# **PUBLIC INSPECTION COPY**

				NDED TO MAY 17, 2			1	OMB No. 1545-0047
~	Q	00		nization Exempt			, F	2040
Forr (Rev	-	uary 2020)	Under section 501(c), 527, or 49				nsj	<u> 7013</u>
Depa	rtment	of the Treasury enue Service		security numbers on this form ov/Form990 for instructions an	-	•		Open to Public Inspection
~~~~~~			······································			UN 30, 2020	L.,	
	heck if		of organization		<i></i>	D Employer identifi		number
	pplicat							
[	Addra	ess INS	TITUTE FOR JUSTICE					
	Name chang	ge Doing	business as			52-17443	37	14/14/14/2712/14/14/16/27274/11/14/14/14/14/14/14/14/17/21/21/27/21/21/14/14/14/14
	"Initial return	Numb	er and street (or P.O, box if mail is not o	,	Room/suite	E Telephone numbe		
	Final		NORTH GLEBE ROAD,	STE 900	<u> </u>	(703)682		
,	termi ateci	City o	r town, state or province, country, an	d ZIP or foreign postal code		G Gross receipts \$	8	3,969,192.
	Teturr Teturr Appli		INGTON, VA 22203			H(a) is this a group n		
L	_tion _pend		and address of principal officer: SC	OTT G. BULLOCK		for subordinates	••••••	Yes X No
			AS C ABOVE X 501(c)(3) 501(c) (	)	or 527	H(b) Are all subordinates in		
			X 501(c)(3) 501(c) ( .IJ.ORG	) 🛋 (insert no.) 🔤 4947(a)(1)	01 321	If "No." attach a H(c) Group exemptic		
			provide a second s	Association Other <b>&gt;</b>	I Year	of formation: 1991		
	rtl	Summar			12,000		o contra	<u>- 0. 10 gui comuno</u>
	1	Briefly desci	ribe the organization's mission or mos	st significant activities: TO P	ROTECT	THE CONSTI	TUT	IONAL
Governance			OF AMERICANS.					
rnai	2	Check this b	box 🕨 🛄 if the organization disc	ontinued its operations or dispo	sed of more	than 25% of its net as	sets.	
ove	3	Number of v	oting members of the governing bod	y (Part VI, line 1a)		3	ļ	9
Ŭ ×	4	Number of i	ndependent voting members of the g	overning body (Part VI, line 1b)			ļ	7
ŝ	5		er of individuals employed in calendar	•			ļ	168
iviti	6		er of volunteers (estimate if necessary					30
Activities			ted business revenue from Part VIII, o	• •		<u>7a</u>		0.
	đ	Net unrelate	d business taxable income from Forn	n 990-T, line 39				0.
						Prior Year 21,267,811.		Current Year 7,138,233.
e	8				·····	$\frac{21,207,811}{1,059,927}$		454,873.
Revenue	9	•			í	1,784,859.		7,579,986.
Ъе́	10		ncome (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, - ue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8			51,980.	<u> </u>	42,235.
	11 12		e - add lines 8 through 11 (must equa			24,164,577.	3	5,215,327.
	13		similar amounts paid (Part IX, column			134,695.		88,227.
	14		d to or for members (Part IX, column			0.	1	0.
~	15		er compensation, employee benefits			16,495,714.	1	9,382,886.
Expenses			fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A),		·····	0,		0.
per			ising expenses (Part IX, column (D), li		95.	da na ser a transmitta da la		
ы	17	Other expen	ises (Part IX. column (A), lines 11a-11	d, 111-24e)		6,841,942.		6,862,146.
	18	Total expens	ses. Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part	IX, column (A), line 25)		23,472,351.		6,333,259.
	19	Revenue les	s expenses. Subtract line 18 from line	e 12		692,226.		8,882,068.
Net Assets or Fund Balances						inning of Current Year		End of Year
sets alan	20	Total assets	(Part X, line 16)			<u>96,341,010.</u>		4,919,956.
t As	21	-				5,468,203.		8,720,628.
Ē	22		r fund balances. Subtract line 21 from	n line 20		90,872,807.	<u> </u>	6,199,328.
Sec. 11	irt II	-	re Block					
		1	, I declare that I have examined this return				y Knowi	adge and belief, it is
true,	corre	ct, and comple	te, Declaration of Merharer (other than offi	cer) is based on all information of wi	nich preparer	nas any knowledge.	~~~~	
<b>A</b> <sup>1</sup>		Signati	are of officer			Date	*	
Sigr		<b> </b> <sup>™</sup>		SIDENT		2/23	20	21
Here	e		r print name and title					
<u></u>			reparer's name	Preparer's signature		ate Check		PTIN
Paid			O'SHEA	DANIEL O'SHEA	0	1/22/21 "	/ad P	0095751 <b>0</b>
Prep		Firm's name		······································				1478099
Use		Firm's addre		AVENUE, SUITE 400	DE			
			BETHESDA, MD 208			Phone no. 30	1-6	52-9100
Mav	the I	RS discuss tl	nis return with the preparer shown ab				Γ	X Yes No
**********	)1 01-2		For Paperwork Reduction Act Not		ons.			Form <b>990</b> (2019)

149762331997	TT III Statement of Program Serv Check if Schedule O contains a resp						
1	Briefly describe the organization's mission: SEE SCHEDULE O	ionse of note to any line	n this Part III		******		<u>L</u> 4
	SEE SCREDULE O						
		5/////////////////////////////////////		4			
<u> </u>	·······			······			
2	Did the organization undertake any signific						
	prior Form 990 or 990-EZ?					Yes	LX_∣N
3	If "Yes," describe these new services on Se		in haw it county	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<b>v</b> .
3	Did the organization cease conducting, or If "Yes," describe these changes on Sched		; in now it conduc	cts, any program ser	vices?	L Yes	
4	Describe the organization's program servic		ach of its three la	rgest program servi	ces, as measured by	expenses.	
	Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organization						d
	revenue, if any, for each program service re						
4a	(Code:) (Expenses \$ 21,1 TO PROTECT THE CONSTIT	79,611. including g				454,	
	TO EDUCATE THE PUBLIC						<u>/</u>
	ACTIVISM, AND OUTREACH	I. TO APPLY S	OCIAL SC	IENCE AND I	POLICY RESI	ARCH	
	METHODS TO THOSE ISSUE						N
	LAWYERS AND LAW STUDEN	MTS.					
			·····				
	4				······································		
4b	(Code:) (Expenses \$	including gr	ants of \$		Revenue \$		
			·····				
	**************************************						
	••••						
4c	(Code: ) (Expenses \$	ia alvelina av	ants of \$		(b		
	(Code, / Cxpenses a		INS 015		(Havanue \$		
	······						
	······						
	·····						
		*****		*****			
4d	Other program services (Describe on Scheo	lule O.)					
		Cluding grants of \$		) (Revenue \$		_)	
4e	Total program service expenses	21,179,611.				~	<b>)0</b> (2019
						- F.	

52-1744337 Page	3
-----------------	---

1.0	TIV Checklist of Required Schedules		Vaa	
	In the exercise time densities $E(1/2) \approx 40.47/2(1/4)$ (other then a private foundation)?		Yes	No
1	Is the organization described in section 501(c)(3) or 4947(a)(1) (other than a private foundation)?	1	x	
-	If "Yes," complete Schedule A	2	X	<u> </u>
2	Is the organization required to complete Schedule B, Schedule of Contributors?			<u> </u>
3	Did the organization engage in direct or indirect political campaign activities on behalf of or in opposition to candidates for			x
-	public office? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part I	3	<u> </u>	
4	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization engage in lobbying activities, or have a section 501(h) election in effect		x	
-	during the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part II	4		1
5	Is the organization a section 501(c)(4), 501(c)(5), or 501(c)(6) organization that receives membership dues, assessments, or	5		x
~	similar amounts as defined in Revenue Procedure 98-19? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part III			<u> </u>
6	Did the organization maintain any donor advised funds or any similar funds or accounts for which donors have the right to	6		x
-	provide advice on the distribution or investment of amounts in such funds or accounts? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part I	6	<u> </u>	
7	Did the organization receive or hold a conservation easement, including easements to preserve open space,	7		x
~	the environment, historic land areas, or historic structures? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part II	<b></b>		
8	Did the organization maintain collections of works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets? If "Yes," complete	8		x
~	Schedule D, Part III	<b></b>		
9	amounts not listed in Part X; or provide credit counseling, debt management, credit repair, or debt negotiation services?			
		9		x
10	If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IV Did the organization, directly or through a related organization, hold assets in donor-restricted endowments	<b></b>		<u> </u>
10		10	x	
44	or in quasi endowments? <i>If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part V</i> If the organization's answer to any of the following questions is "Yes," then complete Schedule D, Parts VI, VII, IX, or X			
11				
	as applicable. Did the organization report an amount for land, buildings, and equipment in Part X, line 10? <i>If</i> "Yes," <i>complete Schedule D.</i>	0392302		
а		11a	x	
ь	Part VI		<u> </u>	
U	assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VI	116	x	
-	Did the organization report an amount for investments - program related in Part X, line 13, that is 5% or more of its total		<u> </u>	
Ľ	assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VIII	11c		x
н	Did the organization report an amount for other assets in Part X, line 15, that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in	<u> </u>	<b></b>	
ų	Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IX	11d		x
	Did the organization report an amount for other liabilities in Part X, line 25? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	11e	x	1
f	Did the organization's separate or consolidated financial statements for the tax year include a footnote that addresses		1	1
'	the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under FIN 48 (ASC 740)? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	111	x	
12a	Did the organization obtain separate, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," complete		1	
,	Schedule D, Parts XI and XII	12a	x	
ь	Was the organization included in consolidated, independent audited financial statements for the tax year?		1	
~	If "Yes," and if the organization answered "No" to line 12a, then completing Schedule D, Parts XI and XII is optional	12b	1	x
13	Is the organization a school described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii)? If "Yes," complete Schedule E	13	1	X
14a	The second se	14a		X
	Did the organization have aggregate revenues or expenses of more than \$10,000 from grantmaking, fundraising, business,			1
_	investment, and program service activities outside the United States, or aggregate foreign investments valued at \$100,000			
	or more? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts I and IV	14b	x	
15	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of grants or other assistance to or for any			T
	foreign organization? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts II and IV	15		X
16	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of aggregate grants or other assistance to		I	1
-	or for foreign individuals? // "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts III and IV	16		X
17	Did the organization report a total of more than \$15,000 of expenses for professional fundraising services on Part IX,			
	column (A), lines 6 and 11e? If "Yes," complete Schedule G. Part I	17		X

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

#### column (A), lines 6 and 11e? // "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part I 18 Did the organization report more than \$15,000 total of fundraising event gross income and contributions on Part VIII, lines 1c and 8a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part II 19 Did the organization report more than \$15,000 of gross income from gaming activities on Part VIII, line 9a? // "Yes,"

	complete Schedule G, Part III	19
20a	Did the organization operate one or more hospital facilities? // "Yes," complete Schedule H	<u>20a</u>
ь	If "Yes" to line 20a, did the organization attach a copy of its audited financial statements to this return?	20b
21	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants or other assistance to any domestic organization or	l

domestic government on Part IX, column (A), line 1? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and II.

Х 21 Form 990 (2019)

17

18

932003 01-20-20

11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990

Form 990 (2019)

2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Х

х

Х

Form 990 (2019)	INSTITUTE		
Part IV Checklist of	Required Schedu	i <b>les</b> <sub>(co</sub>	ontinued)

			Yes	No
22	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants or other assistance to or for domestic individuals on			
	Part IX, column (A), line 2? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and III	22		X
23	Did the organization answer "Yes" to Part VII, Section A, line 3, 4, or 5 about compensation of the organization's current			
	and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, and highest compensated employees? If "Yes, " complete			
	Schedule J	23	X	
24 a	Did the organization have a tax-exempt bond issue with an outstanding principal amount of more than \$100,000 as of the			
	last day of the year, that was issued after December 31, 2002? If "Yes," answer lines 24b through 24d and complete			
	Schedule K. If "No," go to line 25a	24a		X
ь	Did the organization invest any proceeds of tax-exempt bonds beyond a temporary period exception?	24b		
C	Did the organization maintain an escrow account other than a refunding escrow at any time during the year to defease			
	any tax-exempt bonds?	24c		
	Did the organization act as an "on behalf of" issuer for bonds outstanding at any time during the year?	24d		
25a	Section 501(c)(3), 501(c)(4), and 501(c)(29) organizations. Did the organization engage in an excess benefit			
	transaction with a disqualified person during the year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	25a		<u>X</u>
Ь	Is the organization aware that it engaged in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person in a prior year, and			
	that the transaction has not been reported on any of the organization's prior Forms 990 or 990-EZ? If "Yes," complete			
	Schedule L, Part I	25b		<u> </u>
26	Did the organization report any amount on Part X, line 5 or 22, for receivables from or payables to any current			
	or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, creator or founder, substantial contributor, or 35%			**
	controlled entity or family member of any of these persons? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part II	26		_X
27	Did the organization provide a grant or other assistance to any current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee,			
	creator or founder, substantial contributor or employee thereof, a grant selection committee member, or to a 35% controlled			v
00	entity (including an employee thereof) or family member of any of these persons? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part III	27	000999933	X
28	Was the organization a party to a business transaction with one of the following parties (see Schedule L, Part IV			
~	instructions, for applicable filing thresholds, conditions, and exceptions): A current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, creator or founder, or substantial contributor? //	551,535.	1999	
a	"Yes, " complete Schedule L, Part IV	28a		х
ь	A family member of any individual described in line 28a? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	20a 28b		X
	A 35% controlled entity of one or more individuals and/or organizations described in lines 28a or 28b? If	200		
-	"Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28c		х
29	Did the organization receive more than \$25,000 in non-cash contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M	29	x	
30	Did the organization receive contributions of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets, or qualified conservation		t	
	contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M	30		х
31	Did the organization liquidate, terminate, or dissolve and cease operations? // "Yes," complete Schedule N, Part I	31	1	X
32	Did the organization sell, exchange, dispose of, or transfer more than 25% of its net assets? If "Yes," complete		T	
	Schedule N, Part II	32		X
33	Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization under Regulations			
	sections 301.7701-2 and 301.7701-3? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part I	33		X
34	Was the organization related to any tax-exempt or taxable entity? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part II, III, or IV, and	T	T	
	Part V, line 1	34		<u>X</u>
35 a	Did the organization have a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)?	35a		X
b	If "Yes" to line 35a, did the organization receive any payment from or engage in any transaction with a controlled entity			
	within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	35b		
36	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization make any transfers to an exempt non-charitable related organization?			
	If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	36		<u>X</u>
37	Did the organization conduct more than 5% of its activities through an entity that is not a related organization			
	and that is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part VI	37		X
38	Did the organization complete Schedule O and provide explanations in Schedule O for Part VI, lines 11b and 19?		.	
Par	Note: All Form 990 filers are required to complete Schedule O Statements Regarding Other IRS Filings and Tax Compliance	38	<u>X</u>	••••••
				, <b></b>
	Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part V	T		
1-	Enter the number reported in Box 3 of Form 1096. Enter -0- if not applicable	1950	Yes	<u>No</u>
	Enter the number reported in Box 3 of Form 1096. Enter -0- if not applicable 1a 82 Enter the number of Forms W-2G included in line 1a. Enter -0- if not applicable 1b 0		1	
	Did the organization comply with backup withholding rules for reportable payments to vendors and reportable gaming			
ţ.	(gambling) winnings to prize winners?	1c	x	YEE CONTRACTOR
932004	01-20-20	Form		
202004	Δ	r orni '		2019)

Form	990 (2019) INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	52-1744	337	Р	age 5
Par	tV Statements Regarding Other IRS Filings and Tax Compliance (continued)				
				Yes	No
2a	Enter the number of employees reported on Form W-3, Transmittal of Wage and Tax Statements,				
	filed for the calendar year ending with or within the year covered by this return	2a 168	1985 (1987) 1986 (1987)		
ь	If at least one is reported on line 2a, did the organization file all required federal employment tax retur	ns?	2b	Х	
	Note: If the sum of lines 1a and 2a is greater than 250, you may be required to e-file (see instruction	s)			
3a			3a	and other particular	X
	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 990-T for this year? If "No" to line 3b, provide an explanation on Schedule		Зb		
	At any time during the calendar year, did the organization have an interest in, or a signature or other				
	financial account in a foreign country (such as a bank account, securities account, or other financial a	-	4a	x	
b	If "Yes," enter the name of the foreign country > CAYMAN ISLANDS				
	See instructions for filing requirements for FinCEN Form 114, Report of Foreign Bank and Financial A	ccounts (FBAR).			
5a	Was the organization a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction at any time during the tax year?	· /	5a		X
b	Did any taxable party notify the organization that it was or is a party to a prohibited tax shelter transa	ction?	56		X
с	If "Yes" to line 5a or 5b, did the organization file Form 8886-T?		5c		
6a	Does the organization have annual gross receipts that are normally greater than \$100,000, and did th				
	a the second	_	6a		X
b	If "Yes," did the organization include with every solicitation an express statement that such contribut				
	were not tax deductible?		6b		1
7	Organizations that may receive deductible contributions under section 170(c).				
а	Did the organization receive a payment in excess of \$75 made partly as a contribution and partly for goods and se	rvices provided to the payor?	7a		X
			7b		[
	Did the organization sell, exchange, or otherwise dispose of tangible personal property for which it w			[	
	to file Form 8282?		7c		X
d	If "Yes," indicate the number of Forms 8282 filed during the year	7d			ni si nama i
e	Did the organization receive any funds, directly or indirectly, to pay premiums on a personal benefit of	ontract?	7e		X
f	Did the organization, during the year, pay premiums, directly or indirectly, on a personal benefit conti		7f		X
g	If the organization received a contribution of qualified intellectual property, did the organization file Fo	orm 8899 as required?	7g		
h	If the organization received a contribution of cars, boats, airplanes, or other vehicles, did the organization		7h		
8	Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds. Did a donor advised fund maintained	i by the			
	sponsoring organization have excess business holdings at any time during the year?		8		
9	Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds.				
а	Did the sponsoring organization make any taxable distributions under section 4966?		9a	L	
b	Did the sponsoring organization make a distribution to a donor, donor advisor, or related person?		9b		
10	Section 501(c)(7) organizations. Enter:				
а	Initiation fees and capital contributions included on Part VIII, line 12	10a			Sec.
b	Gross receipts, included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, for public use of club facilities	10b			
11	Section 501(c)(12) organizations. Enter:				
а	Gross income from members or shareholders	11a			
b	Gross income from other sources (Do not net amounts due or paid to other sources against				
	amounts due or received from them.)	11b			
12a	Section 4947(a)(1) non-exempt charitable trusts. Is the organization filing Form 990 in lieu of Form	n 1041?	12a		
b	If "Yes," enter the amount of tax-exempt interest received or accrued during the year	12b			
13	Section 501(c)(29) qualified nonprofit health insurance issuers.				
а	Is the organization licensed to issue qualified health plans in more than one state?	, ,	13a	<u> </u>	
	Note: See the instructions for additional information the organization must report on Schedule O.				
b	Enter the amount of reserves the organization is required to maintain by the states in which the				Sec.
	organization is licensed to issue qualified health plans	13b			
с	Enter the amount of reserves on hand	13c			
14a	Did the organization receive any payments for indoor tanning services during the tax year?	• ,	14a	ļ	X
b	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 720 to report these payments? If "No," provide an explanation on Schedu		14b	ļ	<b>_</b>
15	Is the organization subject to the section 4960 tax on payment(s) of more than \$1,000,000 in remune	aration or			
	excess parachute payment(s) during the year?		15		X
	If "Yes," see instructions and file Form 4720, Schedule N.				
16	Is the organization an educational institution subject to the section 4968 excise tax on net investment	it income?	16		X
	If "Yes," complete Form 4720, Schedule O.				

Form **990** (2019)

932005 01-20-20

5 11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Form	990	(2019)

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

52-1744337 Page 6

Part VI Governance, Management, and Disclosure For each "Yes" response to lines 2 through 7b below, and for a "No" response to line 8a, 8b, or 10b below, describe the circumstances, processes, or changes on Schedule O. See instructions. OL . . . . . . . . . **\_\_\_\_** 

				Yes	N			
1a	Enter the number of voting members of the governing body at the end of the tax year	1a	9					
	If there are material differences in voting rights among members of the governing body, or if the governing		<u>groen</u>	N. Startes				
	body delegated broad authority to an executive committee or similar committee, explain on Schedule O.							
Ь	Enter the number of voting members included on line 1a, above, who are independent	_1b	7					
2	Did any officer, director, trustee, or key employee have a family relationship or a business relationship	with any other		a.cea.d				
	officer, director, trustee, or key employee?				<u>x</u>			
3	Did the organization delegate control over management duties customarily performed by or under the	direct supervision						
	of officers, directors, trustees, or key employees to a management company or other person?		3		X			
4	Did the organization make any significant changes to its governing documents since the prior Form 99	90 was filed?	4		X			
5	Did the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's asse	ets?	5		X			
6	Did the organization have members or stockholders?		6		X			
7a		point one or						
	more members of the governing body?		7a		X			
Ь	Are any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members, sto							
	persons other than the governing body?	,	7Ь		Iх			
8	Did the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during the year			509500				
a		2	8a	X	ensist.			
b	Each committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body?			X	-			
9	Is there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be reach							
•	organization's mailing address? <i>If "Yes," provide the names and addresses on Schedule O</i>		9		x			
Sec	tion B. Policies (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal Rev							
	the internal Review of the internal Review of the internal Review of the internal Review of the internal Review	/enue Code.)		Yes				
10.	Did the organization have legal chapters, branches, or officiates?		10.	X	N			
	Did the organization have local chapters, branches, or affiliates?		<u>10a</u>	<u>^</u>				
D	If "Yes," did the organization have written policies and procedures governing the activities of such cha			Ŧ				
	and branches to ensure their operations are consistent with the organization's exempt purposes?			X				
	Has the organization provided a complete copy of this Form 990 to all members of its governing body	before filing the form'	? <u>11a</u>	X	X			
Ь								
12a	Did the organization have a written conflict of interest policy? If "No," go to line 13			X				
þ	Were officers, directors, or trustees, and key employees required to disclose annually interests that could give rise to		<u>12b</u>	X				
¢	Did the organization regularly and consistently monitor and enforce compliance with the policy? If $*\gamma_{\Theta}$							
	in Schedule O how this was done		. 12c	X				
13	Did the organization have a written whistleblower policy?		13	X				
14	Did the organization have a written document retention and destruction policy?		14	X				
15	Did the process for determining compensation of the following persons include a review and approval l	by independent						
	persons, comparability data, and contemporaneous substantiation of the deliberation and decision?		616					
а	The organization's CEO, Executive Director, or top management official							
b	Other officers or key employees of the organization		<u>15a</u> <u>15b</u>	X				
	If "Yes" to line 15a or 15b, describe the process in Schedule O (see instructions).							
16a	Did the organization invest in, contribute assets to, or participate in a joint venture or similar arrangement	ent with a						
	taxable entity during the year?		16a		X			
b	If "Yes," did the organization follow a written policy or procedure requiring the organization to evaluate its participation							
	in joint venture arrangements under applicable federal tax law, and take steps to safeguard the organization's							
	exempt status with respect to such arrangements?		16b		el-culture			
Sec	tion C. Disclosure			£				
17	List the states with which a copy of this Form 990 is required to be filed SEE SCHEDULE C	)						
18	Section 6104 requires an organization to make its Forms 1023 (1024 or 1024-A, if applicable), 990, and		:)(3)s only)	availat	le			
-	for public inspection. Indicate how you made these available. Check all that apply.			a v andi				
	X       Own website       Another's website       X       Upon request       Other (explain c	on Sohodula O						
19			and finance	ial				
	I9 Describe on Schedule O whether (and if so, how) the organization made its governing documents, conflict of interest policy, and financial statements available to the public during the towards.							
<b></b>	statements available to the public during the tax year.	ra analyze • 🛌						
20	State the name, address, and telephone number of the person who possesses the organization's book <b>DANIEL KNEPPER</b> - 703-682-9320	is and records 🗭						
<u> </u>	901 NORTH GLEBE RD, STE 900, ARLINGTON, VA 22203			000				
32006	i 01-20-20		Form	990 (	201			

Form 990 (	2019) INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	52-1744337	Page 7
Part VII	Compensation of Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, Highest C	ompensated	
<b></b>	Employees, and Independent Contractors		
	Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part VII		
Section A.	Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees		
1a Comple	te this table for all persons required to be listed. Report compensation for the calendar year ending	with or within the organization's	s tax year.
A 1 int a	History and the second state of the second state of the	and the set of the set of the second	ation

• List all of the organization's current officers, directors, trustees (whether individuals or organizations), regardless of amount of compensation. Enter -0- in columns (D), (E), and (F) if no compensation was paid.

List all of the organization's current key employees, if any. See instructions for definition of "key employee."

• List the organization's five current highest compensated employees (other than an officer, director, trustee, or key employee) who received reportable compensation (Box 5 of Form W-2 and/or Box 7 of Form 1099-MISC) of more than \$100,000 from the organization and any related organizations.

 List all of the organization's former officers, key employees, and highest compensated employees who received more than \$100,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.

• List all of the organization's former directors or trustees that received, in the capacity as a former director or trustee of the organization, more than \$10,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.

See instructions for the order in which to list the persons above.

ł Check this box if neither the organization nor any related organization compensated any current officer, director, or trustee.

(A) Name and title	(B) Average hours per	box	not ci unles	ss per	i <b>tion</b> more son is	than c s both	สก	(D) Reportable compensation from	(E) Reportable compensation from related	(F) Estimated amount of other
	week (list any hours for related organizations below line)	Individual trustee or director	Institutional trustee	Officer	key employee	Highest compensated employee	Former	the organization (W-2/1099-MISC)	organizations (W-2/1099-MISC)	compensation from the organization and related organizations
(1) SCOTT G. BULLOCK PRESIDENT AND GENERAL COUNSEL	40.00	x		x				469,475.	0.	47,505.
(2) WILLIAM MELLOR	40.00							20072.00		
CHAIRMAN & FOUNDING GENERAL COUNSEL		x		х				356,453.	0.	22,011.
(3) ARTHUR DANTCHIK	1.00									
DIRECTOR		x						0.	0.	0.
(4) BOB GELFOND	1.00									
DIRECTOR		x						0.	0.	0.
(5) KENNETH N. LEVY	1.00				<b></b>					
DIRECTOR		x						0.	0.	0.
(6) ROBERT A. LEVY	1.00									
DIRECTOR		x						0.	0.	0.
(7) JIM LINTOTT	1.00	[								
DIRECTOR		X						0.	0.	0.
(8) STEPHEN MODZELEWSKI	1.00									
DIRECTOR		X						0.	0.	0.
(9) MARY E. STIEFEL	1.00									
DIRECTOR		X						0.	0.	0.
(10) ABIGAIL THERNSTROM	1.00									
DIRECTOR (UNTIL APRIL 2020)		X						0.	0.	0.
(11) DANIEL KNEPPER	40.00								_	
MANAGING VP-CFO/SECRETARY & TREAS.		L		X	L	L		373,648.	0.	44,035.
(12) DANA BERLINER	40.00									
SENIOR VP AND LITIGATION DIRECTOR	1.2	<b> </b>	ļ	ļ	X	<b></b>	ļ	441,045.	0.	50,904.
(13) JOHN KRAMER	40.00									
VP FOR COMMUNICATIONS	10.00	ļ	ļ	ļ	X	ļ	ļ	372,208.	0.	69,536.
(14) DEBORAH SIMPSON	40.00				l					
CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER	10.00	_			X	<b> </b>		332,961.	0.	68,224.
(15) BETH STEVENS	40.00	1						077 475	_	40 421
VP FOR DEVELOPMENT	40.00		──		X	<u> </u>		277,475.	0.	48,431.
