Realizing the benefits of entrepreneurship depends on the ability of entrepreneurs to pursue their visions unencumbered by needless regulatory barriers that constrict or restrict their work, which for hairbraiding, describes only 10 states. These regulations are typically justified as a way to protect public health, safety and welfare, but in reality they are pursued by those already working within an occupation who seek to use government power to shut out new entrants into the industry, thereby creating an unfair and unearned economic advantage for current practitioners. This can be an insurmountable burden in occupations well suited for low-income, entry-level entrepreneurs who typically lack financial capital or high levels of education required for other professions.

Occupational licenses are not the only forms of regulation hindering greater entrepreneurship. As other Institute for Justice reports demonstrate, the red tape entrepreneurs must hack through just to start a business, let alone sustain one, is overwhelming to even the savviest individuals, never mind those with little experience and few resources. Given the enormous role small business owners play in the U.S. economy and the perpetual quest to stimulate economic growth at local, state and national levels, reducing regulatory burdens and restrictions to free up the power of entrepreneurship would be a low-cost, high-return investment.
“The power of this one entrepreneur is that by pursuing financial capital measured by dollars and cents, she expands and enriches human capital beyond measure.”

And as Melony’s entrepreneurial activities demonstrate, the power of this one entrepreneur transcends the creation of material wealth. It is evident when she expands markets and creates opportunities for others, models professionalism to her community, teaches entrepreneurship to aspiring braiders or works to break the cycle of poverty among at-risk teens. Indeed, the power of this one entrepreneur is that by pursuing financial capital measured by dollars and cents, she expands and enriches human capital beyond measure.

Keep in mind, Melony is merely one entrepreneur in a small town in a small state. Freed from needless government regulations, she transformed lives and is improving an entire community one person at a time. Now imagine what could be achieved by an entire army of Melony Armstrongs freed up and unleashed in inner cities and small towns across the nation. Imagine a nation finally realizing its unfulfilled entrepreneurial ambition and ability. The power of one entrepreneur can transform a life, an industry and a community; the power of America’s entrepreneurs can once again transform the world.
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