### IN THE CHANCERY COURT OF MADISON COUNTY STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

MISSISSIPPI BOARD OF LICENSURE FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS

**PLAINTIFF** 

v. Cause No. 2017-902W

BRENT MELTON AND VIZALINE, LLC

**DEFENDANTS** 

# ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES OF BRENT MELTON AND VIZALINE, LLC

Defendants Brent Melton and Vizaline, LLC file this Answer and Affirmative Defenses to the Complaint filed by the Mississippi Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers and Surveyors and state as follows:

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted and should be dismissed pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Mississippi Rules of Civil Procedure.

#### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Mississippi Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers and Surveyors' suit is not supported by Mississippi Code §§ 73-13-1, *et seq*.

#### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The Mississippi Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers and Surveyors' suit violates Defendants' right to free speech protected by the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, as made applicable to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

# FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

1.	Admit.
2.	Admit.
3.	Admit.
4.	Admit.
5.	This paragraph only quotes a statute, which speaks for itself, and it is the duty of this
Court a	alone to interpret this statue without deference to any interpretation offered by the Board.
No resp	ponse to this paragraph is required, but to the extent a response is required, this paragraph
is denied.	
6.	This paragraph only quotes a statute, which speaks for itself, and it is the duty of this
Court a	alone to interpret this statue without deference to any interpretation offered by the Board.
No res <sub>l</sub>	ponse to this paragraph is required, but to the extent a response is required, this paragraph
is denied	
7.	Admit.
8.	This paragraph only selectively quotes a statute, which speaks for itself, and it is the duty
of this	Court alone to interpret this statue without deference to any interpretation offered by the
Board.	No response to this paragraph is required, but to the extent a response is required, this
paragraph is denied.	
9.	Denied.
10.	Denied.
11.	Denied.
12.	Denied.
13.	Denied.

Case: 45CH1:17-cv-00902 Document #: 9 Page 3 of 4 Filed: 07/09/2018

- Denied. 14.
- 15. Denied.
- 16. Defendants incorporate and reassert their responses to paragraphs numbered 1 through 15, inclusive.
- 17. Denied.
- 18. Defendants incorporate and reassert their responses to paragraphs numbered 1 through 15, inclusive.
- 19. Denied.
- 20. Denied.
- 21. To the extent that the paragraph beginning "WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED" contains any allegations, those allegations are denied. Defendants also deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested in this paragraph.

Respectfully submitted this the 9th day of July, 2018.

#### /s/ Adam Stone

Adam Stone, MS Bar No. 10412 Jackie R. Bost, II, MS Bar No. 102909 Jones Walker LLP 190 East Capitol Street, Suite 800 Jackson, MS 39201 T: 601.949.4900 F: 601.949.4804 astone@joneswalker.com jbost@joneswalker.com

Counsel for Defendants Brent Melton and Vizaline, LLC

Paul V. Avelar (AZ Bar No. 023078)\* Institute for Justice 698 South Mill Avenue, Suite 301 Tempe, AZ 85281 T: 480.557.8300

> F: 450.557.8305 Email: pavelar@ij.org

Johanna Talcott (FL Bar No. 1008094)\* Institute for Justice 2 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 3180 Miami, FL 33131-1803 T: 305.721.1600

F: 305.721.1601 Email: jtalcott@ij.org

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day filed the foregoing document via the Court's electronic filing system which sent notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

This, the 9th day of July, 2018.

/s/Adam Stone\_

Adam Stone

<sup>\*</sup> Pro hac vice motions will be filed