(16) ROBERT MCNAMARA	40.00	ł		1		x		202 620	0.	50 624
SENIOR ATTORNEY (17) JEFFREY ROWES	40.00	<b>+</b>	<b> </b>	──	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<b> </b>	293,629.	<u> </u>	50,624.
(17) JEFFREY ROWES SENIOR ATTORNEY	40.00					x		234,793.	0.	65,725.
932007 01-20-20	<u> </u>	I	1	I	I	4	1	434,133.	U.	Form <b>990</b> (2019)

11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990

7

Form 990 (2019) INSTITUT	<u>E FOR JI</u>	JSI	CIC	E					52-1	<u>7443</u>	37 Page 8
Part VII Section A. Officers, Directors, Trus	tees, Key Em	ploy	ees,	and	t Hig	ghes	st Co	Compensated Employees (continued)			
(A) Name and title	(B) Average hours per week	(C) Position (do not check more than one box, unless person is both an officer and a director/trustee)				than o s both	nan	(D) Reportable compensation from	(E) Reportable compensation from related	n	<b>(F)</b> Estimated amount of other
	(list any hours for related organizations below line)	Individual trustee or director	Institutional trustee	Officer	key employee	Highest compensated employee	Former	the organization (W-2/1099-MISC)	organization (W-2/1099-MIS		compensation from the organization and related organizations
(18) ROBERT GALL	40.00										
MANAGING VP AND SENIOR ATTORNEY	40.00					X		233,719.		0.	62,829.
(19) MELANIE HILDRETH VP FOR EXTERNAL RELATIONS	40.00					x		210 020		0.	E0 /11
(20) MICHAEL BINDAS	40.00					^		219,939.		0.	50,411.
SENIOR ATTORNEY						x		205,347.		0.	50,162.
									<u></u>		
1b Subtotal								3,810,692.		0.	630,397.
c Total from continuation sheets to Part VI	I, Section A				• • • • • • •	I	▶	0.		0.	0.
d Total (add lines 1b and 1c) 2 Total number of individuals (including but n								3,810,692.	00 - ( +-+ )-		630,397.
compensation from the organization	or limited to the	ose i	ISter		ove)	wno	o rec	eived more than \$100,0	JUU of reportable		54
											Yes No
3 Did the organization list any former officer, line 1a? If "Yes," complete Schedule J for se											3 X
4 For any individual listed on line 1a, is the su and related organizations greater than \$150	m of reportable	e col	mpe	nsat	ion a	and	othe	er compensation from th	e organization		4 X
5 Did any person listed on line 1a receive or a	ccrue compen	satic	on fre	om a	iny i	unre	lated	organization or individ	ual for services		
rendered to the organization? If "Yes." com	plete Schedule	J fo	or su	ch p	erso	<u></u>			<u></u>		<u>5 X</u>
Section B. Independent Contractors           1         Complete this table for your five highest contractors										ensatio	n from
the organization. Report compensation for t	he calendar ye	ar er	ndin	g wi	th or	r wit	hin t		ar.		
(A) Name and business								(B) Description of se	ervices	Сог	(C) npensation
DOYLE PRINTING & OFFSET C			<b>-</b>					RINTING AND	MAILING		
5206 46TH AVENUE, HYATTSV								HOP			175,055.
HBW CONSTRUCTION, 1055 FI 200, ROCKVILLE, MD 20850	KST STRI	663	ι,	ຽເ	11.1	.в		ONSTRUCTION ONTRACTORS			132,693.
ENDGAME STRATEGIES, 1717 900, WASHINGTON, DC 20006		NW .	, \$	SUI	TE	3		OLICY CONSUL	. ጥ አ እየጥ		120,000.
ANSWERWARE, 200 N. GLEBE		ΓE	1(	)50	),		Ť	OBTOT COMBOL		•	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203						-	I	T CONTRACTOR	S		117,088.
THE RESORT AT PELICAN HIL HILL ROAD SOUTH, NEWPORT						1	E	VENT SERVICE	S		110,000.
2 Total number of independent contractors (ir \$100,000 of compensation from the organiz		t lim	ited	to th	nose 5	e list	ed a	bove) who received mo	re than		
										Fc	orm 990 (2019)

932008 01-20-20

Form					FOR	JUSTICE			52-1744	337 Page 9
Par	t V		Statement of Rever	nue						
			Check if Schedule O cont	tains a re	sponse	or note to any lin				
							(A) Total revenue	(B) Related or exempt	(C) Unrelated	(D) Revenue excluded
							TOTALIEAGURE		business revenue	from tax under
							e Nation Maddall varial, print warre was deer the state			sections 512 - 514
환적	1	a	Federated campaigns		a					
Contributions, Gifts, Grants and Other Similar Amounts		b	Membership dues		b		1000000000000			and solutions of the
S a		C	Fundraising events		c					的名词复数的名词
ar,		d	Related organizations		d		<ul> <li>Control de la control de la con</li></ul>			
s, (		e	Government grants (contribut	tions) 📘	e		STORE STREET			
r S		f	All other contributions, gifts, gran	· 1			5 8 G (1 8 8 6)	6.4 5 6 6 6 6		
i h			similar amounts not included abo	ove   1	f	27,138,233.	the second second			<ul> <li>30.00000000000</li> </ul>
E O		g	Noncash contributions included in lines	s 1a- 1f	g \$	1,485,115.				
<u>8</u>		h	Total. Add lines 1a-1f	<u></u>		<u> </u>	27,138,233.			
						Business Code			<u>a desta da destândo</u>	A STATISTICS OF A
8	2	а	ATTORNEY FEES		······	541100	453,435.	453,435.		
e ri		b	HONORARIA			900099	1,438	1,438.		
Program Service Revenue		c								
am		d				L	1			
<u>p</u> a		e								
۵,		f	All other program service rev	enue						
		g	Total. Add lines 2a-2f		<i>.</i>		454,873.			
	3		Investment income (including							
			other similar amounts)				1,622,922.	[		1,622,922.
	4		Income from investment of ta							
	5		Royalties							
	-		, 		Real	(ii) Personal		140 0000 00 00 00 00	19.0.0.0.0.0	3 (D) (D) (D) (D) (D) (D)
	6	а	Gross rents 6	a 🗖		1	A Angle & Prostern	22333344	1.2009 (B.S. (B. (B.	
	-		Less: rental expenses 6					angeweise verste die verste vers		<ul> <li>And Control (CODE)</li> <li>And Control (CODE)</li> </ul>
			Rental income or (loss) 6			1	1			
			Net rental income or (loss)	<u>×1</u>		L				
	7		Gross amount from sales of	(i) Sec	curities	(ii) Other				
		a		a 54,71						
		•	Less: cost or other basis	<u>a ,</u>	•					
		U		b 48,75	3 845	20.		28.2.2.2.2.2.2		Line of the second
Ž		_						できるのもなせ	的复数数数数	
Revenue			Gain or (loss) 7				5,957,064.			5,957,064.
Ë,	-		Net gain or (loss) Gross income from fundraising e			T	<u> </u>			-,,
- te	8	a	-	•				1.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	States and the	
0			including \$		of			****		
			contributions reported on line	,				10000000000000000000000000000000000000		
			Part IV, line 18			1				
			Less: direct expenses			<u> </u>				
	_		Net income or (loss) from fun	-		T				
	9	8	Gross income from gaming a							
		,	Part IV, line 19		1					
			Less: direct expenses			<u></u>				
			Net income or (loss) from gai		vities					
	10	а	Gross sales of inventory, less							
			and allowances		,			No per su como de com		
			Less: cost of goods sold			<u>b</u>		<u> </u>		
	ļ	c	Net income or (loss) from sal	les of inve	entory .	<b>&gt;</b>				
Ś						Business Code			<u> </u>	F 10 10 10 10 10 10
Miscellaneous Revenue	11	a	OTHER INCOME			541110	42,235.			42,235.
ane		Ь								
cell ave		с						<u> </u>		
Ais.			All other revenue			l	<u> </u>			
~	L	e	Total. Add lines 11a-11d				42,235.			
	12		Total revenue. See instructions			▶	35,215,327.	454,873.	0.	7,622,221.
93200	9 01	-20-								Form <b>990</b> (2019)

9

Form 990 (2019) INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE
Part IX Statement of Functional Expenses

52-1744337 Page 10

	Check if Schedule O contains a respor				
	not include amounts reported on lines 6b, 8b, 9b, and 10b of Part VIII.	(A) Total expenses	(B) Program service expenses	(C) Management and general expenses	(D) Fundraising expenses
1	Grants and other assistance to domestic organizations				
	and domestic governments. See Part IV, line 21	88,227.	88,227.		00000000
!	Grants and other assistance to domestic				
	individuals. See Part IV, line 22				
5	Grants and other assistance to foreign			0.00000000000000	
	organizations, foreign govemments, and foreign				
	individuals. See Part IV, lines 15 and 16				
•	Benefits paid to or for members				
,	Compensation of current officers, directors,	0 000 000	0 00F 00F		
	trustees, and key employees	2,753,261.	2,295,835.	276,803.	180,62
	Compensation not included above to disqualified				
	persons (as defined under section 4958(f)(1)) and				
	persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B)	12 205 045	11 111 000	1 220 824	074 04
	Other salaries and wages	13,325,845.	11,111,892.	1,339,734.	874,21
	Pension plan accruals and contributions (include	1 140 000	050 007	115 500	<b></b>
	section 401(k) and 403(b) employer contributions)	1,149,625.	958,627.	115,579.	75,41
	Other employee benefits	1,169,383.	975,102.	117,566.	76,71
	Payroll taxes	984,772.	821,162.	99,006.	64,60
	Fees for services (nonemployees):				
a	Management	115 361	CC 12F	46 282	
Ь	Legal	115,361.	66,135.	46,373.	2,85
C .	Accounting	42,600.	149 500	42,600.	
d	Lobbying	147,529.	147,529.		
e	Professional fundraising services. See Part IV, line 17	9 199			
f	Investment management fees	7,173.			7,17
g	Other. (If line 11g amount exceeds 10% of line 25,	066 015	750 000	164 420	40.07
	column (A) amount, list line 11g expenses on Sch O.)	966,215.	758,903.	<u>164,439.</u> 220.	42,87
	Advertising and promotion	124, 123.			<u> </u>
	Office expenses	<u>833,169.</u> 571,475.	475,876.	77,719.	279,57
	Information technology	571,475.	86,008.	464,198.	21,26
	Royalties	1 040 100	1 624 020	106 425	107 70
	Occupancy	1,948,188.	1,624,029.	196,435.	127,72
	Travel	719,708.	709,279.	7,117.	3,31
	Payments of travel or entertainment expenses				
	for any federal, state, or local public officials	177,633.	171,569.	6,064.	
	Conferences, conventions, and meetings	5,455.	1/1,009.	5,455.	
		5,405.		5,433.	
	Payments to affiliates Depreciation, depletion, and amortization	368,483.	307,263.	37,046.	24,17
		124,150.	56,406.	67,744.	24,1/
	Insurance Other expenses, itemize expenses not covered	104,100.	50,400.	U/,/44.	
	above (List miscellaneous expenses on line 24e. If				
	line 24e amount exceeds 10% of line 25, column (A) amount, list line 24e expenses on Schedule 0.)	2001 CONSCRIPTION		14 2 4 7 6 7 5 6 9	0.0300.000
a	RESEARCH TOOLS	339,715.	297,465.	17,062.	25,18
	FILING AND COURT FEES	61,503.	61,503.		20,10
d					•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	All other expenses	309,666.	62,063.	236,493.	11,11
	Total functional expenses. Add lines 1 through 24e	26,333,259.	21,179,611.	3,317,653.	1,835,99
	Joint costs. Complete this line only if the organization				
	reported in column (B) joint costs from a combined				
	educational campaign and fundraising solicitation.				

932010 01-20-20

Form 990 (2019)

Check here L If following SOP 98-2 (ASC 958-720)

2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

33

### INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Total liabilities and net assets/fund balances

Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part X

Form 990 (2019)

Assets

Liabilities

Net Assets or Fund Balances

Part X | Balance Sheet

				(A) Beginning of year		(B) End of year
	Cash app interact bearing			4,699,784.	1	5,558,922.
1	Cash · non-interest-bearing Savings and temporary cash investments			1,027,429.		1,254.
1				990,119.		2,504,592.
3	Pledges and grants receivable, net			65,100.		101,630.
4 5	Accounts receivable, net			00,200.		
5				19889998028		<ul> <li>Contraction of the Association</li> </ul>
	trustee, key employee, creator or founder, substantial contributor, or 35% controlled entity or family member of any of these persons				5	
6						
0	under section 4958(f)(1)), and persons described	•	,		6	
7	Notes and loans receivable, net				7	
8	Inventories for sale or use				8	
9	<b>_</b>			352,256.	9	347,034.
	Land, buildings, and equipment: cost or other					
104	basis. Complete Part VI of Schedule D	100	5,718,505.		100-000	
L .	Less: accumulated depreciation		3,993,505.	919,242.	10c	1,725,000.
11	Investments - publicly traded securities			81,118,030.	11	87,143,465.
12	Investments - other securities. See Part IV, line 1			7,011,229.		7,247,238.
13	Investments - program-related. See Part IV, line 1				13	
14	Intangible assets				14	
15	Other assets. See Part IV, line 11			157,821.	15	290,821.
16	Total assets. Add lines 1 through 15 (must equa			96,341,010.		104,919,956.
17	Accounts payable and accrued expenses			1,925,496.	17	2,118,412.
18	Grants payable				18	
19	Deferred revenue			1,112,227.	19	3,723,353.
20					20	
21	Escrow or custodial account liability. Complete F	Part IV	of Schedule D		21	
22	Loans and other payables to any current or form	er offic	er, director,			
	trustee, key employee, creator or founder, subst	antial c	ontributor, or 35%			
	controlled entity or family member of any of thes	e perso	ons		22	
23	Secured mortgages and notes payable to unrela	ted thir	d parties		23	
24	Unsecured notes and loans payable to unrelated	d third p	parties		24	
25	Other liabilities (including federal income tax, page	•				
	parties, and other liabilities not included on lines	17-24)	. Complete Part X	0 400 400		0.070.070
	of Schedule D			2,430,480.		2,878,863.
26	Total liabilities. Add lines 17 through 25			5,468,203.	26	8,720,628.
	Organizations that follow FASB ASC 958, che	ck her				
	and complete lines 27, 28, 32, and 33.			87,530,816.	A7	91,445,951.
27	Net assets without donor restrictions			3,341,991.		4,753,377.
28	Net assets with donor restrictions			5,341,331.	28	
	Organizations that do not follow FASB ASC 9.	JO, CHE				
20	and complete lines 29 through 33. Capital stock or trust principal, or current funds				29	
29 30	Paid-in or capital surplus, or land, building, or eq				30	
31	Retained earnings, endowment, accumulated in	•••			31	
32	Total net assets or fund balances			90,872,807.	32	96,199,328.
		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	96 341 010		104 010 056

52-1744337 Page 11

(B)

104,919,956.

Form 990 (2019)

96,341,010. 33

(A)

and the second second	1 990 (2019) INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	52	-1744337	Pa	ge 12
Pa	n XI Reconciliation of Net Assets				
	Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part XI				
			25 045		
1	Total revenue (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12)	1	35,215		
2	Total expenses (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)	2	26,333		
3	Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 2 from line 1	3	8,882		
4	Net assets or fund balances at beginning of year (must equal Part X, line 32, column (A))	4	90,872		
5	Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments	5	-3,555	5,5	<u>47.</u>
6	Donated services and use of facilities	6			
7	Investment expenses	7			
8	Prior period adjustments	8			
9	Other changes in net assets or fund balances (explain on Schedule O)	9			0.
10	Net assets or fund balances at end of year. Combine lines 3 through 9 (must equal Part X, line 32,				
	column (B))	10	96,199	, 3.	28.
Pa	rt XII Financial Statements and Reporting				
	Check if Schedule O contains a response or note to any line in this Part XII				X
				Yes	No
1	Accounting method used to prepare the Form 990: Cash X Accrual Other		and a second		
	If the organization changed its method of accounting from a prior year or checked "Other," explain in Schedule	).			
2a	Were the organization's financial statements compiled or reviewed by an independent accountant?		2a	49620438	X
	If "Yes," check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were compiled or reviewed	оп а			
	separate basis, consolidated basis, or both:		Sugar 1		
	Separate basis Consolidated basis Both consolidated and separate basis		er og er i		
Ь			2b	X	19953119907
-	Were the organization's financial statements audited by an independent accountant? If "Yes," check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were audited on a separate				
	consolidated basis, or both:	04313,	5.20		
	X Separate basis Consolidated basis Both consolidated and separate basis		States a		(SKIE)
~	If "Yes" to line 2a or 2b, does the organization have a committee that assumes responsibility for oversight of the	audit		1999-009	
C			20	x	
	If the organization changed either its oversight process or selection process during the tax year, explain on Sche		213823/222200.200		NER SEC
2-			contraction of the		
Ja	As a result of a federal award, was the organization required to undergo an audit or audits as set forth in the Sing Act and OMB Circular A-133?	ae Aud	1 1		x
F			<u>3a</u>		<u> </u>
Þ	If "Yes," did the organization undergo the required audit or audits? If the organization did not undergo the require audits and decaying and the organization and the organization of the required audit of audits.	a aud			
	or audits, explain why on Schedule O and describe any steps taken to undergo such audits		3b		
			Form 9	75U (	(2019)

SCHEDULE A	
------------	--

(Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

### **Public Charity Status and Public Support**

Complete if the organization is a section 501(c)(3) organization or a section 4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trust.

Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ. Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.

Name	of the	01000	izati	

OMB No. 1545-0047

**Open to Public** 

Inspection

19

Name of the organization					C		
INST Dorb Decoop for Duble (	ITUTE FOR C	JUSTICE			<u> </u>	5.	2-1744337
Part I Reason for Public C					e instructions		
The organization is not a private foundation	ation because it is: (F	For lines 1 through 12, cl	heck only o	ne box.)			
1 A church, convention of chu	urches, or associatio	n of churches described	in sectior	n 170(b)(1	)(A)(i).		
2 A school described in secti	ion 170(b)(1)(A)(ii). (/	Attach Schedule E (Forn	1 990 or 990	0-EZ).)			
3 A hospital or a cooperative	hospital service orga	inization described in se	ection 170(	b)(1)(A)(iii	i).		
4 A medical research organiza	ation operated in cor	njunction with a hospital	described i	in section	n 170(b)(1)(A)(ii	ii), Enter f	he hospital's name,
city, and state:							
5 An organization operated for	or the benefit of a col	lege or university owned	l or operate	d by a go	vernmental unit	t describe	d in
section 170(b)(1)(A)(iv). (C	Complete Part II.)						
6 A federal, state, or local gov	emment or governm	nental unit described in	section 17	0(b)(1)(A)(	<b>₩</b> }.		
7 X An organization that normal	lly receives a substar	ntial part of its support fi	rom a gover	mmental L	unit or from the	general p	ublic described in
section 170(b)(1)(A)(vi). (C	2		5			5.	
8 A community trust describe		1XAVvi). (Complete Par	t (I.)				
9 An agricultural research org				d in coniu	nction with a la	nd-grant d	college
or university or a non-land-g			-			-	
university:	franc boliege of agrici			arrie, ercy,		e concgo	
10 An organization that normal		than 33 1/384 of its sup	nort from or	optribution		fore and	d aroca receipts from
-	•				-		-
activities related to its exem							
income and unrelated busin		(less section 511 tax) in	m business	ses acquir	red by the organ	nization a	ter June 30, 1975.
See section 509(a)(2). (Cor							
11 An organization organized a		-	-				
12 An organization organized a							
more publicly supported or	ganizations describe	d in section 509(a)(1) d	r section 5	<b>609(a)(2)</b> . 3	See section 50	<b>9(a)(3).</b> C	heck the box in
lines 12a through 12d that	describes the type of	f supporting organization	h and comp	olete lines	12e, 12f, and 1	2g.	
a Type I. A supporting orga	anization operated, s	upervised, or controlled	by its supp	orted orga	anization(s), typ	ically by g	iving
the supported organization	on(s) the power to reg	gularly appoint or elect a	majority of	f the direc	tors or trustees	of the su	pporting
organization. You must o	omplete Part IV, Se	ections A and B.					
b 🔄 Type II. A supporting org	anization supervised	or controlled in connect	tion with its	supporte	d organization(	s), by havi	ing
control or management o	f the supporting orga	anization vested in the s	ame person	ns that cor	ntrol or manage	the supp	orted
organization(s). <b>You mus</b>	t complete Part IV,	Sections A and C.					
c 🔲 Type III functionally inte	grated. A supporting	g organization operated	in connecti	ion with, a	and functionally	integrate	d with,
its supported organization							
d Type III non-functionally						d organiz	ation(s)
that is not functionally int							
requirement (see instructi							
e Check this box if the orga	-	•					
functionally integrated, or					Type i, Type ii,	i ype iii	
f Enter the number of supported of	,,	nany integrated support	ng organiza				
g Provide the following information	•	d organization/c)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
(i) Name of supported	(ii) EIN	(iii) Type of organization	(IV) is the organ	nization listed	(v) Amount of m	nonetary	(vi) Amount of other
organization		(described on lines 1-10	in your governin Yes	No No	support (see inst	· · ·	support (see instructions)
		above (see instructions))	163	140			
			ļļ				
	[						
Total					<u> </u>		

LHA For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990 or 990-EZ. 932021 09-25-19 Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019 13

11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

 
 Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019
 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE
 52-1744

 Part II
 Support Schedule for Organizations Described in Sections 170(b)(1)(A)(iv) and 170(b)(1)(A)(vi)
 52-1744337 Page 2

(Complete only if you checked the box on line 5, 7, or 8 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part III. If the organization fails to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part III.)

Sec	Section A. Public Support									
Cale	ndar year (or fiscal year beginning in) 🕨	(a) 2015	(b) 2016	(c) 2017	(d) 2018	(e) 2019	(f) Total			
1	Gifts, grants, contributions, and				[					
	membership fees received. (Do not	I								
	include any "unusual grants.")	26553699.	23931523.	23793166.	21267811.	27138233.	122684432			
2	Tax revenues levied for the organ-									
	ization's benefit and either paid to									
	or expended on its behalf									
з	The value of services or facilities									
	furnished by a governmental unit to									
	the organization without charge									
4	Total, Add lines 1 through 3	26553699.	23931523.	23793166.	21267811.	27138233.	122684432			
5	The portion of total contributions									
	by each person (other than a									
	governmental unit or publicly	1. 16 18 18 19 10 1								
	supported organization) included	5.5.6 (p.6.0) (C								
	on line 1 that exceeds 2% of the									
	amount shown on line 11,									
	column (f)						16806890.			
6	Public support, Subtract line 5 from line 4.		NUMBER OF STREET	and an end of the			105877542			
	tion B. Total Support	and a fight of the second s			Controller of the second s					
Cale	ndar year (or fiscal year beginning in) 🕨	(a) 2015	(b) 2016	(c) 2017	(d) 2018	(e) 2019	(f) Total			
		26553699.		23793166.		27138233.	122684432			
8	Gross income from interest,									
	dividends, payments received on									
	secunties loans, rents, royalties,									
	and income from similar sources	626,413.	823,607.	1103004.	1577636.	1622922.	5753582.			
9	Net income from unrelated business									
-	activities, whether or not the									
	business is regularly carried on									
10	Other income. Do not include gain									
	or loss from the sale of capital									
	assets (Explain in Part VI.)									
11	Total support. Add lines 7 through 10						128438014			
	Gross receipts from related activities,	etc. (see instructio	ns)			And the second se	,607,250.			
	First five years. If the Form 990 is for	•								
	organization, check this box and stop	here			,					
Sec	tion C. Computation of Publi	c Support Per	centage							
14	Public support percentage for 2019 (li	ne 6, column (f) div	vided by line 11, co	olumn (f))		14	82.43 %			
15	Public support percentage from 2018	Schedule A, Part I	l, line 14			15	84.35 %			
16a	33 1/3% support test - 2019. If the c	rganization did not	t check the box or	line 13, and line 1	4 is 33 1/3% or m	ore, check this box	and			
	stop here. The organization qualifies	as a publicly suppo	orted organization				► 🗶			
Ь	33 1/3% support test - 2018. If the o	organization did not	t check a box on li	ne 13 or 16a, and	line 15 is 33 1/3%	or more, check thi	s box			
	and stop here. The organization quali	fies as a publicly s	upported organiza	tion						
17a	10% -facts-and-circumstances test									
	and if the organization meets the "fact	ts-and-circumstanc	es" test, check thi	s box and <b>stop h</b>	ere. Explain in Par	t VI how the organ	ization			
	meets the "facts-and-circumstances" t									
Ь	10% -facts-and-circumstances test									
	more, and if the organization meets th	e "facts-and-circun	nstances" test, ch	eck this box and	stop here. Explain	in Part VI how the				
	organization meets the "facts-and-circ	umstances" test. T	he organization q	alifies as a public	ly supported organ	ization				
18	Private foundation. If the organization	n did not check a t	<u>oox on line 13, 16a</u>	i, 16b, 17a, or 1 <b>7</b> b	, check this box ar	d see instructions				

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019

932022 09-25-19

### Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

### Part III | Support Schedule for Organizations Described in Section 509(a)(2)

(Complete only if you checked the box on line 10 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part II. If the organization fails to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part II.)

aiç	ndar year (or fiscal year beginning in) 🕨	(a) 2015	(b) 2016	(c) 2017	(d) 2018	(e) 2019	(f) Total
1	Gifts, grants, contributions, and						
	membership fees received. (Do not						
	include any "unusual grants.")						
2	Gross receipts from admissions, merchandise sold or services per- formed, or facilities furnished in any activity that is related to the organization's tax-exempt purpose						
~	•						
3	Gross receipts from activities that						
	are not an unrelated trade or bus-						
	iness under section 513						
4	Tax revenues levied for the organ-						
	ization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf						
5	The value of services or facilities						
-	fumished by a governmental unit to						
	the organization without charge						
	Total. Add lines 1 through 5					+	+
7a	Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and						
	3 received from disqualified persons						
b	Amounts included on lines 2 and 3 received from other than disqualified persons that exceed the greater of \$5,000 or 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year						
c	Add lines 7a and 7b						
8	Public support. (Subtract line 7c from line 6.)			and the second second			
sec	ction B. Total Support						
ale	ndar year (or fiscal year beginning in) 🕨	(a) 2015	(b) 2016	(c) 2017	(d) 2018	(e) 2019	(f) Total
9	Amounts from line 6						
10a	Gross income from interest, dividends, payments received on						
	securities loans, rents, royalties, and income from similar sources	erne en e					
b	securities loans, rents, royalties,						
b	securities loans, rents, royalties, and income from similar sources						
Ŀ	securities loans, rents, royalties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses						
	securities loans, rents, royalties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975						
c	securities loans, rents, royalties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses						
11	securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital						
11 11	securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.)						
11 12 13	securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.) Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.)	the organization's	s first, second, thir	d, fourth, or fifth ta	ax year as a sectio	n 501(c)(3) organiz	ation,
11 12 13 14	securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.) Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.) First five years. If the Form 990 is fou check this box and stop here	-			•		
11 12 13 14	securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.) Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.) First five years. If the Form 990 is fou check this box and stop here	-			•		
11 12 13 14	securities loans, rents, royalties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.) Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.) First five years. If the Form 990 is for check this box and stop here	c Support Per	centage				
11 12 13 14 Sec	securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.) Total support, (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.) First five years. If the Form 990 is for check this box and stop here ction C. Computation of Public	c Support Per ine 8, column (f), d	<b>centage</b> livided by line 13, 4		•	15	
11 12 13 14 500 15	securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.) Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.) First five years. If the Form 990 is for check this box and stop here ction C. Computation of Public Public support percentage for 2019 (I Public support percentage from 2018	<b>c Support Per</b> ine 8, column (f), d Schedule A, Part	<b>centage</b> livided by line 13, III, line 15				
111 12 13 14 50 15 16 50	securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.) Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.) First five years. If the Form 990 is for check this box and stop here Ction C. Computation of Publi Public support percentage for 2019 (I Public support percentage from 2018 Ction D. Computation of Invest	c Support Per ine 8, column (f), d Schedule A, Part stment Income	rcentage livided by line 13, III, line 15 e Percentage	column (f))		15	
11 12 13 14 50 15 16 50 17	securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.) Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.) First five years. If the Form 990 is for check this box and stop here ction C. Computation of Public Public support percentage for 2019 (I Public support percentage from 2018 ction D. Computation of Invest	c Support Per ine 8, column (f), c Schedule A, Part stment Income 019 (line 10c, column	rcentage livided by line 13, III, line 15 Percentage nn (f), divided by li	column (f)) ne 13, column (f))		15 16 17	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.) Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.) First five years. If the Form 990 is for check this box and stop here Ction C. Computation of Publi Public support percentage for 2019 (I Public support percentage for 2018 Ction D. Computation of Invess Investment income percentage from	c Support Per ine 8, column (f), c Schedule A, Part stment Income 019 (line 10c, colur 2018 Schedule A,	<b>centage</b> livided by line 13, lill, line 15 <b>Percentage</b> πn (f), divided by li Part III, line 17	colurnn (f)) ne 13, column (f))		15 16 17 18	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.) Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.) First five years. If the Form 990 is for check this box and stop here ction C. Computation of Public Public support percentage for 2019 (I Public support percentage for 2019 (I Public support percentage for 2019 (I Public support percentage from 2018 ction D. Computation of Invest Investment income percentage from 33 1/3% support tests - 2019. If the	c Support Per ine 8, column (f), c Schedule A, Part stment Income 019 (line 10c, colu 2018 Schedule A, organization did r	rcentage livided by line 13, III, line 15 Percentage nn (f), divided by l Part III, line 17 not check the box	column (f)) ne 13, column (f)) on line 14, and line	e 15 is more than 3	15 16 17 18 33 1/3%, and line 1	17 is not
11 12 13 14 50 15 16 50 17 18	securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.) Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.) First five years. If the Form 990 is for check this box and stop here ction C. Computation of Public Public support percentage for 2019 (I Public support percentage from 2018 ction D. Computation of Invest Investment income percentage from 33 1/3% support tests - 2019. If the more than 33 1/3%, check this box and	c Support Per ine 8, column (f), c Schedule A, Part stment Income 019 (line 10c, colur 2018 Schedule A, organization did r nd stop here. The	rcentage livided by line 13, - lll, line 15 Percentage nn (f), divided by li Part III, line 17 not check the box organization qual	column (f)) ne 13, column (f)) on line 14, and line fies as a publicly s	e 15 is more than 3 supported organize	15 16 17 18 33 1/3%, and line 5 ation	17 is not
11 12 13 14 50 15 16 50 17 18	securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.) Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.) First five years. If the Form 990 is for check this box and stop here ction C. Computation of Public Public support percentage for 2019 (I Public support percentage from 2018 ction D. Computation of Invest Investment income percentage from 33 1/3% support tests - 2019. If the more than 33 1/3%, check this box and 33 1/3% support tests - 2018. If the	c Support Per ine 8, column (f), d Schedule A, Part stment Income 2019 (line 10c, colur 2018 Schedule A, organization did r and stop here. The organization did r	rcentage livided by line 13, - lll, line 15 Percentage mn (f), divided by li Part III, line 17 not check the box organization qual not check a box or	column (f)) ne 13, column (f) on line 14, and line fies as a publicly s I line 14 or line 19a	e 15 is more than 3 supported organiza a, and line 16 is mo	15           16           17           18           33 1/3%, and line 1           ation           ore than 33 1/3%,	17 is not
11 12 13 14 15 16 50 17 18 19 19 19 19 19	securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.) Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.) First five years. If the Form 990 is for check this box and stop here ction C. Computation of Public Public support percentage for 2019 (I Public support percentage from 2018 ction D. Computation of Invest Investment income percentage from a 33 1/3% support tests - 2019. If the more than 33 1/3%, check this box and a 31/3% support tests - 2018. If the line 18 is not more than 33 1/3%, check	c Support Per ine 8, column (1), of Schedule A, Part Stment Income O19 (line 10c, colur 2018 Schedule A, organization did r organization did r corganization did r ck this box and st	centage livided by line 13, lil, line 15 Percentage nn (f), divided by li Part III, line 17 not check the box organization qual not check a box or top here. The orga	column (f)) ne 13, column (f)) on line 14, and line fies as a publicly s I line 14 or line 19a nization qualifies i	e 15 is more than 3 supported organiza a, and line 16 is mo as a publicly support	15           16           17           18           33 1/3%, and line 1           ation           ore than 33 1/3%, orted organization	17 is not
11 12 13 14 15 16 50 17 18 19 19 19 19 19	securities loans, rents, royatties, and income from similar sources Unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired after June 30, 1975 Add lines 10a and 10b Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part VI.) Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.) First five years. If the Form 990 is for check this box and stop here ction C. Computation of Public Public support percentage for 2019 (I Public support percentage from 2018 ction D. Computation of Invest Investment income percentage from 33 1/3% support tests - 2019. If the more than 33 1/3%, check this box and 33 1/3% support tests - 2018. If the	c Support Per ine 8, column (1), of Schedule A, Part Stment Income O19 (line 10c, colur 2018 Schedule A, organization did r organization did r corganization did r ck this box and st	centage livided by line 13, lil, line 15 Percentage nn (f), divided by li Part III, line 17 not check the box organization qual not check a box or top here. The orga	column (f)) ne 13, column (f)) on line 14, and line fies as a publicly s I line 14 or line 19a nization qualifies i	e 15 is more than 3 supported organiza a, and line 16 is mo as a publicly suppo his box and see ins	15       16       17       18       33 1/3%, and line 1       ation       ore than 33 1/3%, orted organization       structions	17 is not

### Schedule A (Form 990 or 990 EZ) 2019 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

(Complete only if you checked a box in line 12 on Part I. If you checked 12a of Part I, complete Sections A and B. If you checked 12b of Part I, complete Sections A and C. If you checked 12c of Part I, complete Sections A, D, and E. If you checked 12d of Part I, complete Sections A and D, and complete Part V.)

### Section A. All Supporting Organizations

- 1 Are all of the organization's supported organizations listed by name in the organization's governing documents? If "No," describe in Part VI how the supported organizations are designated. If designated by class or purpose, describe the designation. If historic and continuing relationship, explain.
- 2 Did the organization have any supported organization that does not have an IRS determination of status under section 509(a)(1) or (2)? If "Yes," explain in Part VI how the organization determined that the supported organization was described in section 509(a)(1) or (2).
- **3a** Did the organization have a supported organization described in section 501(c)(4), (5), or (6)? *If "Yes," answer (b) and (c) below.*
- **b** Did the organization confirm that each supported organization qualified under section 501(c)(4), (5), or (6) and satisfied the public support tests under section 509(a)(2)? If "Yes," describe in **Part VI** when and how the organization made the determination.
- c Did the organization ensure that all support to such organizations was used exclusively for section 170(c)(2)(B) purposes? If "Yes," explain in **Part VI** what controls the organization put in place to ensure such use.
- 4a Was any supported organization not organized in the United States ("foreign supported organization")? If "Yes," and if you checked 12a or 12b in Part I, answer (b) and (c) below.
- **b** Did the organization have ultimate control and discretion in deciding whether to make grants to the foreign supported organization? If "Yes," describe in **Part VI** how the organization had such control and discretion despite being controlled or supervised by or in connection with its supported organizations.
- c Did the organization support any foreign supported organization that does not have an IRS determination under sections 501(c)(3) and 509(a)(1) or (2)? If "Yes," explain in **Part VI** what controls the organization used to ensure that all support to the foreign supported organization was used exclusively for section 170(c)(2)(B) purposes.
- 5a Did the organization add, substitute, or remove any supported organizations during the tax year? If "Yes," answer (b) and (c) below (if applicable). Also, provide detail in Part VI, including (i) the names and EIN numbers of the supported organizations added, substituted, or removed; (ii) the reasons for each such action; (iii) the authority under the organization's organizing document authorizing such action; and (iv) how the action was accomplished (such as by amendment to the organizing document).
- **b** Type I or Type II only. Was any added or substituted supported organization part of a class already designated in the organization's organizing document?
- c Substitutions only. Was the substitution the result of an event beyond the organization's control?
- 6 Did the organization provide support (whether in the form of grants or the provision of services or facilities) to anyone other than (i) its supported organizations, (ii) individuals that are part of the charitable class benefited by one or more of its supported organizations, or (iii) other supporting organizations that also support or benefit one or more of the filing organization's supported organizations? *If "Yes," provide detail in* **Part VI.**
- 7 Did the organization provide a grant, loan, compensation, or other similar payment to a substantial contributor (as defined in section 4958(c)(3)(C)), a family member of a substantial contributor, or a 35% controlled entity with regard to a substantial contributor? *If "Yes," complete Part I of Schedule L (Form 990 or 990-EZ).*
- 8 Did the organization make a loan to a disqualified person (as defined in section 4958) not described in line 7? If "Yes," complete Part I of Schedule L (Form 990 or 990-EZ).
- 9a Was the organization controlled directly or indirectly at any time during the tax year by one or more disqualified persons as defined in section 4946 (other than foundation managers and organizations described in section 509(a)(1) or (2))? If "Yes," provide detail in Part VI.
- **b** Did one or more disqualified persons (as defined in line 9a) hold a controlling interest in any entity in which the supporting organization had an interest? *If "Yes," provide detail in* **Part VI.**
- c Did a disqualified person (as defined in line 9a) have an ownership interest in, or derive any personal benefit from, assets in which the supporting organization also had an interest? *It* "Yes," *provide detail in* **Part VI.**
- **10a** Was the organization subject to the excess business holdings rules of section 4943 because of section 4943(f) (regarding certain Type II supporting organizations, and all Type III non-functionally integrated supporting organizations)? *If "Yes," answer 10b below.* 
  - **b** Did the organization have any excess business holdings in the tax year? (Use Schedule C, Form 4720, to determine whether the organization had excess business holdings.)

932024 09-25-19



Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019	INSTITUTE	FOR	JUSTICE
Part IV Supporting Organiza	ations <sub>(continued</sub>	ť)	

52-1744337 Page 5

			Yes	No
11	Has the organization accepted a gift or contribution from any of the following persons?			
а	A person who directly or indirectly controls, either alone or together with persons described in (b) and (c)			
	below, the governing body of a supported organization?	11a		
ь	A family member of a person described in (a) above?	11b		
	A 35% controlled entity of a person described in (a) or (b) above? If "Yes" to a, b, or c, provide detail in Part VI.	11c		
*******	tion B. Type I Supporting Organizations	4		
*****			Yes	No
1	Did the directors, trustees, or membership of one or more supported organizations have the power to			
-	regularly appoint or elect at least a majority of the organization's directors or trustees at all times during the			
	tax year? If "No," describe in <b>Part VI</b> how the supported organization(s) effectively operated, supervised, or			
	controlled the organization's activities. If the organization had more than one supported organization,			
	• • •			254256
	describe how the powers to appoint and/or remove directors or trustees were allocated among the supported	1		
2	organizations and what conditions or restrictions, if any, applied to such powers during the tax year. Did the organization operate for the benefit of any supported organization other than the supported			
2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			NOR OF
	organization(s) that operated, supervised, or controlled the supporting organization? If "Yes," explain in			
	Part VI how providing such benefit carried out the purposes of the supported organization(s) that operated,	S. C. Starter		and the second
<u> </u>	supervised, or controlled the supporting organization.	2		<u>i</u>
Sec	tion C. Type II Supporting Organizations			
	NATE A MARKATER AND A STREAM AND A	the spectrum state	Yes	No
1	Were a majority of the organization's directors or trustees during the tax year also a majority of the directors	0.600		
	or trustees of each of the organization's supported organization(s)? If "No," describe in Part VI how control	and the second		
	or management of the supporting organization was vested in the same persons that controlled or managed			
	the supported organization(s).	<u> </u>		L
Sec	tion D. All Type III Supporting Organizations		r	<b>T</b>
		E	Yes	No
1	Did the organization provide to each of its supported organizations, by the last day of the fifth month of the			
	organization's tax year, (i) a written notice describing the type and amount of support provided during the prior tax			
	year, (ii) a copy of the Form 990 that was most recently filed as of the date of notification, and (iii) copies of the	practical de la		
	organization's governing documents in effect on the date of notification, to the extent not previously provided?	1		
2	Were any of the organization's officers, directors, or trustees either (i) appointed or elected by the supported			
	organization(s) or (ii) serving on the governing body of a supported organization? If "No," explain in Part VI how			
	the organization maintained a close and continuous working relationship with the supported organization(s).	2		
3	By reason of the relationship described in (2), did the organization's supported organizations have a	Net en		
	significant voice in the organization's investment policies and in directing the use of the organization's	1.00		
	income or assets at all times during the tax year? If "Yes," describe in <b>Part VI</b> the role the organization's			CESCI MA
	supported organizations played in this regard.	3	11.22.280.04.04.04.0	Rocostations of
Sec	tion E. Type III Functionally Integrated Supporting Organizations			A
1	Check the box next to the method that the organization used to satisfy the Integral Part Test during the year (see instruc	tions).		
a	The organization satisfied the Activities Test. Complete line 2 below.	,		
b				
c		o instaustions		
2	Activities Test. Answer (a) and (b) below.	e instructions,	Yes	No
			169	
а				
	the supported organization(s) to which the organization was responsive? If "Yes," then in Part VI identify	1.3.3.1		
	those supported organizations and explain how these activities directly furthered their exempt purposes,	0.00.0590		
	how the organization was responsive to those supported organizations, and how the organization determined	0.000000		
	that these activities constituted substantially all of its activities.	<u>2a</u>		ISANGAN
b	Did the activities described in (a) constitute activities that, but for the organization's involvement, one or more			
	of the organization's supported organization(s) would have been engaged in? If "Yes," explain in Part VI the	- Sectors		
	reasons for the organization's position that its supported organization(s) would have engaged in these			
	activities but for the organization's involvement.	<b>2b</b>		r begroon of Sec
3	Parent of Supported Organizations. Answer (a) and (b) below.			
а	Did the organization have the power to regularly appoint or elect a majority of the officers, directors, or			
	trustees of each of the supported organizations? Provide details in Part VI.	<u>3a</u>	L	
ь	Did the organization exercise a substantial degree of direction over the policies, programs, and activities of each			105083
	of its supported organizations? If "Yes," describe in Part VI the role played by the organization in this regard.	3b	L	
93202	25 09-25-19 Schedule A (F	orm 990 or 99	90-EZ	) 2019

17

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019

## Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE Part V Type III Non-Functionally Integrated 509(a)(3) Supporting Organizations

1	Check here if the organization satisfied the Integral Part Test as a qualifyir other Type III non-functionally integrated supporting organizations must co	ng trust on	Nov. 20, 1970 (explain in Pa	rt VI). See instructions. A
Sect	ion A - Adjusted Net Income		(A) Prior Year	(B) Current Year (optional)
1	Net short-term capital gain	1		
2	Recoveries of prior-year distributions	2		
3	Other gross income (see instructions)	3		
4	Add lines 1 through 3.	4		
5	Depreciation and depletion	5		
6	Portion of operating expenses paid or incurred for production or			
	collection of gross income or for management, conservation, or			
	maintenance of property held for production of income (see instructions)	6		
7	Other expenses (see instructions)	7		
8	Adjusted Net Income (subtract lines 5, 6, and 7 from line 4)	8		
Sect	ion B - Minimum Asset Amount		(A) Prior Year	(B) Current Year (optional)
1	Aggregate fair market value of all non-exempt-use assets (see			1919 200 200 200 Aut
	instructions for short tax year or assets held for part of year):	121210		
a	Average monthly value of securities	1a		
b	Average monthly cash balances	1b		
с	Fair market value of other non-exempt-use assets	10		
d	Total (add lines 1a, 1b, and 1c)	1d		
e	Discount claimed for blockage or other	and the second		
	factors (explain in detail in Part VI):	1000500		
2	Acquisition indebtedness applicable to non-exempt-use assets	2		
3	Subtract line 2 from line 1d.	3		
4	Cash deemed held for exempt use. Enter 1-1/2% of line 3 (for greater amount,			
	see instructions).	4		
5	Net value of non-exempt-use assets (subtract line 4 from line 3)	5		
6	Multiply line 5 by .035.	6		
7	Recoveries of prior-year distributions	7		
8	Minimum Asset Amount (add line 7 to line 6)	8		
Secti	ion C - Distributable Amount			Current Year
1	Adjusted net income for prior year (from Section A, line 8, Column A)	1		
2	Enter 85% of line 1.	2		
3	Minimum asset amount for prior year (from Section B, line 8, Column A)	3		
4	Enter greater of line 2 or line 3.	4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5	Income tax imposed in prior year	5		
6	Distributable Amount. Subtract line 5 from line 4, unless subject to			
	emergency temporary reduction (see instructions).	6		

7 Check here if the current year is the organization's first as a non-functionally integrated Type III supporting organization (see instructions).

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019

932026 09-25-19

## Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

52-1744337 Page 7

Part V Type III Non-Functionally Integrated 509	(a)(3) Supporting Orga	nizations (continued)	r						
Section D - Distributions			Current Year						
1 Amounts paid to supported organizations to accomplish exe									
2 Amounts paid to perform activity that directly furthers exemption									
organizations, in excess of income from activity	organizations, in excess of income from activity								
3 Administrative expenses paid to accomplish exempt purpos	es of supported organizations	3							
4 Amounts paid to acquire exempt-use assets									
5 Qualified set-aside amounts (prior IRS approval required)									
6 Other distributions (describe in Part VI). See instructions.									
7 Total annual distributions. Add lines 1 through 6.									
8 Distributions to attentive supported organizations to which t	he organization is responsive								
(provide details in Part VI). See instructions.									
9 Distributable amount for 2019 from Section C, line 6									
10 Line 8 amount divided by line 9 amount									
Section E - Distribution Allocations (see instructions)	(i) Excess Distributions	(ii) Underdistributions Pre-2019	(iii) Distributable Amount for 2019						
1 Distributable amount for 2019 from Section C, line 6									
2 Underdistributions, if any, for years prior to 2019 (reason-			1.0.000 (States Director)						
able cause required- explain in Part VI). See instructions.									
3 Excess distributions carryover, if any, to 2019									
a From 2014									
b From 2015									
c From 2016									
<b>d</b> From 2017									
e From 2018									
f Total of lines 3a through e									
g Applied to underdistributions of prior years									
h Applied to 2019 distributable amount									
i Carryover from 2014 not applied (see instructions)		NAMES AND ADDRESS OF	Providence and Advice The						
j Remainder. Subtract lines 3g, 3h, and 3i from 3f.									
4 Distributions for 2019 from Section D,									
line 7: \$									
a Applied to underdistributions of prior years	0.000.0000000000								
b Applied to 2019 distributable amount									
c Remainder. Subtract lines 4a and 4b from 4.		And the second se							
5 Remaining underdistributions for years prior to 2019, if			The second second second second second						
any. Subtract lines 3g and 4a from line 2. For result greater									
than zero, explain in Part VI. See instructions.									
6 Remaining underdistributions for 2019. Subtract lines 3h									
and 4b from line 1. For result greater than zero, explain in									
Part VI. See instructions.									
7 Excess distributions carryover to 2020. Add lines 3									
and 4c.									
8 Breakdown of line 7:									
a Excess from 2015	CARL CENTRY OF COMPANY OF COMPANY								
b Excess from 2016									
c Excess from 2017									
d Excess from 2018									
e Excess from 2019		ner en en en en entre heren en							
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019

932027 09-25-19

### Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE Part VI Supplemental Information. Provide the explanations required

M	Supplemental Information. Provide the explanations required by Part II, line 10; Part II, line 17a or 17b; Part III, line 12;
	Part IV, Section A, lines 1, 2, 3b, 3c, 4b, 4c, 5a, 6, 9a, 9b, 9c, 11a, 11b, and 11c; Part IV, Section B, lines 1 and 2; Part IV, Section C,
	line 1; Part IV, Section D, lines 2 and 3; Part IV, Section E, lines 1c, 2a, 2b, 3a, and 3b; Part V, line 1; Part V, Section B, line 1e; Part V.
	Section D, lines 5, 6, and 8; and Part V, Section E, lines 2, 5, and 6. Also complete this part for any additional information.
	(See instructions.)

2028 09-25-19				<u></u>	Schedule A (Form 9 5 FOR JUSTICE	90 or 990-EZ) 20
MMIII ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )			8-1			
		******			<b>14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 -</b>	
		ah da ka mana ang kanana na kanana na sa				
					A.A	
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				······································	
		· · · · ·				
	addadaa a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a					
				Manda		<b>4</b> /1.01111.01111.01111.01111
					er	
*******						
			*****			
*****						
44144-0-441-0						
<del>Maddida</del>			With the former of the former			
						·····
						********
				Andreis Schemen and Scheme and S		****
				Administration and a second		****

SCHEDULE C	Po Po	litical Campaign	and Lobbyin	ng Activities		OMB No. 1545-0047	
(Form 990 or 990-EZ)		inizations Exempt From Incor	-	-	7	2019	
Densel and the Transmiss	Complete i	f the organization is describe	d below. 🕨 Attach t	to Form 990 or Form 99	90-EZ.	Open to Public	
Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service	► G	o to www.irs.gov/Form990 fo	r instructions and the	latest information.		Inspection	
<ul> <li>Section 501(c)(3) org</li> </ul>	janizations: Comj r than section 50	Form 990, Part IV, line 3, or F olete Parts I-A and B. Do not co 1(c)(3)) organizations: Complete Part I-A only.	mplete Part I-C.			ities), then	
<ul> <li>Section 501(c)(3) org</li> <li>Section 501(c)(3) org</li> </ul>	janizations that h janizations that h wered "Yes," on	Form 990, Part IV, line 4, or F ave filed Form 5768 (election u ave NOT filed Form 5768 (elect Form 990, Part IV, line 5 (Pro)	nder section 501(h)): C ion under section 501(	omplete Part II-A. Do no h)): Complete Part II-B. I	t comple Do not co	te Part II-B. Implete Part II-A.	
• Section 501(c)(4), (5)	-	ons: Complete Part III.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Name of organization				1	• -	r identification number	
Part I-A Compl	INSTITUT	TE FOR JUSTICE anization is exempt und	an an ation E01/a)		5	2-1744337	
<ul> <li>3 Volunteer hours for</li> <li>Part I-B Compl</li> <li>1 Enter the amount of</li> <li>2 Enter the amount of</li> <li>3 If the organization if</li> <li>4a Was a correction m</li> <li>b If "Yes," describe in</li> <li>Part I-C Compl</li> <li>1 Enter the amount of</li> <li>2 Enter the amount of</li> <li>2 Enter the amount of</li> <li>a Enter the amount of</li> <li>b Enter the amount of</li> <li>c Enter the amount of</li> <li>a Total exempt function and</li> </ul>	political campaig ete if the org if any excise tax i if any excise tax i ncurred a section nade? In Part IV. ete if the org lirectly expended of the filing organi ctivities ion expenditures.	anization is exempt und mourred by the organization und nourred by organization manag 4955 tax, did it file Form 4720 anization is exempt und by the filing organization for se zation's funds contributed to of Add lines 1 and 2. Enter here a	er section 501(c) der section 4955 ers under section 4955 for this year? er section 501(c), rction 527 exempt func ther organizations for s and on Form 1120-POL	(3). 5 , except section 5 tion activities section 527	▶ \$ ▶ \$ 01(c)(3)	Yes No	
<ul> <li>4 Did the filing organ</li> <li>5 Enter the names, a made payments. Finance contributions receiptions</li> </ul>	ization file Form ddresses and em or each organizat ved that were pro	1120-POL for this year? ployer identification number (El ion listed, enter the amount pai imptly and directly delivered to idditional space is needed, pro-	N) of all section 527 pc d from the filing organi a separate political org	olitical organizations to v ization's funds. Also ent yanization, such as a sej	which the er the am	ount of political	
(a) Nam	e	(b) Address	(c) EIN	(d) Amount paid fr filing organizatior funds. If none, ente	n's co r-0	(e) Amount of political ntributions received and promptly and directly delivered to a separate political organization. If none, enter -0	

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990 or 990-EZ. LHA

11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990

932041 11-26-19

Schedule C (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019

Schedule C (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

52-1744337 Page 2

section 501(h)).	ganization is exe	empt under section		ea rorm 5708 (ele	ction under
A Check  if the filing organize	ation belongs to an a	filiated group (and list i	n Part IV each affiliated	group member's name	address, EIN,
expenses, and sha	re of excess lobbying	g expenditures).			
B Check 🕨 🔄 if the filing organize	ation checked box A	and "limited control" pr	ovisions apply.		
	its on Lobbying Exp ditures" means amo	enditures ounts paid or incurred.	)	<b>(a)</b> Filing organization's totals	(b) Affiliated group totals
1a Total lobbying expenditures to infl	luence public opinion	(grassroots lobbying)		42,160.	
b Total lobbying expenditures to infl				267,609.	
c Total lobbying expenditures (add l	ines 1a and 1b)			309,769.	
d Other exempt purpose expenditur	es			26,023,490.	
e Total exempt purpose expenditure	es (add lines 1c and 1	d)		26,333,259.	
f Lobbying nontaxable amount. Ent	er the amount from t	he following table in bot	h columns.	1,000,000.	
If the amount on line 1e, column (a) (	or (b) is: The Ic	bbying nontaxable am	ount is:		
Not over \$500,000	20% c	f the amount on line 1e.			
Over \$500,000 but not over \$1,00	0,000 \$100,0	000 plus 15% of the exc	ess over \$500,000.		NUMBER OF STREET
Over \$1,000,000 but not over \$1,5	500,000 \$175,0	000 plus 10% of the exc	ess over \$1,000,000.		
Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17	,000,000 \$225,0	000 plus 5% of the exce	ss over \$1,500,000.		
Over \$17,000,000	\$1,00	0,000.			
				623 9 2 2 6 6 8 6 6	1845-049-91036-2
g Grassroots nontaxable amount (en	nter 25% of line 1f)			250,000.	
h Subtract line 1g from line 1a. If zer	o or less, enter -0-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		0.	
i Subtract line 1f from line 1c. If zero	o or less, enter -0- 🛄			0.	
j If there is an amount other than ze	ro on either line 1h o	r line 1i, did the organiza	ation file Form 4720		
reporting section 4911 tax for this	year?				Yes No
(Some organizations t	hat made a section		have to complete all o	of the five columns be	low.
		rate instructions for lin			
		enditures During 4-Yea	ir Averaging Period		
Calendar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	<b>(a)</b> 2016	<b>(b)</b> 2017	(c) 2018	( <b>d)</b> 2019	(e) Total
2a Lobbying nontaxable amount	1,000,000	1,000,000.	1,000,000.	1,000,000.	4,000,000.
<ul> <li>b Lobbying ceiling amount (150% of line 2a, column(e))</li> </ul>					6,000,000.
c Total lobbying expenditures	221,964	. 306,469.	323,049.	309,769.	1,161,251.
d Grassroots nontaxable amount	250,000	. 250,000.	250,000.	250,000.	1,000,000.
e Grassroots ceiling amount (150% of line 2d, column (e))					1,500,000.
f Grassroots lobbying expenditures	47,395	35,565.	33,382.	42,160.	158,502.

Schedule C (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019

932042 11-26-19

### Schedule C (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

52-1744337 Page 3

## Part II-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(3) and has NOT filed Form 5768 (election under section 501(h)).

of the lobbying activity.       Yes       No       Amount         1       During the year, did the filing organization attempt to influence foreign, national, state, or local legislation, including any attempt to influence public opinion on a legislative matter or referendum, through the use of:       Image: Complexity of the influence public opinion on a legislative matter or referendum, through the use of:         1       Volunteers?       Image: Complexity of the influence public opinion on a legislative matter or referendum, through the use of:       Image: Complexity of the influence public opinion on a legislative matter or referendum, through the use of:         2       Volunteers?       Image: Complexity of the influence public opinion on a legislative body?       Image: Complexity of the influence public opinion on a legislative body?         3       Grants to other organization for lobbying purposes?       Image: Complexity of the legislators, their staffs, government officials, or a legislative body?       Image: Complexity of the influence of	For ea	ach "Yes" response on lines 1a through 1i below, provide in Part IV a detailed description	(	a)	(b)	
local legislation, including any attempt to influence public opinion on a legislative matter or referendum, through the use of:       image: constraint of the constraint on the constraint the constraint on the constraint on the constraint on			Yes	No	Amo	ount
c Media advertisements?   d Mailings to members, legislators, or the public?   Publications, or published or broadcast statements?   f Grants to other organizations for lobbying purposes?   g Direct contact with legislators, their staffs, government officials, or a legislative body?   h Rallies, demonstrations, seminars, conventions, speeches, lectures, or any similar means?   i Other activities?   j Total. Add lines 1c through 1i   2a Did the activities in line 1 cause the organization to be not described in section 501(c)(3)?   b If "Yes," enter the amount of any tax incurred under section 4912   d If the filing organization incurred a section 4912 tax, idid it file Form 4720 for this year?   Part III-A Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6).   Yees No   1 Were substantially all (80% or more) dues received nondeductible by members?   2 Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures form the prior year?   3 Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures form the prior year?   3 Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures form 001(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6), or section 502(f)(1) activity		local legislation, including any attempt to influence public opinion on a legislative matter or referendum, through the use of:				
Publications, or published or broadcast statements? Grants to other organizations for lobbying purposes? Birect contact with legislators, their staffs, government officials, or a legislative body? Rallies, demonstrations, seminars, conventions, speeches, lectures, or any similar means? I Other activities? J Total. Add lines 1c through 1i 2a Did the activities in line 1 cause the organization to be not described in section 501(c)(3)? If 'Yes,' enter the amount of any tax incurred under section 4912 If the filing organization incurred a section 4912 at the filing organization incurred a section 4912 at the filing organization incurred a section 4912 tax, did it file Form 4720 for this year? Part III-A Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6). Yes No 1 Were substantially all (90% or more) dues received nondeductible by members? 1 User analyzation make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2.000 or less? 2 Joid the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures from the prior year? 3 Joid the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures from the prior year? 3 Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures from the prior year? 3 Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members 2 Section 152(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expenditures do not include amounts of political expenditures are political expenditures political						
i       Grants to other organizations for lobbying purposes?         g       Direct contact with legislators, their staffs, government officials, or a legislative body?         h       Rallies, demonstrations, seminars, conventions, speeches, lectures, or any similar means?         i       Other activities?         j       Total. Add lines 1c through 1i         2a       Did the activities in line 1 cause the organization to be not described in section 501(c)(3)?         b       If 'Yes, ' enter the amount of any tax incurred under section 4912         c       If 'Yes, ' enter the amount of any tax incurred under section 4912         d       If the filing organization incurred a section 4912 tax, did it file Form 4720 for this year?         Part III-A       Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6).         Yer       No         1       Were substantially all (90% or more) dues received nondeductible by members?       2         2       Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures from the prior year?       3         2       Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political expenditures of \$2.000 or less?       2       2         1       Urer substantially all (90% or more) dues received nondeductible by members?       1       1         2       Did the organization agree to carry over						
g Direct contact with legislators, their staffs, government officials, or a legislative body?		•				
h       Rallies, demonstrations, seminars, conventions, speeches, lectures, or any similar means?				+		
i Other activities?   j Total. Add lines 1c through 1i   2a Did the activities in line 1 cause the organization to be not described in section 501(c)(3)?   b If "Yes," enter the amount of any tax incurred under section 4912   c If "Yes," enter the amount of any tax incurred under section 4912   d If the filing organization incurred a section 4912 tax, did if file Form 4720 for this year?   Part III-A   Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section   501(c)(6).   Yes   1   Were substantially all (90% or more) dues received nondeductible by members?   2   2   2   3   Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less?   2   2   Did the organization arage to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures from the prior year?   3   Did the organization argee to carry over lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expender or total 2c   3   4   4   5   5				+		
2a Did the activities in line 1 cause the organization to be not described in section 501(c)(3)?       Image: Section 4912         b If "Yes," enter the amount of any tax incurred under section 4912       Image: Section 4912         c If "Yes," enter the amount of any tax incurred by organization managers under section 4912       Image: Section 4912         d If the filing organization incurred a section 4912 tax, did tifle Form 4720 for this year?       Image: Section 501(c)(6).         Part III-A       Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6).         1       Vere substantially all (90% or more) dues received nondeductible by members?       1         2       Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less?       2         3       Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures from the prior year?       3         Part III-B       Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6) and if either (a) BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2, are answered "No" OR (b) Part III-A, line 3, is answered "Yes."         1       Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members       1         2       Section 152(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expenditure section 527(f) tax was paid).       2a         2       Carryover from last year       2a         4       If notices were se		Other activities?				
b       If "Yes," enter the amount of any tax incurred under section 4912         c       If the filing organization incurred a section 4912 tax, did it file Form 4720 for this year?         Part III-A       Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section         501(c)(6).       Yes         1       2         2       1         2       2         3       Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less?         3       Did the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section         Solic)(5) and if either (a) BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2, are answered "No" OR (b) Part III-A, line 3, is answered "Yes."         1       Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members       1         2       2a       2a         4       Current year       2a         5       Total       2a	j					
c       If "Yes," enter the amount of any tax incurred by organization managers under section 4912         d       If the filing organization incurred a section 4912 tax, did it file Form 4720 for this year?         Part III-A       Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6).         Yes       No         1						
d If the filing organization incurred a section 4912 tax, did it file Form 4720 for this year?       Image: Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6).         Yes       No         1       Yes         2       Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less?       1         2       Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures from the prior year?       3         3       Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures from the prior year?       3         Part III-B       Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6) and if either (a) BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2, are answered "No" OR (b) Part III-A, line 3, is answered "Yes."         1       Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members       1         2       Za       Za         2       Did the section 527(f) tax was paid).       2a         2       Za       Za         2       Za       Za         3       Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 162(e) dues       3         4       If notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the excess does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (see				a series de la		
Part III-A       Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6).         1       Yes       No         1       Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less?       1       1         2       Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures from the prior year?       2       1         Part III-B       Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6) and if either (a) BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2, are answered "No" OR (b) Part III-A, line 3, is answered "Yes."         1       Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members       1         2       Section 162(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid).       1         2       Carryover from last year       2a       2a         3       Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 152(e) dues       3         4       If notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the excess does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)       3		• • •				
2       Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less?       2         3       Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures from the prior year?       3         9       Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures from the prior year?       3         9       Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6) and if either (a) BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2, are answered "No" OR (b) Part III-A, line 3, is answered "Yes."         1       Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members       1         2       2a         2       2a         3       2a         4       Current year       2a         5       Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)       5					•	No
2       Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less?       2         3       Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures from the prior year?       3         Part III-B       Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6) and if either (a) BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2, are answered "No" OR (b) Part III-A, line 3, is answered "Yes."         1       Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members       1         2       2         2       2         3       Current year         4       2a         2       2a         3       Aggregate amount reported in section 5033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 162(e) dues         4       If notices were sent and the amount on line 2 c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the excess does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and political expenditure next year?         5       Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)	1	Were substantially all (90% or more) dues received nondeductible by members?		1	<u> </u>	
3       Did the organization agree to carry over lobbying and political campaign activity expenditures from the prior year?       3         Part III-B       Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6) and if either (a) BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2, are answered "No" OR (b) Part III-A, line 3, is answered "Yes."         1       Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members       1         2       Section 162(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid).       1         a       Current year       2a         b       Carryover from last year       2b         c       Total       3         3       Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 162(e) dues       3         4       If notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the excess does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and political expenditure next year?       4         5       Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)       5	-					
Part III-B       Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), section 501(c)(5), or section 501(c)(6) and if either (a) BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2, are answered "No" OR (b) Part III-A, line 3, is answered "Yes."         1       Dues, assessments and similar amounts from members       1         2       Section 162(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid).       1         2       Current year       2a         b       Carryover from last year       2b         c       Total       3         3       Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 162(e) dues       3         4       If notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the excess does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and political expenditure next year?       4         5       Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)       5		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
2       Section 162(e) nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (do not include amounts of political expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid).       2a         a       Current year       2a         b       Carryover from last year       2b         c       Total       2c         3       Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 162(e) dues       3         4       If notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the excess does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)       4	-	t III-B Complete if the organization is exempt under section 501(c)(4), sectio 501(c)(6) and if either (a) BOTH Part III-A, lines 1 and 2, are answered answered "Yes."	n 501(c) "No" OR	(5), or sec I (b) Part		3, is
expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid).       2a         a Current year       2a         b Carryover from last year       2b         c Total       2c         3 Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 162(e) dues       3         4 If notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the excess does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and political expenditure next year?       4         5 Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)       5	1			1		
a Current year       2a         b Carryover from last year       2b         c Total       2c         3 Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 162(e) dues       3         4 If notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the excess does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and political expenditure next year?       4         5 Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)       5	2		cal			
b       Carryover from last year       2b         c       Total       2c         3       Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 162(e) dues       3         4       If notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the excess does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and political expenditure next year?       4         5       Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)       5		expenses for which the section 527(f) tax was paid).				
c       Total       2c         3       Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 162(e) dues       3         4       If notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the excess does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and political expenditure next year?       4         5       Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)       5		•				
3       Aggregate amount reported in section 6033(e)(1)(A) notices of nondeductible section 162(e) dues       3         4       If notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the excess does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and political expenditure next year?       4         5       Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)       5	b	Carryover from last year	••••••			
4       If notices were sent and the amount on line 2c exceeds the amount on line 3, what portion of the excess does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and political expenditure next year?       4         5       Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)       5	C					
does the organization agree to carryover to the reasonable estimate of nondeductible lobbying and political       4         expenditure next year?       4         5       Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)	3			3		
expenditure next year?       4         5       Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions)         5       5	4				ļ	
5 Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (see instructions) 5						
				·····	·	
	_				1	

Provide the descriptions required for Part I-A, line 1; Part I-B, line 4; Part I-C, line 5; Part II-A (affiliated group list); Part II-A, lines 1 and 2 (see instructions); and Part II-B, line 1. Also, complete this part for any additional information.

28

932043 11-26-19

Schedule C (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2019

<b>~</b> ~'			unnlamant		Statements		I	OMB No. 15	45-0047
SCHEDULE D       Supplemental Financial Statements         (Form 990)       ► Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990,								<b>י</b> חר	10
(rom	n 990)	Part	Complete if the org IV, line 6, 7, 8, 9, 10	anization answered , 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d,	"Yes" on Form 990, 11e, 11f, 12a, or 12b.		<u> </u>		
	nent of the Treasury Revenue Service			Attach to Form 990.		_		Open to Inspecti	825 NOT USE 5 5 7 8 10 10 10
	e of the organizati		www.irs.gov/Poims	SO IOF INSULCCIONS a	nd the latest mornatio		ployer ide	nen tenebra Sindersteine	Christian Anna ann an Anna
reality.	e of the organizati		E FOR JUST	ICE				17443	
Par	tl Organiza				r Similar Funds or /	Accou			
	organizatio	n answered "Yes" on I	Form 990, Part IV, lin	e 6.				•	
				(a) Donor ad	vised funds	(b) Fui	nds and oth	ner accou	nts
1	Total number at er	nd of year							
2		f contributions to (duri							
3	Aggregate value of	f grants from (during y	ear)						
4		t end of year							
5	-			•	s held in donor advised fu			_	
	are the organizatio	n's property, subject t	to the organization's	exclusive legal contro	bl?		L	Yes	No
6	=	=		-	t grant funds can be used	-			
			enefit of the donor o	r donor advisor, or fo	r any other purpose confe	erning	p	-	
	impermissible priva							Yes	No
Par					"Yes" on Form 990, Part	V, line 7	<u>.</u>		
1		ervation easements h		• • • •	<u> </u>				
		of land for public use	(for example, recrea	tion or education)	Preservation of a his	-	•		
		f natural habitat			Preservation of a ce	rtified hi	storic struc	ture	
~		of open space		1. J					
2			nization held a qualit	ied conservation con	tribution in the form of a d	onserva	1		
_	day of the tax year		_			0000500	Held at the	e End of the	e lax Year
							<u> </u>		
	-	icted by conservation					<u> </u>		
					on a historic structure	_ <u>2c</u> _			
		al Register		•		2d			
					or terminated by the orga		l during the	tav	
Ť	vear ►	adon casements mod	nneu, adrisierreu, rei	casea, exangaismea,	or terminated by the orga		ouring the		
4		 where property subject	t to conservation eas	ement is located					
5		ion have a written poli		-	ection, handling of				
-	•	prcement of the conse	, , , ,					Yes	No
6					, and enforcing conservat				
	▶		•	•	· •			<b>J</b> ,	
7	Amount of expense	es incurred in monitori	ing, inspecting, hand	ling of violations, and	enforcing conservation e	asemen	ts during th	ne year	
	►\$		_	-	-		-	-	
8	Does each conserv	ation easement repor	ted on line 2(d) above	e satisfy the requirem	ents of section 170(h)(4)(	3)(i)			
	and section 170(h)	(4)(B)(ii)?						Yes	No No
9	In Part XIII, describ	e how the organizatio	n reports conservatio	on easements in its re	venue and expense state	ment an	d		
	balance sheet, and	include, if applicable,	the text of the footn	ote to the organizatio	n's financial statements t	hat desc	ribes the		
-		ounting for conservation							
Par			=		reasures, or Other	Simila	r Assets	•	
		the organization answ							
1a					revenue statement and ba				
			-		on, or research in further	ance of J	oublic		
	-				describes these items.				
	-	-		•	nue statement and balan				
				exhibition, education	, or research in furtherand	ce of pul	olic service	9	
	-	ng amounts relating to							
							\$		
	(III) Assets include	a in Form 990, Part X				🕨	\$		

0	2	0	8	4	5	9	1
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Schedule D (Form 990) 2019

▶ \$

29 11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

2 If the organization received or held works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets for financial gain, provide

a Revenue included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 1

the following amounts required to be reported under FASB ASC 958 relating to these items:

LHA For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990.

b Assets included in Form 990, Part X

932051 10-02-19

Contraction of the local division of the loc	chedule D (Form 990) 2019 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 52-1744337 Page 2									
Rai	<b>CIII</b> Organizations Maintaining C	ollections of Art	, Historical Tre	asures, or	Other	<sup>•</sup> Simila	ir Assets	(contin	ued)	
3	Using the organization's acquisition, accession	on, and other records	, check any of the f	ollowing that	make si	gnificant	use of its			
	collection items (check all that apply):		_							
а	Public exhibition	b	Loan or excl	hange progra	m					
ь	Scholarly research	e	Other							
с	Preservation for future generations									
4	Provide a description of the organization's co	llections and explain	how they further th	ie organization	n's exen	npt purp	ose in Part	XIII.		
5	During the year, did the organization solicit of	receive donations o	f art, historical treas	sures, or othe	r similar	assets		_		_
-	to be sold to raise funds rather than to be ma					<u></u>		Yes		No
Par	t IV Escrow and Custodial Arrang		te if the organizatio	n answered "`	Yes" on	Form 99	0, Part IV, I	ine 9, or		
	reported an amount on Form 990, Par									
<b>1</b> a	Is the organization an agent, trustee, custodia							٦.,		1
	on Form 990, Part X?						L	Yes	L	No
Ь	If "Yes," explain the arrangement in Part XIII a	and complete the foll	owing table:			[	Τ			*****
						<u> </u>		Amoun		
	Beginning balance									
	Additions during the year									
	Distributions during the year									
	Ending balance							7.,		<b>1</b>
	Did the organization include an amount on Fo					ity?	L	Yes		No
Par	If "Yes," explain the arrangement in Part XIII.			بالبالية بالمتحدين بماحكما بمتحد بماحد والمراجع						
C. C.	t V Endowment Funds. Complete i	T			ľ					
		(a) Current year	(b) Prior year	(c) Two year		(a) inree	years back	(e) Four		
	Grants or scholarships									
e	Other expenditures for facilities	0.470								
	and programs	2,472.	2,627.	4	,345.					
	Administrative expenses	116 600	115 690		0.05		105 074	ļ	0.0	250
-	End of year balance	116,692.	115,680.	1	,025.		105,874.	l	<i>,</i>	259.
2	Provide the estimated percentage of the curr	,		)) held as:						
a	Board designated or quasi-endowment	.00	_%							
	Permanent endowment  100.00	%								
C	Term endowment  .00									
_	The percentages on lines 2a, 2b, and 2c show									
3a	Are there endowment funds not in the posse	ssion of the organiza	tion that are held ar	nd administer	ed for th	e organi	zation	Γ		
	by:								Yes	No
	(i) Unrelated organizations							3a(i)	<u> </u>	v
	(ii) Related organizations							<u>3a(ii)</u>		X
	If "Yes" on line 3a(ii), are the related organiza			•••••••••••••••••				ЗЬ		L.,
4 Pai	Describe in Part XIII the intended uses of the tVI Land, Buildings, and Equipm		wment tunds.							
State and	Complete if the organization answere		Part IV line 11a S	See Form 990	Part X	line 10				
	Description of property		1			*****	ted	(d) Boo	k valu	
	Description of property (a) Cost or other (b) Cost or other (c) Accumulated (d) Book value basis (investment) basis (other) depreciation									
 1a	Land	·····		İ						
b	Buildings						an ar markal di Cari			
	Leasehold improvements		2.72	4,282.	1.	977,9	73.	74	5,3	09.
ď	Equipment			6,871.		015,5				39.
	Other		*******	7,352.			i			52.
	. Add lines 1a through 1e. (Column (d) must e									00.
		MARKET WITH WWW. I CITLY					Schedule			
									,	

52-	17	74	4	3	3	7	Page 3	3
-----	----	----	---	---	---	---	--------	---

Schedule D (Form 990) 2019 INSTITUTE F	OR JUSTICE	52-1744337 Pa
Part VII Investments - Other Securities.		
Complete if the organization answered "Yes"	on Form 990, Part IV, line	11b. See Form 990, Part X, line 12.
(a) Description of security or category (including name of security)	(b) Book value	(c) Method of valuation: Cost or end-of-year market value
(1) Financial derivatives		
(2) Closely held equity interests		
(3) Other		
(A) UPDOP FIND	7 247 238	END-OF-VEND MADKET VALUE

(A) HEDGE FUND	7,247,238.	END-OF-YEAR MARKET VALUE
(B)		
(C)		
(D)		
(E)		
(F)		
(G)		
(H)		
Total. (Col. (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B) line 12.) 🕨	7,247,238.	

#### Part VIII Investments - Program Related.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 11c. See Form 990, Part X, line 13.

(a) Description of investment	(b) Book value	(c) Method of valuation: Cost or end-of-year market value
(1)		
(2)		
(3)		
(4)		
(5)		
(6)		
(7)		
(8)		
(9)		
Tatel (Col /b) must equal Form 990 Part X col (B) line 13 )		

#### Part IX Other Assets.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 11d. See Form 990, Part X, line 15.

(a) Description	(b) Book value	
(1)		
(2)		
(3)		
(4)		
(5)		
(6)		
(7)		
(8)		
(9)		
Total. (Column (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B) line 15.)	Þ	

### Part X Other Liabilities.

Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 11e or 11f. See Form 990, Part X, line 25. (a) Description of liability (b) Book value 1. (1) Federal income taxes (2) DEFERRED RENT 2,372,946 (3) CAPITAL LEASE LIABILITY 36,523 GIFT ANNUITY 469,394 (4) (5) (6) (7)(8) (9) 2,878,863. Total. (Column (b) must equal Form 990. Part X. col. (B) line 25.) 

2. Liability for uncertain tax positions. In Part XIII, provide the text of the footnote to the organization's financial statements that reports the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under FASB ASC 740. Check here if the text of the footnote has been provided in Part XIII ... X

Schedule D (Form 990) 2019

932053 10-02-19

Sche	dule D (Form 990) 2019 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE			52-	1744337	Page <b>4</b>
Ra	t XI Reconciliation of Revenue per Audited Financial Staten	nents With F	Revenue per Re	turn.		
	Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 1	2a.				<u> </u>
1	Total revenue, gains, and other support per audited financial statements			1	31,740	<u>,814.</u>
2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12:					
а	Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments	2a -	3,555,547.			
b	Donated services and use of facilities	<u>2b</u>	81,014.			
c	Recoveries of prior year grants	2c				
d	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)	2d				
e	Add lines 2a through 2d			2e	-3,474	
з	Subtract line 2e from line 1			3	35,215	<u>,347.</u>
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, but not on line 1:					
а	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b	4a				
b	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)	4b	-20.			
c	Add lines 4a and 4b			4c		-20.
5	Total revenue. Add lines 3 and 4c. (This must equal Form 990. Part I. line 12.)			5	35,215	<u>,327.</u>
Pa	rt XII Reconciliation of Expenses per Audited Financial State	ments With	Expenses per F	Retur	n.	
	Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 1	2a.				
1	Total expenses and losses per audited financial statements			1	26,414	<u>,293.</u>
2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part IX, line 25:					
а	Donated services and use of facilities	2a	81,014.			
b	Prior year adjustments	2b				
С	Other losses					
d			20.			
e	Add lines 2a through 2d			2e		<u>,034.</u>
з	Subtract line 2e from line 1			3	26,333	<u>,259.</u>
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part IX, line 25, but not on line 1:					
a	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b	4a				
ь	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)	4b				
с	Add lines 4a and 4b			4c		0.
5	Total expenses. Add lines 3 and 4c. (This must equal Form 990. Part I. line 18.)			5	26,333	,259.
Pa	rt XIII Supplemental Information.					

Provide the descriptions required for Part II, lines 3, 5, and 9; Part III, lines 1a and 4; Part IV, lines 1b and 2b; Part V, line 4; Part X, line 2; Part XI, lines 2d and 4b; and Part XII, lines 2d and 4b. Also complete this part to provide any additional information.

PART V, LINE 4:

ENDOWMENT FUNDS ARE MAINTAINED TO PROVIDE A PERMANENT SOURCE OF INCOME TO

SUPPORT THE INSTITUTE'S OVERALL MISSION. ENDOWMENT ASSETS ARE HELD IN

PERPETUITY AS DONOR-RESTRICTED GIFTS, WHILE INCOME GENERATED BY THE

ENDOWMENTS IS UTILIZED BY THE INSTITUTE FOR ITS GENERAL CHARITABLE

PURPOSE, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE GIFT INSTRUMENT.

PART X, LINE 2:

MANAGEMENT HAS DETERMINED THERE ARE NO UNCERTAIN TAX POSITIONS THAT ARE

MATERIAL TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2020 AND

2019. THE INSTITUTE RECOGNIZES INTEREST EXPENSE AND PENALTIES ON INCOME

TAXES RELATED TO UNCERTAIN TAX POSITIONS IN MANAGEMENT EXPENSES IN THE
932054 10-02-19
Schedule D (Form 990) 2019
32

11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 02084591

		Schedule D (Form 990) 201
M.1.4		
		······································
		v
		www.internet.com.com.com.com.com.com.com.com.com.com
······································		
DOD ON DISP	VUAL OF FIABD ADDEID	20.
	INE 2D - OTHER ADJUSTMENTS:	<u>^</u>
LOSS ON DISP	POSAL OF FIXED ASSETS	-20.
PART XI, LIN	NE 4B - OTHER ADJUSTMENTS:	
OF COLUMBIA.	•	
INTERNAL REV	VENUE SERVICE ("IRS") OR THE TAX JURISDICTION	OF THE DISTRICT
	BARS PRIOR TO 2016 ARE NO LONGER SUBJECT TO EX	······································
	CERTAIN TAX POSITIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE	
TN THRSE FIN	OF ACTIVITIES AND CHANGE IN NET ASSETS. THERE	
SINIEMENIS (	JE ACTIVITIGS AND CHANGE IN NET ASSETS. THERE	IS NO PROVISION

SCHEDULE F (Form 990)			ivities Outside the Un answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part			18 No. 1545-0047
Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service	E Go to y	www.irs.gov/Fo	Attach to Form 990. rm990 for instructions and the latest	information.	Open Inspe	to Public ction
Name of the organization					Employer identifi	
INSTITUTE FOR J	TIGMICE				52-174433	7
Part I General Info	rmation on A	ctivities Out	side the United States. Comple	ete if the organ		
Form 990, Part			·			
=	-		ds to substantiate the amount of its gra he selection criteria used to award the			Yes 🗌 No
2 For grantmakers. Des United States.	cribe in Part V the	organization's	procedures for monitoring the use of its	grants and ot	her assistance outsi	de the
	The following Part	I, line 3 table ca	n be duplicated if additional space is n	eeded.)		
(a) Region	(b) Number of offices in the region	(c) Number of employees, agents, and independent contractors in the region	<ul> <li>(d) Activities conducted in the region</li> <li>(by type) (such as, fundraising, pro- gram services, investments, grants to recipients located in the region)</li> </ul>	is a pro describe	vity listed in (d) gram service, a specific type (s) in the region	(f) Total expenditures for and investments in the region
CENTRAL AMERICA AND						
THE CARIBBEAN	0	0	INVESTMENTS			7,247,238.
						<u> </u>
3 a Subtotal		0				7,247,238.
b Total from continuation sheets to Part I		0			n an	0.
c Totals (add lines 3a and 3b)	0	0				7,247,238.
LHA For Paperwork Reduc	ction Act Notice,	see the instruc	tions for Form 990.		Schedule F	Form 990) 2019

932071 10-12-19

recipient who re	ceived more than \$5,00	00. Part II can be duplic	recipient who received more than \$5,000. Part II can be duplicated if additional space is needed.	sded.			eded.	1
1 (a) Name of organization	(b) IRS code section and EIN (if applicable)	(c) Region	(d) Purpose of grant	(e) Amount of cash grant	(f) Manner of cash disbursement	(g) Amount of noncash assistance	(h) Description of noncash assistance	(i) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other)
	recipient organizations th the grantee or couns	listed above that are re sel has provided a secti	Enter total number of recipient organizations listed above that are recognized as charities by the foreign country, recognized as taxexempt by the IRS, or for which the grantee or counsel has provided a section 501(c)(3) equivalency letter	foreign country, re	ecognized as tax-exe	empt		
3 Enter total number of other organizations or entities	other organizations or (	entities						

932072 10-12-19

Page 3		(h) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other)						Schedule F (Form 990) 2019
	IV, line 16.	(g) Description of noncash assistance						Sched
52-1744337	n Form 990, Part	(f) Amount of noncash assistance						
52	Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 16.	(e) Manner of cash disbursement						
		(d) Amount of cash grant						
JUSTICE	e the United Stat	(c) Number of recipients		-				
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	e to Individuals Outside Iditional space is needed	(b) Region						
Schedule F (Form 990) 2019	Part III Grants and Other Assistance to Individuals Outside the United States. Part III can be duplicated if additional space is needed.	(a) Type of grant or assistance						

932073 10-12-19

### Schedule F (Form 990) 2019 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE Part IV Foreign Forms

1	Was the organization a U.S. transferor of property to a foreign corporation during the tax year? If "Yes," the		
	organization may be required to file Form 926, Return by a U.S. Transferor of Property to a Foreign		
	Corporation (see Instructions for Form 926)	Yes Yes	X No
2	Did the organization have an interest in a foreign trust during the tax year? If "Yes," the organization		
	may be required to separately file Form 3520, Annual Return To Report Transactions With Foreign		
	Trusts and Receipt of Certain Foreign Gifts, and/or Form 3520-A, Annual Information Return of Foreign		
	Trust With a U.S. Owner (see Instructions for Forms 3520 and 3520-A; don't file with Form 990)	Yes Yes	X No
з	Did the organization have an ownership interest in a foreign corporation during the tax year? If "Yes,"		
	the organization may be required to file Form 5471, Information Return of U.S. Persons With Respect to		
	Certain Foreign Corporations (see Instructions for Form 5471)	Yes Yes	X No
4	Was the organization a direct or indirect shareholder of a passive foreign investment company or a		
	qualified electing fund during the tax year? If "Yes," the organization may be required to file Form 8621,		
	Information Retum by a Shareholder of a Passive Foreign Investment Company or Qualified Electing Fund		
	(see Instructions for Form 8621)	Yes Yes	X No
5	Did the organization have an ownership interest in a foreign partnership during the tax year? If "Yes,"		
	the organization may be required to file Form 8865, Return of U.S. Persons With Respect to Certain		
	Foreign Partnerships (see Instructions for Form 8865)	Yes Yes	X No
6	Did the organization have any operations in or related to any boycotting countries during the tax year? If		
	"Yes, " the organization may be required to separately file Form 5713, International Boycott Report (see		
	Instructions for Form 5713; don't file with Form 990)	Yes	X No

Schedule F (Form 990) 2019

932074 10-12-19

52-	1	7	44	3	3'	7	Page 5
-----	---	---	----	---	----	---	--------

Schedule F (Form 990) 2019	INSTITUTE	FOR	JUSTICE
Part V Supplemental	Information		

Provide the information required by Part I, line 2 (monitoring of funds); Part I, line 3, column (f) (accounting method; amounts of investments vs. expenditures per region); Part II, line 1 (accounting method); Part III (accounting method); and Part III, column (c) (estimated number of recipients), as applicable. Also complete this part to provide any additional information. See instructions.

			·····		
				 ······	
		 ······		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
				·····	
				······	
				······	 ······
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······	 

SCHEDULE I (Form 990)		Q Q Agenoo	Grants and Other Assistance to Organizations, Governments, and Individuals in the United States complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 21 or 22.	and Other Assistance to Organizations, lents, and Individuals in the United States organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 21 or 2	ce to Organi s in the Unit on Form 990, Part	zations, ed States : IV, line 21 or 22.		OMB No. 1545-0047
Department of the Treasury Intornal Revenue Service			Go to www.irs	Attach to Form 990. Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for the latest information.	n 990. * the latest inform:	ation.		Open to Public Inspection
Name of the organization I	INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	FOR JUST	LCE					Employer identification number 52-1744337
Part I General Information on Grants and Assistance	tion on Grants an	d Assistance						
1 Does the organization maintain records to substantiate the amount of the grants or assistance, the grantees' eligibility for the grants or assistance, and the selection	naintain records to	substantiate the	amount of the grants o	or assistance, the g	jrantees' eligibility i	or the grants or assis	tance, and the selectic	
criteria used to award the grants or assistance?	he grants or assist	ance?						X Yes No
2 Describe in Part IV the organization's procedures for monitoring the use of grant funds in the United States.	organization's proc	edures for monito	bring the use of grant fi	unds in the United	States.			
Part II Grants and Other Assistance to Domestic Organizations an	r Assistance to D	omestic Organiz	ations and Domestic	Governments. Co	omplete if the orga	nization answered "Y	id Domestic Governments. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 21, for any	IV, line 21, for any
recipient that rece	eived more than \$5	5,000. Part II can I	recipient that received more than \$5,000. Part II can be duplicated if additional space is needed.	nal space is neede	d.	16) Mathad of		
<ol> <li>(a) Name and address of organization or government</li> </ol>	of organization nt	( <b>b</b> ) EIN	(c) IRC section (if applicable)	(d) Amount of cash grant	(e) Amount of non-cash assistance	(1) weunod of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other)	(g) Description of noncash assistance	(h) Purpose of grant or assistance
STATE POLICY NETWORK 1655 NORTH FORT MYER DRIVE, #360	RIVE, #360							2020 SPN CONFERENCE
ARLINGTON, VA 22209		57-0952531	501(c)(3)	20,000.	.0			SPONSORSHIP
SERVING OUR CHIEDREN INC					*****			
1615 L STREET NW, SUITE	SULTE 750							GRANT TO ASSIST FARENTS APPLYING TO DC
	•	20-8874570 501(c)(	501(C)(3)	32,629.	0.			SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM
AMERICAN LEGISLATIVE EXCHANGE	KCHANGE							
COUNCIL (ALEC) - 2900 CRYSTAL DRIVE 6TH FLOOR - ARLINGTON VA	CRYSTAL INGTON VA		*****					0.010 VEVEPOGUTD
		52-0140979 501(C)(	501(C)(3)	17,000.	0.			CONTRIBUTION
	sction 501(c)(3) and	d government org	anizations listed in the	line 1 table				<b>.</b>
_	her organizations	isted in the line 1	table					
LHA For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990.	tion Act Notice, a	see the Instructio	ns for Form 990.					Schedule I (Form 990) (2019)

932,101 10-26-19

Schedule   (Form 990) (2019) INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	USTICE				52-1744337 Page 2
r Assista	Complete if the	organization answe	sted "Yes" on Form 94	90, Part IV, line 22.	
(a) Type of grant or assistance	(b) Number of recipients	(c) Amount of cash grant	(d) Amount of non- cash assistance	(e) Method of valuation (book, FMV, appraisal, other)	(f) Description of noncash assistance
Part IV Supplemental Information. Provide the information required in Part I, line 2: Part II, column (b): and any other additional information.	uired in Part I, lin	e 2; Part III, column	(b): and any other ad	ditional information.	
PART I, LINE 2:					
PURSUANT TO A GRANT AGREEMENT, GRAN	GRANTEE DELI	DELIVERS REGULAR	AR STATUS REPORTS	REPORTS TO	
IJ DURING THE TERM OF THE GRANT. IJ	J REVIEWS		THESE GRANT REPORTS AND	AND	
MONITORS PERFORMANCE AND COMPLIANCE	Z WITH THE	E TERMS OF	THE AGREEMENT	MENT.	
932.102 10-26-19					Schedule I (Form 990) (2019)
001155	Componentian Information				
--------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------			
SCHED		OMB No. 1545-0047			
(Form 9	90) For certain Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees	2019			
	Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 23.	Open to Public			
Department o Internal Rever		Inspection			
		mployer identification number			
	INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	52-1744337			
Part I	Questions Regarding Compensation	·····			
		Yes No			
1a Chec	k the appropriate box(es) if the organization provided any of the following to or for a person listed on Form 99	0,			
	/II, Section A, line 1a. Complete Part III to provide any relevant information regarding these items.	1 - SA (1.5 - 1.6 - S			
	First-class or charter travel Housing allowance or residence for personal				
	Travel for companions Payments for business use of personal resid	ence			
	Tax indemnification and gross-up payments Health or social club dues or initiation fees				
	Discretionary spending account Personal services (such as maid, chauffeur, o	chef)			
h lfar	of the boxes on line 1a are checked, did the organization follow a written policy regarding payment or				
	sursement or provision of all of the expenses described above? If "No," complete Part III to explain	16			
	to reanization require substantiation prior to reimbursing or allowing expenses incurred by all directors,				
	es, and officers, including the CEO/Executive Director, regarding the items checked on line 1a?	2			
3 Indica	ate which, if any, of the following the organization used to establish the compensation of the organization's				
	Executive Director. Check all that apply. Do not check any boxes for methods used by a related organization	to			
estab	lish compensation of the CEO/Executive Director, but explain in Part III.				
X	Compensation committee Written employment contract				
X	independent compensation consultant IX Compensation survey or study				
X	Form 990 of other organizations III Approval by the board or compensation com	mittee			
	g the year, did any person listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, with respect to the filing	Statistics as sends a first set			
-	ization or a related organization:				
	ve a severance payment or change-of-control payment?				
	ipate in, or receive payment from, a supplemental nonqualified retirement plan?				
	ipate in, or receive payment from, an equity-based compensation arrangement? s" to any of lines 4a-c, list the persons and provide the applicable amounts for each item in Part III.				
11 13	$s$ to any ordines $4a_0$ , set the persons and provide the applicable amounts for each item in Partin.	61480 11 days 108 00			
Only	section 501(c)(3), 501(c)(4), and 501(c)(29) organizations must complete lines 5-9.				
-	ersons listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any compensation				
•	igent on the revenues of:				
a Theo	- rganization?				
	elated organization?				
lf "Ye	s" on line 5a or 5b, describe in Part III.				
6 For p	ersons listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any compensation				
	igent on the net earlings of:				
a Theo	rganization?	<u>6a X</u>			
	elated organization?	<u>6b X</u>			
	s" on line 6a or 6b, describe in Part III.				
	ersons listed on Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization provide any nonfixed payments				
	escribed on lines 5 and 6? If "Yes," describe in Part III	<u>7 X</u>			
	any amounts reported on Form 990, Part VII, paid or accrued pursuant to a contract that was subject to the				
	contract exception described in Regulations section 53.4958-4(a)(3)? If "Yes," describe in Part III s" on line 8, did the organization also follow the rebuttable presumption procedure described in	<u>8 X</u>			
	ations section 53.4958-6(c)?				
	Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990.	Schedule J (Form 990) 2019			

Form 990) 2019 INSTITUTE	FOR	JUSTICE
--------------------------	-----	---------

52-1744337

Page 2

For each individual whose compensation must be reported on Schedule J, report compensation from the organization on row (i) and from related organizations, described in the instructions, on row (ii). Do not list any individuals that aren't listed on Form 990, Part VII. Schedule J (Form 990) 2019 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 52-1744337 Part II Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees. Use duplicate copies if additional space is needed.

Note: The sum of columns (B)(i)(iii) for each listed individual must equal the total amount of Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, applicable column (D) and (E) amounts for that individual.

		(B) Breakdown of	(B) Breakdown of W-2 and/or 1099-MISC compensation	SC compensation	(C) Retirement and	(D) Nontaxable	(E) Total of columns	E
(A) Name and Title		(i) Base compensation	(ii) Bonus & incentive compensation	(iii) Other reportable compensation	other deferred compensation	benefits	(B)(i)-(D)	in column (B) reported as deferred on prior Form 990
(1) SCOTT G. BULLOCK	E	409,403.	60,000.	72.	38,500.	9,005.	516,980.	0.
PRESIDENT AND GENERAL COUNSEL	: 8	0.		.0	0.	0.	0.	0.
(2) WILLIAM MELLOR	Ξ	38,614.	•0	317,839.	4,000.	18,011.	378,464.	317,458.
CHAIRMAN & FOUNDING GENERAL COUNSEL	3			0.	.0	0		· 0
t	ε	328,617.	45,000	31.	33,666.	10,369.	417,683.	0.
MANAGING VP-CFO/SECRETARY & TREAS.	E	.0	0.	.0	• 0	0.	0.	.0
(4) DANA BERLINER	Ξ	380,973.	60,000.	72.	38,500.	12,404.	491,949.	.0
SENIOR VP AND LITIGATION DIRECTOR	3	0.	.0	.0	.0	0.	0.	0.
(5) JOHN KRAMER	ε	337,136.	35,000.	72.	38,500.	31,036.	441,744.	0.
VP FOR COMMUNICATIONS		.0		•0	• 0		0.	.0
(6) DEBORAH SIMPSON	Ξ	297,889.	35,000.	72.	38,038.	30,186.	401,185.	.0
CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER		.0	.0	.0	.0	0.1	0.	0.
(7) BETH STEVENS	Ξ	265,403.	12,000.	72.	36,676.	11,755.	325,906.	0.
VP FOR DEVELOPMENT			.0	.0	.0	0.	.0	.0
(8) ROBERT MCNAMARA	Ξ	278,601.	15,000.	28.	37,500.	13,124.	344,253.	.0
SENIOR ATTORNEY	E	.0	•0	.0	0.	0.	0.	0.
(9) JEFFREY ROWES	Ξ	214,746.	20,000.	47.	34,433.	31,292.	300,518.	.0
SENIOR ATTORNEY	(ii)	.0	.0	.0	.0	0.		.0
(10) ROBERT GALL	Ξ	233,674.	.0	45.	35,006.	27,823.	296,548.	.0
MANAGING VP AND SENIOR ATTORNEY	(iii)	.0	.0	.0	0.	.0		0.
(11) MELANIE HILDRETH	Ξ	204,911.	15,000.	28.	33,206.	17,205.	270,350.	0
VP FOR EXTERNAL RELATIONS	(ii)	• 0		.0				
(12) MICHAEL BINDAS	(E)	185,300.	20,000.	47.	20,682.	29,480.	255,509.	
SENIOR ATTORNEY	(iii)	.0	.0	.0	•0	.0	0.	0.
	Ξ							
	œ							
	Ξ							
	▣							
	Ξ							
	Ξ							
	Ξ							
	(1)							

932112 10-21-19

Schedule J (Form 990) 2019

Schedule J (Form 990) 2019 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	52-1744337 Page 3
Provide the information, explanation, or descriptions required for Part I, lines 1a, 1b, 3, 4a, 4b, 4c, 5a, 5b, 6a, 6b, 7, and 8, and for Part II. Also complete this part for any additional information.	part for any additional information.
PART I, LINE 4B:	
FOUNDING GENERAL COUNSEL WILLIAM MELLOR PARTICIPATED IN A SECTION 457(F)	
PLAN. THERE WERE NO CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE PLAN FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30,	
2020. THE FINAL \$317,458 OF DEFERRED COMPENSATION IN THE PLAN WAS PAID TO	
MR. MELLOR IN DECEMBER 2019.	
PART I, LINE 7:	
THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE DETERMINES, ON AN ANNUAL BASIS, THE BONUSES TO	
BE AWARDED TO SIX SENIOR IJ EMPLOYEES: (I) PRESIDENT/GENERAL COUNSEL, (II)	
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT FOR LITIGATION, (III) CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER, (IV)	
MANAGING VP AND SENIOR ATTORNEY, (V) VICE PRESIDENT FOR COMMUNICATIONS, AND	
(VI) THE MANAGING VP-CFO/SECRETARY AND TREASURER. FOR ALL OTHERS, BONUSES	
ARE DETERMINED BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE INSTITUTE ON AN ANNUAL BASIS. ALL	
BONUSES ARE BASED ON A BOARD APPROVED BUDGET.	
	Schedule J (Form 990) 2019

932113 10-21-19

## SCHEDULE M (Form 990)

# **Noncash Contributions**

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service Complete if the organizations answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, lines 29 or 30.

Attach to Form 990.

► Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for instructions and the latest information.

Inspection Employer identification number

OMB No. 1545-0047

**Open to Public** 

19

52-1744337

/

-		
Name	e of the	organization

Part I Types of Property

# INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

		(a) Check if applicable	(b) Number of contributions or items contributed	(c) Noncash contribution amounts reported on Form 990, Part VIII, line 1g	(d) Method of determining noncash contribution amounts
1	Art - Works of art			······································	
2	Art - Historical treasures				
3	Art - Fractional interests				
4	Books and publications				
5	Clothing and household goods				
6	Cars and other vehicles	X	3	1,010.	FMV
7	Boats and planes				
8	Intellectual property				
9	Securities - Publicly traded	X	40	1,477,933.	FMV
10	Securities - Closely held stock				
11	Securities - Partnership, LLC, or				
	trust interests				
12	Securities - Miscellaneous				
13	Qualified conservation contribution -				
	Historic structures				
14	Qualified conservation contribution - Other				
15	Real estate - Residential		ļ		
16	Real estate - Commercial				
17	Real estate - Other				
18	Collectibles				
19	Food inventory				
20	Drugs and medical supplies				
21	Taxidermy				
22	Historical artifacts				
23	Scientific specimens				
24	Archeological artifacts				
25	Other ► (BITCOIN)	X	8	6,172.	FMV
26	Other ► ()	L			
27	Other ► ()				
28	Other 🕨 ()	L	I		1
29	Number of Forms 8283 received by the organiz for which the organization completed Form 82				
30a	During the year, did the organization receive by	y contributio	m any property rep	ported in Part I, lines 1 throug	nh 28, that it
	must hold for at least three years from the date				
	exempt purposes for the entire holding period				30a X
Ь	If "Yes," describe the arrangement in Part II.	••••••			
31	Does the organization have a gift acceptance	oolicy that re	quires the review	of any nonstandard contribu	tions? 31 X
32a					
	contributions?		•		32a X
Ь	If "Yes," describe in Part II.				
33	If the organization didn't report an amount in c	olumn (c) fo	r a type of propert	y for which column (a) is che	cked,
	describe in Part II.				

LHA For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990.

Schedule M (Form 990) 2019

Schedule M (Form 990) 2019 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

52-1744337 Page 2

Part II Supplemental Information. Provide the information required by Part I, lines 30b, 32b, and 33, and whether the organization is reporting in Part I, column (b), the number of contributions, the number of items received, or a combination of both. Also complete this part for any additional information.

SCHEDULE M, PART I, COLUMN (B):

THE CONTRIBUTIONS REPORTED IN COLUMN B OF PART I ABOVE REPRESENT THE

NUMBER OF CONTRIBUTIONS RECEIVED.

SCHEDULE M, LINE 32B:

THE INSTITUTE UTILIZES A BROKERAGE FIRM TO SELL DONATED SECURITIES AND

OTHER INVESTMENT VEHICLES.

Schedule M (Form 990) 2019

932142 09-27-19

SCHEDULE O

Internal Revenue Service

(Form 990 or 990-EZ) Department of the Treasury Supplemental Information to Form 990 or 990-EZ

Complete to provide information for responses to specific questions on Form 990 or 990-EZ or to provide any additional information. Attach to Form 990 or 990-EZ. Go to www.irs.gov/Form990 for the latest information.



Name of the organization

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

FORM 990, PART III, LINE 1, DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION MISSION:

THROUGH STRATEGIC LITIGATION, TRAINING, COMMUNICATION, ACTIVISM AND

RESEARCH, THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE (IJ) ADVANCES A RULE OF LAW UNDER

WHICH INDIVIDUALS CAN CONTROL THEIR DESTINIES AS FREE AND RESPONSIBLE

MEMBERS OF SOCIETY. IJ LITIGATES TO SECURE ECONOMIC LIBERTY,

EDUCATIONAL CHOICE, PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS, FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND

OTHER VITAL INDIVIDUAL LIBERTIES, AND TO RESTORE CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITS

ON THE POWER OF GOVERNMENT. IN ADDITION, IJ TRAINS LAW STUDENTS,

LAWYERS AND POLICY ACTIVISTS IN THE TACTICS OF PUBLIC INTEREST

LITIGATION. THROUGH THESE ACTIVITIES, IJ CHALLENGES THE IDEOLOGY OF THE

WELFARE STATE AND ILLUSTRATES AND EXTENDS THE BENEFITS OF FREEDOM TO

THOSE WHOSE FULL ENJOYMENT OF LIBERTY IS DENIED BY GOVERNMENT.

FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 11B:

THE FORM 990 WAS REVIEWED BY THE INSTITUTE'S AUDIT COMMITTEE IN

CONSULTATION WITH THE INSTITUTE'S INDEPENDENT AUDITORS, AS NECESSARY. AFTER

REVIEW BY THE AUDIT COMMITTEE, THE FORM 990 WAS DISTRIBUTED TO THE FULL

BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 12C:

ON AN ANNUAL BASIS BOTH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND EVERY EMPLOYEE REVIEW

THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY AND MUST DISCLOSE ANY CONFLICTS WITH THE

INSTITUTE. THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS REVIEWS THE POLICY AT OR AROUND ITS FINAL

MEETING OF THE FISCAL YEAR AND EACH MEMBER PROVIDES WRITTEN

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. EVERY EMPLOYEE RECEIVES AN ELECTRONIC COPY OF THE POLICY. LHA For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990 or 990-EZ. Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) 932211 09-06-19 ANY CONFLICTS OR POTENTIAL CONFLICTS ARE RESOLVED BY THE PRESIDENT OR OTHERWISE REPORTED BY THE PRESIDENT AND REVIEWED AND RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, LESS ANY MEMBER THAT MAY HAVE A CONFLICT OR POTENTIAL CONFLICT.

FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION B, LINE 15:

AT THE FALL BOARD MEETING, THE BOARD DETERMINES THE COMPENSATION OF SIX EMPLOYEES: THE PRESIDENT/GENERAL COUNSEL, THE SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT FOR LITIGATION, THE CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER, THE MANAGING VICE PRESIDENT AND SENIOR ATTORNEY, THE VICE PRESIDENT FOR COMMUNICATIONS, AND THE MANAGING VICE PRESIDENT-CFO/SECRETARY AND TREASURER. IJ PROVIDES THE BOARD'S COMPENSATION COMMITTEE WITH PRESENT AND PAST COMPENSATION AMOUNTS FOR THESE POSITIONS, AS WELL AS COMPARABLE DATA FROM THE MOST RECENTLY AVAILABLE FORM 990 FOR SIMILARLY SITUATED NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS. IJ ALSO ANNUALLY ENGAGES AN OUTSIDE VENDOR TO PROVIDE AN INDEPENDENT COMPENSATION SURVEY. THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE MAKES A RECOMMENDATION ON COMPENSATION TO THE FULL BOARD (EXCEPT FOR THE PRESIDENT/GENERAL COUNSEL, WHO IS RECUSED), AND THE FULL BOARD THEN VOTES TO DETERMINE COMPENSATION, WHICH DECISION IS CONTEMPORANEOUSLY RECORDED AND COMMUNICATED TO THE CFO BY THE CHAIRMAN AND PLACED IN THE APPLICABLE CONFIDENTIAL EMPLOYMENT FILES. DURING THE SUMMER BOARD MEETING, THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AUTHORIZES FORECASTED COMPENSATION INCREASES FOR OTHER OFFICERS AND KEY EMPLOYEES THROUGH ITS APPROVAL OF THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR'S BUDGET.

FORM 990, PART VI, LINE 17, LIST OF STATES RECEIVING COPY OF FORM 990: AL,AK,AZ,AR,CA,CO,CT,DC,FL,GA,HI,IL,KS,KY,ME,MD,MA,MI,MN,MS,NH,NJ,NM,NY,NC ND,OH,OK,OR,PA,RI,SC,TN,UT,VA,WA,WV,WI

932212 09-06-19

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

FORM 990, PART VI, SECTION C, LINE 19:

IJ'S 990 AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ARE AVAILABLE ON ITS AND OTHER WEBSITES.

IJ'S 990, FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, AND OTHER IRS DOCUMENTATION, GOVERNING

DOCUMENTS AND CERTAIN OTHER POLICIES ARE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC UPON

REQUEST.

FORM 990, PART XII, LINE 2C:

THE INSTITUTE HAS AN AUDIT COMMITTEE THAT ASSUMES RESPONSIBILITY FOR OVERSIGHT OF THE AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SELECTION OF AN INDEPENDENT AUDITOR. THE PROCESS HAS NOT CHANGED SINCE THE PRIOR YEAR.

CASES IN LITIGATION

ESPINOZA V. MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE (IJ) SECURED A LANDMARK VICTORY FOR EDUCATIONAL CHOICE AT THE U.S. SUPREME COURT WHEN THE COURT RULED THAT BARRING RELIGIOUS OPTIONS IN SCHOOL CHOICE PROGRAMS VIOLATES THE FIRST AMENDMENT'S PROTECTIONS FOR RELIGIOUS LIBERTY. PROGRAMS MUST BE NEUTRAL REGARDING RELIGION AND ALLOW FAMILIES TO CHOOSE THE SCHOOL THAT WORKS BEST FOR THEM. IN 2015, IJ FILED SUIT ON BEHALF OF THREE MONTANA FAMILIES TO DEFEND A TAX-CREDIT SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM FROM AN ATTACK BY THE MONTANA STATE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, WHICH ATTEMPTED TO LIMIT THESE SCHOLARSHIPS TO NONRELIGIOUS PRIVATE SCHOOLS. WHEN THE MONTANA SUPREME COURT STRUCK DOWN THE ENTIRE PROGRAM FOR INCLUDING RELIGIOUSLY AFFILIATED SCHOOLS, IJ APPEALED THE CASE TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT, AND WE WON. IJ WILL USE THIS VICTORY TO EXPAND EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR THOUSANDS OF FAMILIES ACROSS AMERICA.

932212 09-06-19

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

### TIMBS V. STATE OF INDIANA

SEVEN YEARS AND ONE LANDMARK U.S. SUPREME COURT VICTORY LATER, IJ CLIENT TYSON TIMBS FINALLY HAS HIS CAR BACK. IN APRIL 2020, A TRIAL COURT JUDGE RULED THAT THE CIVIL FORFEITURE OF TYSON'S \$35,000 LAND ROVER VIOLATED THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT'S BAN ON GOVERNMENTS IMPOSING EXCESSIVE FINES AND FEES AND ORDERED THE STATE OF INDIANA TO RETURN HIS CAR IMMEDIATELY. TYSON'S JOURNEY BEGAN WHEN LAW ENFORCEMENT USED FORFEITURE TO SEIZE HIS VEHICLE AFTER HE WAS CONVICTED OF SELLING \$225 WORTH OF DRUGS. AFTER THE INDIANA SUPREME COURT RULED THAT THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT PROVIDES NO PROTECTION AGAINST FINES AND FORFEITURES IMPOSED BY THE STATES, IJ CHALLENGED THIS DECISION AT THE U.S. SUPREME COURT -AND RESOUNDINGLY WON. THE U.S. SUPREME COURT HELD THAT THE BAN ON EXCESSIVE FINES AND FEES APPLIES TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS WELL AS THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, A DECISION WHICH PROTECTS AMERICANS FROM ABUSE OF THEIR PROPERTY RIGHTS BY ALL LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT. THE HIGH COURT SENT TYSON'S CASE BACK TO THE INDIANA SUPREME COURT, WHICH IN TURN SENT THE CASE BACK TO THE TRIAL COURT, WHICH RULED FOR TYSON. AMAZINGLY, THE INDIANA ATTORNEY GENERAL HAS APPEALED THE DECISION. PLACING TYSON'S CASE BEFORE THE INDIANA SUPREME COURT FOR A THIRD TIME. IJ WILL KEEP FIGHTING ON BEHALF OF TYSON AND ALL VICTIMS OF CIVIL FORFEITURE.

SOUTH MOUNTAIN CREAMERY, LLC, V. FDA, ET AL.

DAIRY FARMERS ACROSS AMERICA CAN SELL, AND THEIR CUSTOMERS CAN ENJOY,

TRUTHFULLY LABELED SKIM MILK THANKS TO AN IJ VICTORY FOR FREE SPEECH.

RANDY SOWERS IS THE FOUNDER OF SOUTH MOUNTAIN CREAMERY IN MIDDLETOWN, 932212 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
MARYLAND. THE SKIM MILK HE SELLS IS 100% PURE, WITH NO ADDI	ITIVES; IT'S
SAFE TO DRINK AND LEGAL TO SELL. BUT WHEN RANDY WANTED TO S	SELL HIS SKIM
MILK ACROSS STATE LINES, HE LEARNED THAT FDA REGULATIONS RE	QUIRED HE
LABEL HIS ALL-NATURAL MILK AS "IMITATION SKIM MILK" OR "IMI	TATION MILK
PRODUCT" BECAUSE HE DIDN'T ADD TWO ARTIFICIAL VITAMINS TO I	T. IF HE
VIOLATED THIS REGULATION, HE COULD FACE FINES OR EVEN JAIL.	. THERE'S
NOTHING IMITATION ABOUT RANDY'S MILK, AND THE FIRST AMENDME	INT PROTECTS
HIS RIGHT TO TELL THE TRUTH. SO, RANDY AND IJ FILED A FEDER	RAL LAWSUIT
AGAINST THE FDA'S BAN ON TRUTHFUL ADVERTISING. IN RESPONSE,	, THE FDA
AGREED IN APRIL 2020 NOT TO ENFORCE THE LABELING REQUIREMEN	NT AND POSTED
AN EXPLANATION ON ITS WEBSITE THAT OTHER SKIM MILK PRODUCER	RS CAN
TRUTHFULLY LABEL THEIR PRODUCT "SKIM MILK."	

LADD, ET AL. V. REAL ESTATE COMMISSION OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN A VICTORY FOR ECONOMIC LIBERTY, THE PENNSYLVANIA SUPREME COURT HELD THAT VACATION RENTAL MANAGER SALLY LADD'S CONSTITUTIONAL LAWSUIT AGAINST THE PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE COMMISSION CAN MOVE FORWARD, REVERSING AN EARLIER DECISION BY THE PENNSYLVANIA COMMONWEALTH COURT DISMISSING THE CASE. THE DECISION VINDICATES THE RIGHT TO BARN AN HONEST LIVING ENSHRINED IN THE PENNSYLVANIA CONSTITUTION. THIS MEANS PENNSYLVANIANS CAN NOW LEVERAGE THE "MORE RESTRICTIVE" PROTECTIONS OF THE STATE CONSTITUTION WHEN CHALLENGING ECONOMIC LIBERTY RESTRICTIONS IN COURT. SALLY LADD IS AN ENTREPRENEUR WHO MANAGED VARIOUS VACATION PROPERTIES IN PENNSYLVANIA'S POCONO MOUNTAINS. THOUGH SHE IS NOT A REAL ESTATE BROKER, SALLY RECEIVED A CALL FROM THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE INFORMING HER THAT SHE WAS UNDER INVESTIGATION FOR THE UNLICENSED PRACTICE OF REAL ESTATE. TO CONTINUE TO OPERATE LEGALLY, SHE 932212 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) 50

Page **2** 

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52–1744337

WOULD HAVE TO SPEND THREE YEARS WORKING FOR AN ESTABLISHED BROKER, PASS TWO EXAMS, AND SET UP HER OWN BRICK-AND-MORTAR OFFICE IN PENNSYLVANIA. FORCED TO SHUT DOWN HER BUSINESS, SALLY TEAMED UP WITH IJ IN JULY 2017

TO CHALLENGE THIS HEAVY-HANDED LICENSING SCHEME AND VINDICATE THE

RIGHTS OF ENTREPRENEURS THROUGHOUT PENNSYLVANIA.

BROWNBACK V. KING

IN JANUARY, IJ LAUNCHED OUR PROJECT ON IMMUNITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY, AN INITIATIVE TO TEAR DOWN THE LEGAL DOCTRINES THAT SHIELD GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS FROM BEING HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR EVEN THE MOST OUTRAGEOUS ABUSES OF PEOPLE'S RIGHTS. TWO MONTHS LATER, IJ LEARNED THAT WE WILL BE HEADING TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT TO ARGUE A CASE THAT WILL DETERMINE WHETHER THE GOVERNMENT CAN INVENT YET ANOTHER LEGAL PROTECTION FOR THEMSELVES. IN 2014, TWO PLAINCLOTHES OFFICIALS - A MICHIGAN POLICE OFFICER AND AN FBI AGENT - SAVAGELY BEAT IJ CLIENT JAMES KING AFTER MISTAKING THE THEN-COLLEGE STUDENT FOR A PETTY THIEF HE BARELY RESEMBLED. SINCE THEN, THE GOVERNMENT REPEATEDLY DENIED JAMES JUSTICE. NOW IT IS GOING EVEN FURTHER, SEEKING TO CREATE AN ENTIRELY NEW WAY OF PREVENTING PEOPLE FROM HOLDING ACCOUNTABLE FEDERAL OFFICERS WHO BREAK THE LAW. IJ WILL FIGHT FOR JAMES' RIGHTS AND TO CONVINCE THE HIGH COURT TO STOP THIS EXPANSION OF GOVERNMENT IMMUNITY.

HOHENBERG AND HANSON V. SHELBY COUNTY, TENNESSEE, ET AL.

WHEN A COURT PROCEEDING MAY RESULT IN A PERSON LOSING THEIR HOME, THE

U.S. CONSTITUTION DEMANDS A FAIR PROCESS WITH RIGOROUS SAFEGUARDS. FOR

DEFENDANTS IN MEMPHIS' ENVIRONMENTAL COURT, THE PROCESS IS ANYTHING BUT 932212 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) 51

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page <b>2</b>
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
FAIR. SARAH HOHENBERG AND JOSEPH HANSON BOTH ENDED UP IN E	NVIRONMENTAL
COURT AFTER TREES FELL ON THEIR HOUSES. BOTH ENDED UP LOSI	NG THEIR
HOMES AFTER A YEARS-LONG PROCESS IN A COURT WHERE WITNESSE	S ARE NOT
SWORN IN, EVIDENCE IS NOT AUTHENTICATED, AND PROCEEDINGS A	RE NOT
RECORDED, MAKING DECISIONS ALL BUT IMPOSSIBLE TO APPEAL. S	ARAH AND
JOSEPH HAVE PARTNERED WITH IJ FOR A LAWSUIT TO ENSURE THAT	THE
ENVIRONMENTAL COURT, AND SIMILAR HOUSING COURTS ACROSS THE	COUNTRY,
PROVIDE THE DUE PROCESS THAT THE CONSTITUTION REQUIRES, SO	THAT NO ONE
LOSES THEIR HOME TO A COURT WITHOUT PROPER SAFEGUARDS. THE	Y ARE ASKING
THAT THE COURT BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR MAKING BOTH OF THEM	HOMELESS.

RAINWATERS AND HOLLINGSWORTH V. TENNESSEE WILDLIFE RESOURCES AGENCY, ET

TERRY RAINWATERS AND HUNTER HOLLINGSWORTH OWN RURAL PROPERTIES IN TENNESSEE. THEIR PROPERTIES ARE THEIR SANCTUARIES, BUT OFFICERS FROM THE TENNESSEE WILDLIFE RESOURCES AGENCY (TWRA) ROUTINELY ENTER PRIVATE LAND ON A WHIM TO SEARCH FOR POTENTIAL HUNTING VIOLATIONS WITHOUT A WARRANT. THEY TRESPASS, TAKE PHOTOS AND VIDEOS, AND EVEN INSTALL CAMERAS TO RECORD 24/7. TWRA THINKS IT CAN GET AWAY WITH SUCH CREEPY SURVEILLANCE BECAUSE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT WRONGLY HELD THAT THE U.S. CONSTITUTION'S PROTECTIONS AGAINST UNREASONABLE SEARCHES DON'T APPLY TO "OPEN FIELDS." BUT THE TENNESSEE CONSTITUTION PROHIBITS STATE OFFICIALS FROM BARGING IN WHENEVER THEY WISH. THAT'S WHY TERRY AND HUNTER HAVE TEAMED UP WITH IJ TO SUE TWRA IN TENNESSEE STATE COURT AND VINDICATE THE RIGHT OF ALL TENNESSEANS TO BE FREE FROM UNCONSTITUTIONAL SEARCHES.

	MEADE	AND	SOOKRAM	<u>v.</u>	BONIN	AND	ETOH	MONITORIN	<del>3, LLC</del>				
	932212 09-06	-19								Schedu	ule O (Form 99	90 or 990-EZ) (20	)19)
								52					
114	40216	1472	27 0208	459-	-020845	9.09	90 2	019.05050	INSTITUT	E FOR	JUSTICE	E 020	84591

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization	Employer identification number

THE U.S. CONSTITUTION REQUIRES JUDGES TO BE OBJECTIVE WHEN DECIDING WHETHER TO DEPRIVE A PERSON OF HER LIBERTY OR PROPERTY. WHEN JUDGES HAVE A PERSONAL, POLITICAL, OR FINANCIAL INTEREST IN A CASE, THEY VIOLATE THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT'S GUARANTEE OF DUE PROCESS. HAKEEM MEADE, MARSHALL SOOKRAM, AND TOO MANY OTHERS IN NEW ORLEANS KNOW THIS ALL TOO WELL - THEY WERE ORDERED TO SUBMIT TO ANKLE MONITORING BY A JUDGE WHO HAS PERSONAL, POLITICAL, AND FINANCIAL TIES TO THE COMPANY THAT PROVIDED AND CHARGED FOR THIS SERVICE. NOW, HAKEEM AND MARSHALL ARE FIGHTING TO ENSURE THAT ANKLE MONITORING DECISIONS IN ORLEANS PARISH AND ELSEWHERE ARE MADE WITHOUT BIAS OR THE APPEARANCE OF BIAS. IN MAY 2020, THEY TEAMED UP WITH IJ TO FILE A CIVIL RIGHTS CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT SEEKING AN ORDER DECLARING THAT JUDICIAL DECISIONS INFLUENCED BY A JUDGE'S TIES TO A PRIVATE PARTY VIOLATE THE CONSTITUTION, AND REQUIRING THE COMPANY TO DISGORGE THE FEES IT HAS COLLECTED FROM DEFENDANTS APPEARING BEFORE JUDGE BONIN AND CANCEL ANY REMAINING FEES.

INGRAM, ET AL. V. WAYNE COUNTY

 FOR DECADES, RESIDENTS OF DETROIT AND WAYNE COUNTY, MICHIGAN, HAVE

 LIVED UNDER CONSTANT THREAT OF HAVING THEIR CARS TAKEN AWAY AND

 RANSOMED BACK TO THEM FOR \$1,000 OR MORE - THAT IS, IF THE CAR IS EVER

 RECOVERED. THE PERPETRATORS ARE POLICE AND PROSECUTORS WHO USE CIVIL

 FORFEITURE TO SEIZE HUNDREDS OF CARS EACH YEAR. DETROITERS MELISA

 INGRAM AND ROBERT REEVES BOTH LOST THEIR CARS (AND OTHER PERSONAL

 PROPERTY INSIDE) WHEN WAYNE COUNTY SEIZED THEM BASED ON OTHER PEOPLE'S

 ALLEGED MISBEHAVIOR. MELISA AND ROBERT HAVE PARTNERED WITH THE

 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE TO FILE A MAJOR FEDERAL CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT

 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

 53

 11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990
 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE
 02084591

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization	Employer identification number
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	52-1744337

CHALLENGING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF WAYNE COUNTY'S FORFEITURE PROGRAM. THE LAWSUIT ASKS THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN TO ENFORCE THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS AND THE RIGHTS TO BE FREE FROM UNREASONABLE SEIZURES AND EXCESSIVE FINES.

BROWN AND ROLIN V. TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, ET AL.

RETIRED RAILROAD ENGINEER TERRY ROLIN'S LIFE SAVINGS OF \$82,373 WERE SEIZED BY THE GOVERNMENT, BUT HE HASN'T BEEN CHARGED WITH ANY CRIME. TERRY SAVED UP CASH AND KEPT IT IN HIS PITTSBURGH HOME OVER MANY YEARS. HE ASKED HIS DAUGHTER, REBECCA BROWN, TO TAKE THE MONEY HOME WITH HER TO BOSTON AND DEPOSIT IT INTO A NEW JOINT BANK ACCOUNT. REBECCA CHECKED ONLINE AND FOUND OUT THAT FLYING DOMESTICALLY WITH ANY AMOUNT OF CASH IS COMPLETELY LEGAL. BUT AT THE AIRPORT, THE TSA HELD HER BAGS AS SHE WENT THROUGH SECURITY SCREENING, THEN A DEA AGENT TOOK THE MONEY WITHOUT CHARGING REBECCA WITH A CRIME OR ARRESTING HER. MONTHS LATER, THE GOVERNMENT SAID IT WAS KEEPING THE MONEY FOR GOOD. SO, TERRY AND REBECCA JOINED WITH IJ IN JANUARY 2020 TO FILE A CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT AGAINST THE DEA AND TSA FOR PRACTICES THAT VIOLATE THE CONSTITUTION AND ARE OUTSIDE THE TSA'S LEGAL AUTHORITY. IN RESPONSE TO THE LAWSUIT, THE GOVERNMENT RETURNED TERRY'S MONEY. BUT BECAUSE TERRY AND REBECCA'S SUIT INCLUDES CLASS ACTION CLAIMS TO VINDICATE THE RIGHTS OF OTHER TRAVELERS, WE WILL CONTINUE TO LITIGATE IT IN FEDERAL COURT.

LECH V. CITY OF GREENWOOD VILLAGE

SHOCKINGLY, THE 10TH U.S. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS HELD IN 2019 THAT AS

LONG AS THE GOVERNMENT USES ITS "POLICE POWER" TO DESTROY PROPERTY, IT 932212 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) 54

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
CANNOT BE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE COMPENSATION FOR THAT PROPER	TY UNDER THE
U.S. CONSTITUTION'S TAKINGS CLAUSE. THE INSTITUTE FOR JUST	ICE FILED A
PETITION ASKING U.S. SUPREME COURT TO TELL THE 10TH CIRCUIT	I THAT THERE
IS NO "POLICE POWER" EXCEPTION TO THE TAKINGS CLAUSE. THE	CASE WAS
BROUGHT BY LEO, ALFONSINA, AND JOHN LECH, SEEKING COMPENSA	TION FOR THE
DESTRUCTION OF A HOME LEO AND ALFONSINA OWNED (AND IN WHICH	H THEIR SON
JOHN LIVED WITH HIS OWN FAMILY) IN GREENWOOD VILLAGE, COLOR	RADO. IN
2015, AN ARMED SHOPLIFTER FLED INTO THE HOME (APPARENTLY AN	F RANDOM).
AFTER TAKING GUNFIRE FROM THE SHOPLIFTER, THE POLICE USED I	XPLOSIVES,
HIGH-CALIBER AMMUNITION, AND A BATTERING RAM. THE FUGITIVE	WAS
APPREHENDED, BUT THE HOME WAS TOTALED. UNFORTUNATELY, IN JU	JNE OF 2020,
THE SUPREME COURT DENIED THE LECHS' PETITION FOR REVIEW. IS	J REMAINS
COMMITTED TO GETTING THIS PRECEDENT OVERTURNED IN A FUTURE	CASE.

SALGADO V. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

IN MAY 2015, MILADIS SALGADO RETURNED HOME TO FIND POLICE HAD RAIDED HER HOME AND TAKEN HER LIFE SAVINGS OF \$15,000 BASED ON A FALSE TIP THAT HER ESTRANGED HUSBAND WAS DEALING DRUGS. THE DRUG ENFORCEMENT AGENCY (DEA) ATTEMPTED TO KEEP MILADIS' MONEY FOREVER, BUT SHE WENT TO COURT TO GET HER MONEY BACK. RIGHT BEFORE THE COURT WAS ABOUT TO RULE, THE DEA SUDDENLY AGREED TO GIVE THE MONEY BACK AND CLAIMED THIS MEANT MILADIS HAD NOT WON HER CASE AND THEREFORE SHOULD NOT RECEIVE AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES. MILADIS' LAWYER OBJECTED, BUT THE COURT AGREED WITH THE GOVERNMENT. THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE TEAMED UP WITH MILADIS TO BRING HER PETITION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT BE ABLE TAKE YOUR PROPERTY, KEEP IT FOR YEARS, AND THEN SUDDENLY GIVE IT BACK AND PRETEND LIKE NOTHING HAPPENED. <sup>992212</sup> <sup>150</sup>

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization	Employer identification number
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	52-1744337

UNFORTUNATELY, IN APRIL 2020, THE SUPREME COURT DECLINED TO HEAR THE

### WOODCREST HOMES, INC. V. CAROUSEL FARMS METRO. DISTRICT

IN 2006, WOODCREST HOMES BEGAN PLANNING A HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN COLORADO. YEARS LATER, CENTURY COMMUNITIES, A COMPETING DEVELOPER, PURCHASED LAND SURROUNDING WOODCREST, THEN CREATED A SO-CALLED "MUNICIPAL DISTRICT" - A PSEUDO-GOVERNMENTAL BODY PERMITTED IN COLORADO - COMPRISING THEIR AND WOODCREST'S LAND AND STAFFED BY CENTURY'S OWN EMPLOYEES. THE DISTRICT THEN "VOTED" TO USE EMINENT DOMAIN TO TAKE AWAY WOODCREST'S LAND. WOODCREST CHALLENGED THE TAKING IN COURT, BUT THE COLORADO SUPREME COURT HELD THAT ALL THAT MATTERED WAS WHAT WOODCREST WANTED TO PUT ON THE LAND (ROADS AND UTILITIES), NOT WHETHER THE PROCESS HAD BEEN CAPTURED BY A PRIVATE DEVELOPER SERVING ITS OWN ENDS. THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE PARTNERED WITH WOODCREST TO FORMALLY PETITION THE U.S. SUPREME COURT TO REVIEW THE CASE. UNFORTUNATELY, ON MARCH 23, 2020, THE SUPREME COURT DECIDED NOT TO HEAR THIS CASE, WHICH MEANS THAT THE COLORADO SUPREME COURT'S DECISION UPHOLDING THIS LAND GRAB REMAINS THE LAW OF THE LAND IN THAT STATE.

CAMERON V. CITY OF RICHLAND

LINDA CAMERON HAD BEEN LIVING IN THE SAME RICHLAND, WASHINGTON, HOME FOR MORE THAN 40 YEARS WHEN SHE DECIDED TO TURN HER OUTDATED CARPORT INTO A GARAGE AND ADD A SECOND BEDROOM AND BATHROOM. ALTHOUGH THE CITY BUILDING PERMIT AND INSPECTION OFFICE WAS PREPARED TO APPROVE THE PERMIT, THE RICHLAND PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, WHICH ALSO REVIEWED 332212 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) 56

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
LINDA'S APPLICATION, INFORMED HER THAT BECAUSE HER RENOVAT	IONS WERE
SLATED TO COST MORE THAN \$50,000, SHE'D HAVE TO "RENOVATE"	THE CITY
STREET ADJOINING THE BACK OF HER PROPERTY. ALL TOLD, THE M	ANDATORY
STREET "IMPROVEMENTS" ADDED ROUGHLY \$60,000 TO THE COST OF	LINDA'S
RENOVATION. THESE FEES AMOUNT TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONDI-	FION ON A
PROPERTY OWNER'S RIGHT TO USE HER OWN PROPERTY. THAT'S WHY	LINDA
PARTNERED WITH THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE TO CHALLENGE RICH	LAND'S
UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW IN FEDERAL COURT. FOLLOWING LINDA'S CI	HALLENGE,
RICHLAND CHANGED ITS LAW AND GRANTED LINDA'S PERMIT, ALLOW	ING HER TO
MAKE HER RENOVATIONS. IJ RECEIVED FROM THE GOVERNMENT \$10,6	000 IN
ATTORNEY'S FEES IN THIS CASE.	
LOZANO, ET AL. V. ZION	
THE CITY OF ZION, ILLINOIS, REQUIRES LANDLORDS TO FORCE TEN	NANTS TO OPEN
THE DOORS OF THEIR HOMES TO CITY INSPECTORS WITHOUT A WARRA	ANT. IF A
TENANT REFUSES TO CONSENT TO AN INSPECTION, THE CITY THREAD	TENS THEIR

LANDLORD WITH RUINOUS FINES. THE CITY REFUSES TO ACQUIRE SEARCH

WARRANTS IN RESPONSE TO TENANT OBJECTIONS, AND IT IS APPARENT THAT THE

PRACTICE IS PART OF A BROADER PLAN TO DISCOURAGE RENTERS FROM LIVING IN

ZION AT ALL. IN SEPTEMBER 2019, JOSEFINA LOZANO AND THREE OF HER

TENANTS JOINED WITH IJ TO FILE A FEDERAL LAWSUIT TO SHUT DOWN ZION'S

WARRANTLESS INSPECTION PROGRAM, BECAUSE YOUR HOME IS YOUR CASTLE,

WHETHER YOU RENT OR OWN.

WEST V. WINFIELD

932212 09-06-19

SHANIZ WEST'S NIGHTMARE STARTED WHEN SHE STOPPED HOME ONE AFTERNOON IN

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
2014 TO FIND HER HOUSE SURROUNDED BY FIVE LOCAL POLICE OFF	ICERS. THEY
TOLD HER THEY WERE LOOKING FOR HER EX-BOYFRIEND; SHE SAID	HE WASN'T
THERE AND GAVE THEM A KEY SO THEY COULD SEE FOR THEMSELVES	. INSTEAD,
THEY CALLED IN THE LOCAL SWAT TEAM AND BOMBARDED IT FROM T	HE OUTSIDE
WITH TEAR-GAS GRENADES. SHANIZ'S HOME AND POSSESSIONS WERE	DESTROYED,
AND THE EX-BOYFRIEND WAS NOWHERE TO BE FOUND. SHANIZ SUED	TO CHALLENGE
THE WARRANTLESS DESTRUCTION OF HER HOME AND PROPERTY. THE	9TH U.S.
CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS DID NOT FIND THAT IT WAS EITHER R	IGHT OR WRONG
FOR OFFICERS TO DESTROY HER HOUSE AND EVERYTHING IN IT. RA	THER, IT
SIMPLY SAID THAT NO CASE IN THE CIRCUIT HAD CLEARLY ESTABL	ISHED WHAT
THE OFFICERS DID WAS ILLEGAL, SO SHANIZ LOST. THE REASON I	S A
CONTROVERSIAL LEGAL DOCTRINE CALLED "QUALIFIED IMMUNITY,"	WHICH MAKES
IT ALMOST IMPOSSIBLE TO HOLD GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS ACCOUNTA	BLE UNLESS A
COURT HAS PREVIOUSLY RULED THAT EXACTLY WHAT THEY DID IS	
UNCONSTITUTIONAL. THAT IS WHY SHANIZ JOINED FORCES WITH IJ	TO ASK THE
SUPREME COURT TO HEAR HER CASE AND ESTABLISH ONCE AND FOR	ALL THAT
QUALIFIED IMMUNITY CANNOT BE USED TO ALLOW GOVERNMENT OFFI	CIALS TO
VIOLATE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS WITH IMPUNITY. UNFORTUNATELY	, THE SUPREME
COURT DECLINED TO HEAR SHANIZ'S CASE AT THE END OF ITS 201	9 TERM, ALONG
WITH EVERY OTHER QUALIFIED IMMUNITY CASE THAT SOUGHT SUPRE	ME COURT
REVIEW.	

FICKEN V. CITY OF DUNEDIN, FLORIDA, ET AL.

IJ JOINED WITH JIM FICKEN OF DUNEDIN, FLORIDA, TO CHALLENGE THE CITY'S

ATTEMPT TO FORECLOSE HIS HOME SIMPLY BECAUSE HIS GRASS WAS TOO LONG.

WHILE JIM WAS OUT OF TOWN TENDING TO HIS LATE MOTHER'S ESTATE, CITY

 CODE
 ENFORCEMENT
 OFFICERS
 BEGAN
 FINING
 HIM
 FOR
 HIS
 LONG
 GRASS
 TO
 THE

 9322 12
 09-06-19
 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

 58

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
TUNE OF \$500 PER DAY. BY THE TIME HE GOT BACK AND BECAME A	WARE THAT HE
WAS BEING FINED, THEY HAD ALREADY ACCRUED TO NEARLY \$30,00	0. THE CITY
TOLD JIM HE HAD 15 DAYS TO PAY, OR THEY WOULD GET THEIR MO	NEY BY
FORECLOSING ON HIS HOME. AND THAT IS JUST WHAT THE CITY VO	TED TO DO.
BUT JIM AND IJ WON ROUND ONE WHEN A JUDGE IN THE MIDDLE DI	STRICT OF
FLORIDA DENIED THE CITY'S MOTION TO DISMISS JIM'S LAWSUIT.	THIS CASE IS
ABOUT MORE THAN JUST SAVING JIM'S HOME; IT IS ABOUT ENSURI	NG – FOR
EVERYONE - THAT ABUSIVE GOVERNMENTS CANNOT TRUMP THE CONST	ITUTION.
DAVIS, ET AL. V. CITY OF CHICAGO	
IN APRIL 2019, IJ FILED A CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT CHALLENGING	CHICAGO'S
MASSIVE AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL VEHICLE IMPOUND PROGRAM. IN A	CITY THAT
RUNS A CHRONIC BUDGET DEFICIT OF MORE THAN \$100 MILLION, IN	MPOUNDING
VEHICLES HAS BECOME AN EASY - AND SIGNIFICANT - SOURCE OF	CASH. THE
LEAD PLAINTIFFS IN OUR CLASS ACTION ARE INNOCENT OWNERS JE	ROME DAVIS
AND VERONICA WALKER-DAVIS. THE CITY IMPOUNDED THEIR CAR AFT	TER AN
AUTO-SHOP EMPLOYEE TOOK IT FOR A JOY RIDE WHILE IT WAS IN T	THE SHOP FOR
REPAIRS. AFTER FIGHTING THE CITY FOR NEARLY A YEAR AND BEIN	NG CHARGED
THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN FINES AND FEES, THE DAVISES ARRIVED	O TO PICK UP
THEIR VEHICLE - ONLY TO FIND THAT CITY HAD ALREADY DESTROY	ED IT. A
VICTORY IN THIS CASE HAS THE POTENTIAL TO CREATE BROAD, SYS	STEMIC CHANGE
IN AN AREA WHERE ABUSE IS RAMPANT. IN JUNE 2020, THE MAYOR	OF CHICAGO
PROPOSED REFORMS TO THE IMPOUND PROGRAM THAT WOULD FIX SOME	GOF THE
GLARING CONSTITUTIONAL PROBLEMS. WHILE A GOOD FIRST STEP, (	OUR LAWSUIT
WILL NOT STOP UNTIL EVERYONE IS PROTECTED FROM HAVING THEIF	CARS
UNJUSTLY IMPOUNDED.	
WILL NOT STOP UNTIL EVERYONE IS PROTECTED FROM HAVING THEIF UNJUSTLY IMPOUNDED.	CARS

932212 09-06-19

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

#### BRUMIT V. CITY OF GRANITE CITY

NO ONE SHOULD BE PUNISHED FOR A CRIME SOMEONE ELSE COMMITTED. BUT TRY TELLING THAT TO GRANITE CITY, ILLINOIS, WHERE CITY OFFICIALS ARE TRYING TO KICK ANDY SIMPSON AND DEBI BRUMIT (ALONG WITH DEBI'S GRANDCHILDREN) OUT OF THEIR HOME AS PUNISHMENT FOR A CRIME EVERYONE AGREES THEY DID NOT COMMIT. WHY? BECAUSE DEBI'S DAUGHTER (WHO DOES NOT LIVE WITH HER) STOLE A VAN ELSEWHERE IN TOWN. GRANITE CITY HAS WHAT IT CALLS A "CRIME-FREE" HOUSING ORDINANCE THAT AMOUNTS TO A COMPULSORY EVICTION LAW. UNDER THE LAW, IF ANY MEMBER OF YOUR "HOUSEHOLD" OR EVEN A GUEST COMMITS A CRIME ANYWHERE IN THE CITY THEN YOUR LANDLORD IS REQUIRED TO EVICT YOU. BUT DEBI AND ANDY'S LANDLORD DOESN'T WANT TO EVICT THEM. THAT IS WHY DEBI AND ANDY HAVE TEAMED UP WITH THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE TO SUE GRANITE CITY TO AFFIRM THE BASIC PRINCIPLE THAT AMERICANS CANNOT BE RENDERED HOMELESS AS PUNISHMENT FOR OTHER PEOPLE'S CRIMES. IN OCTOBER 2019, A FEDERAL JUDGE AGREED, ENTERING A RESTRAINING ORDER THAT WILL KEEP DEBI AND ANDY SAFELY IN THEIR HOME WHILE THEIR LAWSUIT PROCEEDS.

CITY OF NORCO V. MUGAR

THREE YEARS AGO, NORCO, CALIFORNIA, RESIDENT RON MUGAR RECEIVED A NOTICE INDICATING THAT HE HAD VIOLATED THE CITY'S HOUSING CODE. HE ADMITTEDLY ALLOWED HIS HOME AND BACKYARD TO BECOME CLUTTERED WITH HOBBY MACHINERY. BUT INSTEAD OF FINING HIM OR ASKING HIM TO BRING HIS PROPERTY UP TO CODE, THE CITY'S PRIVATE, FOR-PROFIT PROSECUTORS DECLARED THEY WERE GOING TO TAKE OVER OWNERSHIP OF HIS HOUSE USING A LEGAL PROCESS KNOWN AS "RECEIVERSHIP." TRADITIONALLY, RECEIVERSHIPS 932212 09-06-19 60

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
ALLOW A CITY TO TAKE TEMPORARY OWNERSHIP OF A PROPERTY TO	FIX AN
IMMINENT DANGER TO A COMMUNITY, SUCH AS A STRUCTURALLY UNS	OUND
BUILDING. UNFORTUNATELY, RECEIVERSHIPS ARE NOW BEING USED	IN CALIFORNIA
TO ADDRESS EVEN MINOR CODE VIOLATIONS. RON BROUGHT HIS HOM	E AND YARD UP
TO CODE AND FOUGHT THE RECEIVERSHIP IN COURT - AND WON. BU	T JUST WHEN
RON THOUGHT HE COULD RELAX, HE RECEIVED A BILL FROM THE CI	TY
PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE FOR THE \$60,798.94 IT SPENT LOSING RON	'S CASE. RON
IS EFFECTIVELY BEING PUNISHED FOR SUCCESSFULLY DEFENDING H	IS PROPERTY
RIGHTS AGAINST GOVERNMENT OVERREACH. UNDER BOTH THE U.S. A	ND CALIFORNIA
CONSTITUTIONS, PROSECUTORS CANNOT HAVE A DIRECT FINANCIAL	INTEREST IN
THE OUTCOME OF THEIR CASES. IJ JOINED RON IN APRIL 2019 TO	VINDICATE
HIS RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS AND TO PROTECT ALL CALIFORNIA PRO	PERTY OWNERS
FROM FALLING VICTIM TO ABUSIVE CODE ENFORCEMENT SCHEMES.	
THE HOMELESS CHARITY, ET AL. V. AKRON BOARD OF ZONING APPE	ALS; THE

HOMELESS CHARITY, ET AL. V. CITY OF AKRON

AKRON, OHIO, HAS A SOARING HOMELESS POPULATION, AND GOVERNMENT POLICY IS AT LEAST PARTLY TO BLAME FOR THIS CRISIS, DRIVING UP EXISTING HOUSING PRICES AND MAKING IT DIFFICULT TO BUILD LOW-COST ALTERNATIVES. SAGE LEWIS STEPPED UP TO PROVIDE REAL SUPPORT TO THOSE IN NEED BY ALLOWING A FEW HOMELESS MEN AND WOMEN TO PITCH THEIR TENTS IN THE BACK LOT OF HIS BUILDING AND KEEP WARM IN THE BASEMENT WHEN NEEDED. THIS INFORMAL ARRANGEMENT EVOLVED INTO A COMMUNITY DESIGNED TO HELP HOMELESS MEN AND WOMEN TRANSITION BACK TO INDEPENDENCE. BUT WHILE AKRON OFFICIALS DO NOT OFFER ADEQUATE SOLUTIONS TO THE CITY'S HOMELESS PROBLEM, THEY ARE ALL TOO QUICK TO USE ZONING LAWS TO SHUT DOWN SECOND CHANCE VILLAGE. IJ JOINED WITH SAGE IN OCTOBER 2018 TO VINDICATE THE 932212 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) 61 11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 02084591

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization	Employer identification number
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	52-1744337

RIGHT TO CARRY ON THIS NOBLE WORK BY KEEPING VULNERABLE PEOPLE OFF THE

STREETS. UNFORTUNATELY, A DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS DISMISSED SAGE'S

CASE AGAINST THE CITY ON A TECHNICALITY, BUT OUR SUIT AGAINST THE AKRON

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS CONTINUES.

BEAN, ET AL. V. SEATTLE, ET AL.

WHEN IT COMES TO RESPECTING THE PROPERTY AND PRIVACY RIGHTS OF ITS RESIDENTS, THE CITY OF SEATTLE TREATS ITS RENTAL TENANTS AS SECOND-CLASS CITIZENS BY FORCING THEM TO ALLOW GOVERNMENT-MANDATED INSPECTORS INTO THEIR HOMES WITHOUT FIRST GETTING A WARRANT. RENTERS MATTHEW BENTLEY, WESLEY WILLIAMS, AND JOSEPH BRIERE FOUND THAT OUT FIRSTHAND WHEN THE CITY INFORMED THEIR LANDLORD THAT THEIR HOME NEEDED TO BE INSPECTED BY A GOVERNMENT-MANDATED HOUSING INSPECTOR. BENTLEY, WILLIAMS, AND BRIERE, ALONG WITH THEIR RESPECTIVE ROOMMATES, ALL VALUE THEIR PRIVACY AND INFORMED THE CITY THAT THEY DID NOT WANT THEIR HOME INSPECTED. THEIR LANDLORDS AGREED, AND TOLD THE CITY THEIR TENANTS REFUSED TO ALLOW AN INSPECTOR TO ENTER AND INSPECT THEIR APARTMENT. THE CITY RESPONDED BY THREATENING FINES UPWARDS OF \$500 PER DAY IF THE LANDLORDS DID NOT SOMEHOW COERCE THEIR TENANTS TO ALLOW THE UNCONSTITUTIONAL INSPECTION. THIS IS WHY BENTLEY, WILLIAMS, AND BRIERE, ALONG WITH THEIR LANDLORDS AND A GROUP OF OTHER RENTERS, PARTNERED TOGETHER WITH IJ IN DECEMBER 2018 TO FILE A CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT AGAINST SEATTLE ASKING THE COURTS TO UPHOLD RENTERS' PRIVACY RIGHTS BY SHUTTING DOWN SEATTLE'S WARRANTLESS INSPECTIONS PROGRAM. UNFORTUNATELY, THE TRIAL COURT DISMISSED THE CASE, AND IJ HAS TAKEN IT TO THE WASHINGTON COURT OF APPEALS.

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization	Employer identification number
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	52-1744337

VALANCOURT BOOKS, LLC V. CLAGGETT, ET AL.

VALANCOURT IS A SMALL PUBLISHING COMPANY OPERATED OUT OF THE RICHMOND,

VIRGINIA, HOME OF JAMES JENKINS, A FORMER LAWYER WHO FOUND HIS LIFE'S CALLING REVIVING AND POPULARIZING RARE, NEGLECTED, AND OUT-OF-PRINT FICTION. VALANCOURT HAS PUBLISHED MORE THAN 300 BOOKS, ALL OF WHICH THEY HAVE PERMISSION TO REPRINT. BUT IN JUNE 2018, JAMES RECEIVED AN EMAIL FROM THE U.S. COPYRIGHT OFFICE DEMANDING THAT HE PROVIDE IT WITH COPIES OF EVERY SINGLE BOOK IN VALANCOURT'S CATALOG AND THREATENING HIM WITH FINES THAT COULD REACH HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IF HE FAILED TO COMPLY. A LITTLE-KNOWN PROVISION OF FEDERAL LAW MAKES IT ILLEGAL TO PUBLISH A NEW BOOK IN THE UNITED STATES WITHOUT PROVIDING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WITH TWO FREE COPIES. VALANCOURT BOOKS JOINED WITH THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE IN AUGUST 2018 TO FILE A FEDERAL LAWSUIT AGAINST THE COPYRIGHT OFFICE AND THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, CLAIMING THAT THE BOOK-DEPOSIT MANDATE IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL BECAUSE IT VIOLATES THE TAKINGS CLAUSE OF THE FIFTH AMENDMENT AND OPERATES AS A PENALTY ON PEOPLE WHO PUBLISH PHYSICAL BOOKS WITHOUT TURNING OVER A COPY. BUT THE GOVERNMENT CAN'T PUNISH PEOPLE SIMPLY FOR PUBLISHING A BOOK, AND THE NOTION THAT A PRIVATE CITIZEN OWES THE GOVERNMENT A DEBT SIMPLY FOR ENGAGING IN A CERTAIN KIND OF SPEECH IS ANTITHETICAL TO THE CONSTITUTION.

KAZAZI V. U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION

IN OCTOBER OF 2017, RUSTEM KAZAZI, A FORMER POLICE OFFICER FROM

ALBANIA, WAS STOPPED IN SECURITY AT THE CLEVELAND AIRPORT AND HAD

\$58,100 IN CASH SEIZED BY U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTIONS (CBP) -932212 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
EVEN THOUGH HE HAD DONE NOTHING ILLEGAL. RUSTEM WAS FLYING	TO NEW
JERSEY AND THEN RETURNING TO HIS NATIVE COUNTRY WITH 12 YE	ARS' WORTH OF
FAMILY SAVINGS TO FIND A PROPERTY HE AND HIS WIFE COULD EN	JOY IN
RETIREMENT. ADDING INSULT TO INJURY, CBP CLAIMED LATER THA	T THEY ONLY
<u> TOOK \$57,330 - \$770 LESS THAN HE WAS ACTUALLY CARRYING. SO</u>	ON AFTER IJ
GOT INVOLVED, THE GOVERNMENT AGREED TO RETURN \$57,115. IN	NOVEMBER
2018, THE GOVERNMENT AGREED TO RETURN A FURTHER \$385. IJ R	ECEIVED FROM
THE GOVERNMENT \$43,280 IN FEES IN JULY 2019.	

BRUCKER V. CITY OF DORAVILLE

EACH YEAR, THE CITY OF DORAVILLE, GEORGIA, BUDGETS BETWEEN 17% AND 30% OF ITS OVERALL ANTICIPATED REVENUE TO COME FROM FINES AND FEES ISSUED BY ITS POLICE OFFICERS AND CODE INSPECTORS. BY PUTTING FINE REVENUE INTO ITS ANNUAL BUDGET, DORAVILLE CREATES A PERVERSE INCENTIVE FOR POLICE, PROSECUTORS, AND EVEN ITS MUNICIPAL COURT TO POLICE FOR PROFIT, RATHER THAN SEEK JUSTICE AND PROTECT THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF THE CITY. DORAVILLE HOMEOWNER HILDA BRUCKER WAS FINED AND SENTENCED TO SIX MONTHS OF PROBATION FOR THE "CRIME" OF HAVING CRACKS IN HER DRIVEWAY. HILDA'S NEIGHBOR JEFF THORNTON WAS FINED \$1,000 FOR THE CRIME OF HAVING A STACK OF FIREWOOD IN HIS BACKYARD. THAT IS WHY IN MAY 2018, HILDA, JEFF, AND TWO OTHERS PARTNERED WITH THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE TO STOP DORAVILLE'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL RELIANCE ON FINES AND FEES INCOME, AND TO FORCE THE CITY TO NOT USE THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM TO BALANCE ITS BUDGET. AFTER A FIRST-ROUND VICTORY IN APRIL 2019 AND A SECOND WIN THAT JULY, IJ IS CONTINUING TO FIGHT IN COURT TO END DORAVILLE'S ILLEGAL CASH GRAB.

932212 09-06-19

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 02084591

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization	Employer identification number

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

### SERRANO V. U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION

WHILE GERARDO SERRANO WAS CROSSING THE BORDER INTO MEXICO AT EAGLE PASS, TEXAS, U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION (CBP) USED CIVIL FORFEITURE TO SEIZE HIS TRUCK. AGENTS HAD FOUND FIVE LOW-CALIBER BULLETS IN HIS CENTER CONSOLE WHICH, ACCORDING TO CBP, CONSTITUTED TRANSPORTING "MUNITIONS OF WAR," MAKING THE TRUCK SUBJECT TO CIVIL FORFEITURE. FOR OVER TWO YEARS, THE AGENCY HELD GERARDO'S TRUCK WITHOUT EVER TAKING ITS CASE BEFORE A JUDGE - ALL WHILE GERARDO CONTINUED TO MAKE HIS MONTHLY CAR PAYMENTS. DONE WAITING, GERARDO JOINED WITH THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE TO SUE TO GET HIS PROPERTY BACK, AND IN OCTOBER 2017, THE GOVERNMENT FINALLY RETURNED GERARDO'S TRUCK. WHILE GERARDO HAS RECOVERED HIS OWN TRUCK, THE CASE IS STILL ONGOING, AS WE FILED SUIT ON BEHALF OF A CLASS OF OTHER PEOPLE WHO HAVE HAD THEIR VEHICLES SEIZED BY CBP AND ARE BEING HELD WITHOUT A HEARING. WE ARE CURRENTLY AWAITING A RULING FROM THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 5TH CIRCUIT.

### NWAORIE V. U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION

ANTHONIA NWAORIE IS A REGISTERED NURSE AND AN AMERICAN CITIZEN WHO WAS EN ROUTE TO NIGERIA IN OCTOBER 2017, WITH \$41,377 SHE HAD SAVED TO OPEN A MEDICAL CLINIC. BUT AT HOUSTON'S GEORGE BUSH INTERCONTINENTAL AIRPORT, U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION (CBP) AGENTS DISCOVERED HER MONEY AND TOOK EVERY PENNY - EVEN THOUGH SHE OBTAINED THE MONEY LEGALLY AND PLANNED TO USE IT LEGALLY. CBP STATED IT WOULD RETURN HER MONEY ONLY IF SHE SIGNED AN AGREEMENT WAIVING HER RIGHT TO INTEREST ON THE SEIZED PROPERTY AND HER RIGHTS TO SUE CBP OVER ANYTHING RELATED TO THE CONFISCATION OF HER MONEY. ANTHONIA TEAMED UP WITH IJ TO FILE A FEDERAL SCHEdule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) 02084591

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT AGAINST CBP, AND WITHIN JUST ONE MONT	'H, SHE
RECEIVED HER MONEY BACK. YET, IJ FORGED AHEAD WITH THE LAW	SUIT TO END
CBP'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND UNLAWFUL BEHAVIOR. WE RECEIVED	A SETBACK IN
AUGUST 2019 WHEN A DISTRICT COURT DISMISSED THE CASE, BUT	WE HAVE

APPEALED THE DISMISSAL TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 5TH

CIRCUIT.

MORALES V. CITY OF INDIO, ET AL.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS IN INDIO, CALIFORNIA, TEAMED UP WITH A PRIVATE LAW FIRM TO CHARGE PROPERTY OWNERS TO COVER THE COSTS OF THEIR OWN PROSECUTIONS. UNDER THIS OUTRAGEOUS SCHEME, THE LAW FIRM PROVIDED INDIO AND SEVERAL OTHER CALIFORNIA CITIES WITH "COST-NEUTRAL" CODE ENFORCEMENT SERVICES. THIS MEANS THAT EVERY TICKET THE CITY ISSUED FOR VIOLATIONS SUCH AS UNMOWED GRASS OR "SUN-DAMAGED" ADDRESS NUMBERS WAS MONEY IN THE BANK FOR THE CITY AND FOR THE LAW FIRM, WHICH THEN BILLED PROPERTY OWNERS THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS FOR "PROSECUTION FEES." IF OWNERS DARED TO CONTEST THE FEES, THEIR LEGAL BILLS SIMPLY GREW LARGER. IJ FILED A CLASS ACTION SUIT AGAINST THE CITY IN FEBRUARY 2018 TO PUT A STOP TO THIS EGREGIOUS FORM OF POLICING FOR PROFIT. AND THAT DECEMBER, WE WON A RESOUNDING VICTORY WHEN THE CITY OF INDIO AGREED TO RETURN THE MONEY OF EVERYONE WHO WAS VICTIMIZED BY THE CITY'S SCHEME. IT WAS A WELCOME RELIEF FOR OUR CLIENT RAMONA MORALES AND COUNTLESS OTHERS LIKE HER. THE CASE WILL OFFICIALLY CONCLUDE ONCE THE COURT GRANTS FINAL APPROVAL TO THE SETTLEMENT.

# EL-SHABAZZ, ET AL. V. CITY OF NEW YORK, ET AL.

932212 09-06-19

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

Name of the organization

Employer identification number

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 52-1744337 IN A FEDERAL CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT, IJ IS REPRESENTING THREE RENTERS TO CHALLENGE NEW YORK CITY'S "NO-FAULT" EVICTION LAW, WHICH ALLOWS POLICE TO SHUTTER A PROPERTY AND EVICT THE TENANT SIMPLY BECAUSE A CRIME OCCURRED ON THE PREMISES - EVEN IF THE TENANT HAD NO KNOWLEDGE OF THE CRIME. IJ CLIENT SUNG CHO OPERATES A LAUNDROMAT IN A RENTAL SPACE IN MANHATTAN AND FELL PREY TO THE SCHEME IN 2013, WHEN UNDERCOVER POLICE WENT TO THE LAUNDROMAT ASKING CUSTOMERS IF THEY WANTED TO PURCHASE STOLEN ELECTRONICS. NEITHER SUNG NOR ANY OF HIS EMPLOYEES WERE INVOLVED IN THE STING, BUT BECAUSE TWO CUSTOMERS TOOK THE BAIT, SUNG WAS THREATENED WITH EVICTION. THE CITY OFFERED TO DROP THE ACTION IF SUNG AGREED TO WAIVE HIS FOURTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO REFUSE WARRANTLESS SEARCHES, GIVE POLICE UNLIMITED ACCESS TO HIS SECURITY CAMERAS, AND CONSENT TO FINES AND SANCTIONS FOR ALLEGED CRIMINAL OFFENSES AT THE BUSINESS WITHOUT THE OPPORTUNITY TO GO BEFORE A JUDGE. THE DISTRICT COURT DISMISSED THE CASE ON JURISDICTIONAL GROUNDS IN JANUARY 2018, HOLDING THAT SUCH A CLAIM CAN ONLY BE BROUGHT IN STATE COURT. THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 2ND CIRCUIT REVERSED THIS RULING IN DECEMBER 2018, AND THE CASE IS NOW MOVING FORWARD IN THE DISTRICT COURT.

PLATT V. MOORE

ARIZONA RESIDENTS TERRY AND RIA PLATT LOANED THEIR CAR TO THEIR SON WHO WAS PULLED OVER BY POLICE FOR A WINDOW TINT VIOLATION. THE POLICE FOUND CASH AND A SMALL AMOUNT OF PERSONAL-USE MARIJUANA, BOTH OF WHICH THE SON ACKNOWLEDGED WERE HIS. EVEN THOUGH ARIZONA LAW DOES NOT ALLOW FORFEITURE OF A CAR UNDER SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES, THE POLICE AND PROSECUTOR STILL SEIZED AND HELD THE PLATTS' CAR. NAVAJO COUNTY PROSECUTORS THEN USED A TROUBLING PROCEDURE THAT TURNS PROSECUTORS INTO JUDGES TO KEEP 932212 09-06-18 67 11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 02084591

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
THE PLATTS FROM HAVING THEIR DAY IN COURT. A MONTH AFTER 7	THE INSTITUTE
FOR JUSTICE GOT INVOLVED, THE GOVERNMENT RELENTED AND RETU	JRNED THE CAR
TO TERRY AND RIA. IN RESPONSE TO CASES LIKE THE PLATTS', T	THE ARIZONA
LEGISLATURE ENACTED IMPORTANT REFORMS TO THE STATE'S FORFE	EITURE SYSTEM.
BUT ARIZONA STILL ALLOWS "UNCONTESTED FORFEITURE" FROM THO	OSE WHO HAVE
NEVER BEEN CHARGED WITH, MUCH LESS CONVICTED OF, ANY CRIMI	E. SO, THE
PLATTS AND IJ ARE CONTINUING TO FIGHT IN COURT TO ENSURE T	THE VIOLATION
OF TERRY AND RIA'S RIGHTS IS RECOGNIZED AND TO PREVENT THE	IS FROM
HAPPENING TO THEM OR OTHERS IN THE FUTURE.	
CITY OF DALLAS V. HINGA MBOGO, HINGA AUTOMOTIVE CO., D/B/2	A HINGA AUTO
REPAIR, AND 3516 ROSS AVENUE, DALLAS, TEXAS	
FOR 30 YEARS, KENYAN IMMIGRANT HINGA MBOGO HAS OWNED AND (	OPERATED HIS
AUTO GARAGE ON ROSS AVENUE IN DALLAS. BUT THEN THE CITY DI	
HINGA CLOSE UP SHOP AND LEAVE BECAUSE HIS POPULAR GARAGE I	
THE CITY COUNCIL'S VISION FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD. SEVERAL Y	EARS AGO, THE
CITY PASSED RETROACTIVE ZONING LAWS - A PROCESS OFFICIALLY	Y KNOWN AS
"AMORTIZATION" - AND SPECIFICALLY DISALLOWED ANY AUTOMOTIV	
BUSINESS IN THE ROSS STREET NEIGHBORHOOD. IN ADDITION, TH	
HINGA WITH MORE THAN \$300,000 IN FINES, EVEN THOUGH THE C	
REGULATIONS EXPLICITLY LIMIT FINES TO \$2,000. HINGA AND I	
TEXAS SUPREME COURT'S REVIEW OF A LOWER COURT'S JULY 2017	······································
DISMISSED THE CASE, NOT JUST SO THAT HE COULD CONTINUE TO	
BUSINESS ON LAND HE HAS OWNED FOR DECADES, BUT ALSO TO SE	
THAT PROTECTS THE RIGHTS OF OTHERS. UNFORTUNATELY, HINGA'S	
JUSTICE CAME TO AN ABRUPT AND FRUSTRATING END WHEN THE TE	AND DUTKEME
	edule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)
68 40216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FC	R JUSTICE 02084

Schedule O	(Form 990	or 990-EZ)	(2019)

Name of the organization

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

52-1744337

SOUROVELIS V. CITY OF PHILADELPHIA
IN SEPTEMBER 2018, IJ SUCCESSFULLY DISMANTLED THE CITY OF
PHILADELPHIA'S DRACONIAN FORFEITURE PROGRAM, USHERING IN LONG-AWAITED
JUSTICE TO THE 35,000 PHILADELPHIANS WHO WERE STRIPPED OF THEIR
PROPERTY AND THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. UNTIL IJ SUED, PHILADELPHIA
ROUTINELY SEIZED HOMES, CARS, AND CASH WITHOUT NOTICE. IT FORCED OWNERS
TO NAVIGATE THE NOTORIOUS "COURTROOM 478," WHERE SO-CALLED "HEARINGS"
WERE RUN ENTIRELY BY PROSECUTORS, WITHOUT ANY JUDGES OR COURT-APPOINTED
LAWYERS TO DEFEND PROPERTY OWNERS. MISSING EVEN A SINGLE "HEARING"
MEANT THAT PROSECUTORS COULD PERMANENTLY TAKE AN OWNER'S PROPERTY, SELL
IT, AND USE THE PROCEEDS FOR ANY LAW-ENFORCEMENT PURPOSE THEY WISHED,
CREATING A PERVERSE INCENTIVE TO SEIZE PROPERTY FOR FORFEITURE. IJ PUT
ALL THIS TO AN END BY SECURING TWO SWEEPING CONSENT DECREES - WHICH
RECEIVED PRELIMINARY APPROVAL IN MAY 2019 - THAT CURB THE FINANCIAL
INCENTIVES UNDER WHICH LAW ENFORCEMENT KEEPS AND USES FORFEITURE
REVENUE, FUNDAMENTALLY REFORM PROCEDURES FOR SEIZING AND FORFEITING
PROPERTY, AND ESTABLISH A \$3 MILLION FUND TO COMPENSATE THOSE WHOSE
PROPERTY WAS WRONGLY CONFISCATED.
CASINO REINVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY V. CHARLES AND LUCINDA
BIRNBAUM, ET AL.
IN FEBRUARY 2019, IJ WON A DECISIVE VICTORY ON BEHALF OF PIANO TUNER
CHARLIE BIRNBAUM, SAVING HIS LONGTIME FAMILY HOME FROM AN
UNCONSTITUTIONAL EMINENT DOMAIN ATTEMPT. THE SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW

JERSEY, APPELLATE DIVISION, AFFIRMED IJ'S LOWER-COURT VICTORY FROM

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
2016, WHICH CALLED THE STATE'S ATTEMPT TO TAKE CHARLIE'S H	ISTORIC
ATLANTIC CITY HOME "A MANIFEST ABUSE OF EMINENT DOMAIN POW	ER." THE
LONG-RUNNING COURT BATTLE PIT BIRNBAUM'S FAMILY HISTORY AG.	AINST THE
STATE CASINO REINVESTMENT AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, WHICH	SOUGHT TO
TAKE THE HOME IN SERVICE OF A "DEVELOPMENT" PROJECT THAT IT COULD	
NEITHER EXPLAIN NOR IDENTIFY. THANKS TO THIS VICTORY, CHAR	LIE CAN
FINALLY REST EASY KNOWING THAT THE HOME HE INHERITED FROM	HIS PARENTS -
HOLOCAUST SURVIVORS WHO IMMIGRATED TO AMERICA NEARLY 70 YE	ARS AGO - IS
SAFE FROM THE STATE'S WRECKING BALL. IT ALSO SENDS A STRON	G MESSAGE TO
OTHER LAND-HUNGRY AGENCIES THAT IJ IS READY TO CHALLENGE A	BUSIVE
TAKINGS AND PROTECT THE SWEEPING REFORMS TO EMINENT DOMAIN	LAWS WE HAVE
WORKED SO HARD TO SECURE. FOR THIS VICTORY, IJ RECEIVED FR	
GOVERNMENT \$221,935 IN FEES IN DECEMBER 2019.	

FULL CIRCLE OF LIVING AND DYING, ET AL. V. SANCHEZ, ET AL.

AKHILA MURPHY AND DONNA PEIZER ARE END-OF-LIFE DOULAS, HELPING PLAN
HOME FUNERALS AND PROVIDING EMOTIONAL AND PRACTICAL SUPPORT TO THE
DYING PERSON AND FAMILY. HOME FUNERALS ARE AN AMERICAN TRADITION LEGAL
IN EVERY STATE, AND THEY ARE EXPERIENCING A RESURGENCE. IN DECEMBER
2019, THE CALIFORNIA CEMETERY AND FUNERAL BUREAU RULED THAT AKHILA AND
DONNA'S BUSINESS IS AN UNLICENSED FUNERAL AGENCY, MEANING AKHILA AND
DONNA MUST BECOME LICENSED FUNERAL DIRECTORS AND BUILD A FUNERAL HOME.
THIS DECISION PROTECTS FUNERAL HOMES FROM COMPETITION WHILE LIMITING
OPTIONS FOR GRIEVING FAMILIES. SO, AKHILA AND DONNA HAVE JOINED WITH IJ
TO FILE A LAWSUIT IN FEDERAL COURT TO DEFEND THEIR FIRST AMENDMENT
RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH AND THEIR FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO EARN AN
HONEST LIVING. 932212 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) 70
14

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) Page 10 Pag	ge 2

Name of the organization

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

GURROLA V. DUNCAN AND KEPPLE

DARIO GURROLA LEARNED HOW TO FIGHT FIRES IN CALIFORNIA'S WELL-KNOWN

INMATE FIREFIGHTING PROGRAM. WHEN HE FINALLY TURNED HIS LIFE AROUND, HE

THOUGHT HE COULD TURN THE SKILLS HE LEARNED WHILE INCARCERATED INTO A

GOOD CAREER SERVING THE PUBLIC AS A FIREFIGHTER. BUT CALIFORNIA,

DESPITE USING THOUSANDS OF INMATES TO FIGHT ITS INCREASINGLY

DESTRUCTIVE WILDFIRES, PERMANENTLY BANS THOSE SAME PEOPLE FROM

RECEIVING THE EMT CERTIFICATION NEEDED TO BECOME A CAREER FIREFIGHTER

IF THEY HAVE MORE THAN ONE FELONY ON THEIR RECORD - EVEN WHEN THE

CONVICTIONS ARE OLD AND IRRELEVANT. SO, ALTHOUGH HE HAS ALL THE

NECESSARY SKILLS AND TRAINING, DARIO CAN ONLY WORK AS A VOLUNTEER OR

SEASONAL FIREFIGHTER. DARIO AND IJ ARE CHALLENGING THIS UNJUST

RESTRICTION TO VINDICATE THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF DARIO AND

THOUSANDS LIKE HIM TO EARN AN HONEST LIVING.

HARPER V. CITY OF LINCOLN, ET AL.

IN 2019, NEBRASKA JOINED THE VAST MAJORITY OF STATES IN ALLOWING HOME BAKERS TO SELL THEIR SHELF-STABLE GOODS DIRECTLY TO INFORMED CONSUMERS WITHOUT THE BURDENSOME PERMITTING AND INSPECTIONS THAT APPLY TO RESTAURANTS. LINCOLN'S CINDY HARPER, WHO HAD TESTIFIED IN FAVOR OF THE CHANGE, STARTED SELLING HER SUGAR COOKIES AND CUPCAKES UNDER THE NEW LAW. SUCH FOODS ARE SAFE AND GIVE BUYERS AND SELLERS GREATER CHOICE ABOUT WHAT TO EAT AND HOW TO SUPPORT THEMSELVES. A FEW MONTHS LATER, HOWEVER, THE CITY OF LINCOLN PASSED NEW REGULATIONS LOCALLY THAT SUBJECT LINCOLN HOME BAKERS TO MANY OF THE SAME PERMITTING AND 802212 09-06-19 71

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page <b>2</b>
Name of the organization	Employer identification number
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	52-1744337
INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS THE NEBRASKA LEGISLATURE EXEMPTED	THEM FROM.
LOCAL ORDINANCES CANNOT PREEMPT STATE LAW, SO CINDY AND IJ	ARE
CHALLENGING LINCOLN'S ORDINANCE IN COURT.	

BARNES AND MISSION INVESTIGATIONS GROUP, LLC, V. JESS L. ANDERSON, ET

AL.

IJ SCORED A FAST VICTORY FOR ECONOMIC LIBERTY WHEN IT CHALLENGED UTAH'S RESIDENCY REQUIREMENT FOR PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR LICENSES ON BEHALF OF JEREMY BARNES, WHO LIVES JUST OVER THE BORDER IN IDAHO AND WANTS TO EXPAND HIS BUSINESS INTO THE BEEHIVE STATE, RECOGNIZING THAT RESIDENCY REQUIREMENTS FOR OCCUPATIONAL LICENSES ARE CONSTITUTIONALLY INDEFENSIBLE, THE UTAH LEGISLATURE PASSED A BILL IN JUNE 2020 REMOVING THIS REQUIREMENT, JUST TWO MONTHS AFTER IJ FILED SUIT.

N'DA AND DIGNITY NON-EMERGENCY MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION, INC., V. HYBL, ET AL.

MARC N'DA RUNS A HOME HEALTH AGENCY, AND WHEN HE SAW HIS PATIENTS GETTING BAD SERVICE FROM NON-EMERGENCY MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION COMPANIES, HE DECIDED TO START HIS OWN COMPANY TO PROVIDE BETTER SERVICE. TO DO SO, HE NEEDS A "CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY" FROM THE GOVERNMENT. SUCH LAWS PROTECT ESTABLISHED BUSINESSES BY SHUTTING OUT NEW COMPETITION, RAISING PRICES AND LOWERING SERVICE. AMONG OTHER REQUIREMENTS, MARC MUST GET PERMISSION FROM HIS COMPETITORS BEFORE HE CAN BEGIN OPERATING. NOT SURPRISINGLY, THOSE COMPETITORS SAID "NO." SO, MARC HAS TEAMED UP WITH THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE TO PROTECT HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO EARN AN HONEST LIVING. 932212 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) 72

Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification numbe 52-1744337
THE GOOD NEWS FOR MARC AND HIS PATIENTS IS THAT WHAT THE G	OVERNMENT AND
THE INSIDERS ARE DOING IS NOT ONLY WRONG BUT UNCONSTITUTION	NAL - IT
VIOLATES THREE DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE NEBRASKA CONSTITUTIO	۲.
HAY, ET AL. V. ASOTIN COUNTY, WASHINGTON, ET AL.	
WHEN KATHY HAY SAW HER NEIGHBORS IN ASOTIN COUNTY, WASHING	fon ,
STRUGGLING TO PUT FOOD ON THE TABLE, SHE BUILT A "LITTLE FI	EE PANTRY

COMMUNITY APPRECIATED IT, BUT THE ASOTIN COUNTY BOARD OF HEALTH DIDN'T.

IN FEBRUARY 2020, THEY CLOSED HER PANTRY AND ORDERED HER TO COMPLY WITH

A LONG LIST OF DEMANDS BEFORE SHE COULD REOPEN IT. LATER, AS THE COVID

PANDEMIC RAGED AND PEOPLE ACROSS AMERICA WERE CONVERTING THEIR LITTLE

LENDING LIBRARIES INTO PANTRIES, THE COUNTY SENT KATHY AN INVOICE FOR

\$2,800 AND A LETTER DEMANDING SHE PAY FOR THE ILLEGAL ENFORCEMENT

ACTIONS AGAINST HER. KATHY, NEIGHBORS WHO USED HER PANTRY, AND IJ HAVE

FILED A CONSTITUTIONAL LAWSUIT IN FEDERAL COURT TO STRIKE DOWN THE

POLICIES THAT PREVENT KATHY FROM SHARING FOOD WITH THOSE IN NEED.

MICKELSON, ET AL. V. NORTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, ET AL.

IN 2017, THE NORTH DAKOTA LEGISLATURE PASSED THE COTTAGE FOOD ACT,

GREATLY EXPANDING THE FREEDOM TO BUY AND SELL HOMEMADE FOODS. NORTH

DAKOTANS LIKE FARMER DANIELLE MICKELSON USED THIS FOOD FREEDOM TO

SUPPORT THEMSELVES AND CONSUME HOMEMADE FOODS THAT WOULD OTHERWISE NOT

BE AVAILABLE. BUT IN JANUARY 2020, THE NORTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF

HEALTH, HAVING FAILED TO CONVINCE THE LEGISLATURE TO PASS NEW

RESTRICTIONS ON FOOD FREEDOM, ILLEGALLY ISSUED REGULATIONS THAT

932212 09-06-19

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990 EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization	Employer identification number
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	52-1744337

ARBITRARILY BAN THE SALE OF ALL BUT A FEW TYPES OF HOMEMADE FOODS.

STATE AGENCIES CANNOT PASS REGULATIONS THAT CONTRADICT STATUTES. IJ IS

CHALLENGING THESE REGULATIONS SO THAT ALL NORTH DAKOTANS CAN ENJOY

GREATER ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY AND A GREATER VARIETY OF FOODS TO CHOOSE

FROM.

MILLS AND SOUTHWEST ENGINEERING CONCEPTS, LLC V. ARIZONA BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION, ET AL.

FOR 12 YEARS, GREG MILLS HAS RUN AN ARIZONA ENGINEERING FIRM. LIKE 80% OF AMERICAN ENGINEERS, HE DOES NOT HAVE AN ENGINEER'S LICENSE, WHICH IS NOT LEGALLY REQUIRED FOR THE PROJECTS THAT HE WORKS ON. BUT IN MAY 2019, THE ARIZONA BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION THREATENED TO SHUT DOWN GREG'S COMPANY AND FINE HIM BECAUSE HE DOES NOT HAVE AN EXTREMELY BURDENSOME STATE-ISSUED LICENSE, A LICENSE THAT THE BOARD'S OWN RULES SAY HE WOULDN'T NEED IF HE WORKED AT A MANUFACTURING COMPANY. GREG PARTNERED WITH IJ SO THAT HE AND OTHER ARIZONA ENGINEERS CAN DO THE WORK THEY ARE QUALIFIED TO DO WITHOUT UNCONSTITUTIONAL INTERFERENCE FROM THE BOARD. IN MAY 2020, HOWEVER, THE MARICOPA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT RULED THAT GREG COULD NOT SUE TO PROTECT HIS RIGHTS UNTIL THE BOARD FINISHED ITS ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS AGAINST HIM. WE ARE APPEALING THIS RULING.

SINGH, ET AL. V. NORTH CAROLINA DEP'T OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL.; SINGLETON AND SINGLETON VISION CENTER V. NORTH CAROLINA DEP'T OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL.

### NORTH CAROLINA MAKES IT ILLEGAL FOR DOCTORS TO OFFER NEW HEALTH CARE

SERVICES, BUILD NEW FACILITIES OR BUY NEW EQUIPMENT WITHOUT OBTAINING A 932212 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) 74

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
SPECIAL PERMIT CALLED A "CERTIFICATE OF NEED" (CON) FROM A	BOARD
DOMINATED BY REGULATORS AND INDUSTRY INSIDERS. IF THE BOAR	D DETERMINES
THAT THERE ARE ALREADY "ENOUGH" PROVIDERS IN A COMMUNITY,	DOCTORS ARE
FORBIDDEN FROM OFFERING SERVICES TO PATIENTS WHO NEED THEM	. BY STIFLING
COMPETITION, CON LAWS DIRECTLY HARM THOUSANDS OF PATIENTS,	WHO MUST
SEEK EXPENSIVE TREATMENT FROM EXISTING PROVIDERS. IN 2018,	IJ TEAMED UP
WITH DR. GAJENDRA SINGH, WHO OPENED A CENTER IN 2017 TO PRO	OVIDE X-RAYS,
MRI SCANS, AND MORE AT AFFORDABLE PRICES. BUT A BOARD MADE	UP OF HEALTH
INDUSTRY INSIDERS DECIDED THERE WAS NO NEED FOR ANOTHER MR	I SCANNER IN
HIS REGION AND PREVENTED HIM FROM EVEN APPLYING IN THE SI	PRING OF
2020, DR. SINGH HAD TO CLOSE HIS IMAGING CENTER, IN PART BI	ECAUSE OF THE
COSTS IMPOSED BY THE CON LAW. AS A RESULT, DR. SINGH'S LAW	SUIT COULD
NOT CONTINUE, BUT SHORTLY THEREAFTER IJ JOINED UP WITH DR.	JAY
SINGLETON, AN OPHTHALMOLOGIST FROM NEW BERN, TO FILE A NEW	CHALLENGE TO
NORTH CAROLINA'S CON LAW. DR. SINGLETON OWNS A STATE-OF-TH	3-ART
OUTPATIENT OPERATING FACILITY, BUT CAN'T EVEN START THE CON	N APPLICATION
PROCESS TO PERFORM SURGERIES THERE BECAUSE A FORMULA PUT IN	N PLACE BY
STATE REGULATORS HAS ALREADY DETERMINED THAT HIS COMMUNITY	DOES NOT
"NEED" ANOTHER SURGERY CENTER.	

TIWARI, ET AL. V. MEIER, ET AL.

DIPENDRA TIWARI AND KISHOR SAPKOTAARE ARE NEPALI IMMIGRANTS WHO WANT TO START A HOME HEALTH AGENCY THAT CATERS TO THE LARGE NEPALI-SPEAKING POPULATION IN LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY. THEIR FUTURE COMPETITOR - A \$2 BILLION HEALTH CARE CONGLOMERATE - ARGUED THAT THEIR NEW AGENCY WAS UNNEEDED, AND THE STATE OF KENTUCKY REFUSED TO ISSUE DIPENDRA AND KISHOR'S BUSINESS A CERTIFICATE OF NEED THAT WOULD ALLOW THEM TO 932212 09-06-19 75 11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 02084591

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization	Employer identification number
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	52-1744337

OPERATE. SO, DIPENDRA, KISHOR, AND IJ ARE CHALLENGING KENTUCKY'S CON REQUIREMENT FOR HOME HEALTH AGENCIES IN FEDERAL COURT. THIS IS ONE OF SEVERAL IJ CASES CHALLENGING CON LAWS, WHICH ARTIFICIALLY LIMIT ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE AND RAISE COSTS.

GARRETT AND HELD V. TEXAS STATE BOARD OF PHARMACY, ET AL.; BRIDGES, ET AL. V. MONTANA BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS, ET AL.

IN 44 STATES AND THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, DOCTORS CAN AND DO DISPENSE MEDICINE DIRECTLY TO THEIR PATIENTS. THIS CAN SAVE PATIENTS TIME AND MONEY AND MAKE IT MORE LIKELY THEY WILL FILL THEIR PRESCRIPTION. TEXAS AND MONTANA, HOWEVER, ALLOW ONLY DOCTORS IN CERTAIN RURAL AREAS TO DISPENSE MEDICATION, EVEN THOUGH DOCTOR DISPENSING IS JUST AS SAFE AS DISPENSING BY PHARMACIES. THE BANS SERVE ONLY TO PROTECT THE PROFITS OF PHARMACIES, NOT PUBLIC HEALTH. SO, IJ IS REPRESENTING DOCTORS FROM TEXAS AND MONTANA IN TWO LAWSUITS CHALLENGING THESE STATES' BANS ON DOCTOR DISPENSING.

N'DAKPRI, ET AL. V. LOUISIANA STATE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY, ET AL.

IJ CONTINUES OUR BRAIDING FREEDOM INITIATIVE WITH A CASE IN LOUISIANA REPRESENTING THREE NATURAL HAIR BRAIDERS - ASHLEY N'DAKPRI, LYNN SCHOFIELD, AND MICHELLE ROBERTSON - WHO WANT TO EARN AN HONEST LIVING BUT FACE ENORMOUS AND IRRATIONAL OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING HURDLES. ALTHOUGH HAIR BRAIDING IS NOT A THREAT TO PUBLIC SAFETY, THE LOUISIANA STATE BOARD OF COSMETOLOGY REQUIRES ANYONE SEEKING A BRAIDING LICENSE TO COMPLETE 500 HOURS OF UNNECESSARY AND IRRELEVANT TRAINING. THIS RUNS AFOUL OF THE LOUISIANA CONSTITUTION, WHICH DOES NOT ALLOW THE 932212 09-08-19 76
Schedule O (Form 990 or 990 EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
GOVERNMENT TO LICENSE SOMETHING AS SAFE AND COMMON AS BRAI	DING HAIR. IN
OCTOBER 2019, A JUDGE IN BATON ROUGE DENIED THE BOARD'S MO	TION TO
DISMISS, SO THE CASE CONTINUES.	
VISIBLY, INC. V. THE MEDICAL LICENSING BOARD OF INDIANA, B	T AL.;
OPTERNATIVE, INC. V. SOUTH CAROLINA BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMIN	NERS
TECHNOLOGY ALLOWS CONSUMERS TO TAKE A ROUTINE VISION TEST	IN THE
COMFORT OF THEIR OWN HOME USING THEIR PERSONAL COMPUTER AND	D SMARTPHONE.
AN OPHTHALMOLOGIST REVIEWS THE RESULTS AND WRITES A PRESCR	IPTION.
DEVELOPED BY HEALTHCARE STARTUP VISIBLY, THESE TESTS ARE IN	NEXPENSIVE
AND ENABLE MORE PEOPLE TO GET EYEGLASS PRESCRIPTIONS MORE (	QUICKLY AND
EASILY THAN EVER BEFORE. OPTOMETRISTS HAVE GONE TO EXTRAOR	DINARY
LENGTHS TO PROTECT THEMSELVES FROM ONLINE COMPETITORS LIKE	VISIBLY. FOR
EXAMPLE, THE INDIANA AND SOUTH CAROLINA OPTOMETRIC ASSOCIA	FIONS
AGGRESSIVELY LOBBIED TO CONVINCE LEGISLATORS TO BAN THE US	3 OF
TECHNOLOGY IN THE EYE CARE SPACE. IN APRIL 2019, IJ FILED S	SUIT IN
INDIANA TO STAMP OUT THIS BLATANT ECONOMIC PROTECTIONISM AN	ND PROTECT
BOTH THE RIGHTS OF ENTREPRENEURS TO EARN AN HONEST LIVING,	AND THE
RIGHTS OF DOCTORS AND PATIENTS TO BENEFIT FROM MODERN TECHN	NOLOGY.
UNFORTUNATELY, VISIBLY AND IJ HAD TO DISMISS THIS LAWSUIT	THAT AUGUST
DUE TO A SHIFT IN HOW THE FDA CLASSIFIES ONLINE VISION TEST	<b>FING</b>
SOFTWARE. WE ARE CONTINUING TO LITIGATE A SIMILAR CASE IN S	SOUTH
CAROLINA, WHICH IS CURRENTLY ON APPEAL BEFORE THE SOUTH CAR	COLINA COURT
OF APPEALS.	Minister and a second

# HIGHT V. U.S. DEP'T OF HOMELAND SECURITY

932212 09-06-19

02084591

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2	
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337	
CAPTAIN HIGHT HAS BEEN A MERCHANT MARINER FOR MORE THAN 20	YEARS, WITH	
EIGHT OF THOSE YEARS SPENT COMMANDING SHIPS ALL OVER THE W	ORLD. BUT HE	
DECIDED TO RETURN TO THE UNITED STATES AND SEEK EMPLOYMENT	CLOSER TO	
HIS FAMILY, WORKING TOWARD GETTING HIS LICENSE TO PILOT CO	MMERCIAL	
VESSELS ON THE SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY AND LAKE ONTARIO. PIL	OTING ON THE	
GREAT LAKES IS HIGHLY REGULATED, AND CAPTAIN HIGHT WAS REQ	UIRED BY THE	
COAST GUARD TO TRAIN WITH THE SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY PILOTS	1	
ASSOCIATION, A FOR-PROFIT BUSINESS. AFTER DISAGREEMENTS OV	ER THE	
FINANCIAL PRACTICES OF THE ASSOCIATION'S LEADERSHIP, THE ASSOCIATION		
SUDDENLY INFORMED THE COAST GUARD THAT IT RECOMMENDED AGAINST GRANTING		
CAPTAIN HIGHT A LICENSE. WITH NO MEANINGFUL WAY TO CONTEST	THE	
RECOMMENDATION WITH THE COAST GUARD, HIGHT TEAMED UP WITH	THE INSTITUTE	
FOR JUSTICE LAST MARCH TO DEFEND HIS RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS	AND ASK THE	
COAST GUARD TO STOP THE PRACTICE OF DELEGATING ITS POWER TO THE		
SELF-INTERESTED PRIVATE ORGANIZATION. A VICTORY IN THIS CA	SE WOULD BOTH	
VINDICATE CAPTAIN HIGHT AND CURB UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAWMAKING AUTHORITY		
AMONG SIMILAR ASSOCIATIONS NATIONWIDE.		

SURFVIVE, ET AL. V. CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND

 YOU MIGHT THINK THAT SOUTH PADRE ISLAND, A POPULAR BEACH-FRONT TOURIST

 DESTINATION IN TEXAS, WOULD BE A HAVEN FOR FOOD TRUCKS. INSTEAD, THE

 CITY GOVERNMENT CAPS FOOD TRUCK PERMITS AT ONLY 12 AND REQUIRES FOOD

 TRUCKS GET A RESTAURANT OWNER'S APPROVAL ON THEIR APPLICATION. NOT ONLY

 DOES THIS MEAN LESS CHOICE AND HIGHER PRICES FOR SOUTH PADRE ISLAND

 RESIDENTS AND VISITORS, IT VIOLATES THE TEXAS CONSTITUTION, WHICH

 FORBIDS LAWS THAT SERVE ONLY TO PROTECT BUSINESSES FROM COMPETITION. IJ

 TEAMED UP WITH SURFVIVE - A LOCAL NONPROFIT'S FOOD TRUCK - AND FOOD

 \$32212 09-06-19

 78

 11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

TRUCK OWNERS ANUBIS AND RAMSES AVALOS TO CHALLENGE SOUTH PADRE ISLAND'S LAW. THIS CASE IS PART OF IJ'S NATIONAL STREET VENDING INITIATIVE, WHICH SEEKS TO VINDICATE THE RIGHTS OF STREET VENDORS NATIONWIDE.

HAVEMAN, ET AL. V. BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS, ET AL. IJ IS TAKING ON A PENNSYLVANIA LAW THAT NOT ONLY VIOLATES DUE PROCESS AND THE RIGHT TO EQUAL PROTECTION; IT ALSO GIVES EVEN MORE POWER TO ALREADY UNACCOUNTABLE GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS. THE COMMONWEALTH'S COLLATERAL CONSEQUENCE LAW, WHICH REQUIRES INDIVIDUALS TO HAVE "GOOD MORAL CHARACTER" IN ORDER TO GET A LICENSE, DENIES EX-OFFENDERS LIKE OUR CLIENTS COURTNEY HAVEMAN AND AMANDA SPILLANE FROM CONTRIBUTING TO SOCIETY AND HAVING A SECOND CHANCE AT LIFE. AFTER SPENDING MONTHS AND THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS ATTENDING COSMETOLOGY SCHOOL, COURTNEY AND AMANDA WERE DENIED A LICENSE DESPITE BOTH HAVING TURNED THEIR LIVES AROUND YEARS AGO AND THE FACT THAT THEIR PRIOR CONVICTIONS HAVE NO RELATIONSHIP TO BEAUTY AND FACIAL CARE. REFUSING TO GIVE UP, THEY JOINED WITH IJ TO BRING AN END TO THE UNCONSTITUTIONAL REQUIREMENT IN DECEMBER 2018. IN JUNE 2020, THE PENNSYLVANIA LEGISLATURE PASSED AN OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING REFORM BILL THAT WOULD ALLOW PEOPLE LIKE COURTNEY AND AMANDA TO RECEIVE LICENSES. WE ARE PUSHING FOR A DECISION IN THIS CASE TO HELP CHALLENGE UNREASONABLE LAWS THAT MAKE IT HARDER FOR AMERICANS TO WORK IN THE FIELD OF THEIR CHOOSING.

DIAZ, ET AL. V. CITY OF FORT PIERCE, FLORIDA, ET AL.

#### IN FEBRUARY 2019, A FLORIDA CIRCUIT COURT ISSUED A PRELIMINARY

INJUNCTION STATING THAT THE CITY OF FORT PIERCE CANNOT ENFORCE ITS #32212 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990 EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
UNCONSTITUTIONAL BAN ON FOOD TRUCKS OPBRATING WITHIN 500 F	BET OF
ANOTHER ESTABLISHMENT THAT SELLS FOOD. FORT PIERCE'S LAW W	AS CREATED IN
2014 FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF PROTECTING RESTAURANT OWNERS	FROM
COMPETITION. FOOD TRUCK OWNERS BENNY DIAZ AND BRIAN PEFFER	JOINED WITH
THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE IN DECEMBER 2018 TO CHALLENGE TH	E BAN AND,
THANKS TO THIS RULING, THEY AND OTHER FOOD TRUCK OWNERS CA	N OPERATE
THEIR FOOD TRUCKS WHILE LITIGATION CONTINUES, UNTIL THE BA	N IS STRUCK
DOWN FOR GOOD.	
JACKSON, ET AL. V. KEMP, ET AL.	
IN A MAJOR VICTORY FOR ECONOMIC FREEDOM IN THE PEACH STATE	, THE GEORGIA
SUPREME COURT RULED IN MAY 2020 THAT A CONSTITUTIONAL CHAI	LENGE TO THE
STATE'S NEW LACTATION CONSULTANT LICENSE WILL GO FORWARD.	REVERSING A
TRIAL COURT DECISION THAT HAD DISMISSED THE CASE IN 2019,	THE GEORGIA
SUPREME COURT UNANIMOUSLY AFFIRMED THAT IT HAS "LONG INTER	PRETED THE

GEORGIA CONSTITUTION AS PROTECTING A RIGHT TO WORK IN ONE'S CHOSEN

PROFESSION FREE FROM UNREASONABLE GOVERNMENT INTERFERENCE." MARY

JACKSON, A CERTIFIED LACTATION COUNSELOR, AND REACHING OUR SISTERS

EVERYWHERE (ROSE) - A NONPROFIT DEDICATED TO PROVIDING BREASTFEEDING

SUPPORT TO MINORITY COMMUNITIES - JOINED WITH IJ IN JUNE 2018 TO

CHALLENGE GEORGIA'S MANDATE THAT LACTATION CONSULTANTS BE CERTIFIED BY

A PRIVATE ORGANIZATION TO BECOME INTERNATIONAL BOARD CERTIFIED

LACTATION CONSULTANTS (IBCLC). DOING SO REQUIRES ROUGHLY TWO YEARS OF

COLLEGE COURSES AND MORE THAN 300 HOURS OF SUPERVISED CLINICAL WORK.

IJ'S CLIENTS HAVE YEARS OF EXPERIENCE, AND THERE IS NO PROOF THAT BEING

IBCLC-CERTIFIED IMPROVES THE QUALITY OF CARE. VERY FEW LACTATION

 CONSULTANTS IN GEORGIA ARE IBCLC-CERTIFIED. THIS CASE SERVES TO PROTECT

 932212 09-06-19
 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

 80

Schedule O	(Form 99	) or 990-EZ	) (2019)

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

THEIR RIGHTS, AND THE RIGHTS OF NON-IBCLC LACTATION CONSULTANTS ACROSS

THE STATE, TO EARN A LIVING PROVIDING MOTHERS AND BABIES WITH THE CARE THEY NEED.

WHITE COTTAGE RED DOOR, LLC V. TOWN OF GIBRALTAR

LISA AND KEVIN HOWARD, ALONG WITH JESSICA AND CHRIS HADRABA, OPENED A FOOD TRUCK OUTSIDE THEIR FAMILY BUSINESS IN FISH CREEK, WISCONSIN. DESPITE OBTAINING THE PROPER STATE AND COUNTY PERMITS FOR THEIR TRUCK, THE TOWN'S CONSTABLE TOLD THE QUARTET TO STOP VENDING AND HAD THE COUNTY REVOKE THE TRUCK'S ZONING PERMIT. WHEN THE COUNTY REFUSED, THE TOWN BOARD PASSED A TOTAL BAN ON VENDING GOODS FROM MOBILE VEHICLES, INCLUDING FOOD TRUCKS. AT THE ROOT OF THE BAN IS THE TOWN BOARD'S SCHEME TO PROTECT ESTABLISHED RESTAURANTS FROM HEALTHY COMPETITION. WITH IJ'S HELP, LISA, KEVIN, JESSICA, AND CHRIS ARE CHALLENGING THIS UNCONSTITUTIONAL VENDING BAN TO SAVE THEIR BUSINESS AND ESTABLISH THAT VENDORS' RIGHT TO EARN A LIVING DOES NOT DEPEND ON WHETHER THEIR BUSINESSES HAVE WHEELS OR NOT. IN NOVEMBER 2019, A JUDGE DENIED THE TOWN'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE LAWSUIT, SO THE CASE CONTINUES.

SANCHEZ V. OFFICE OF THE STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF EDUCATION

IJ JOINED WITH ALTAGRACIA YLUMINADA "ILUMI" SANCHEZ IN APRIL 2018 TO FIGHT THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA'S OFFICE OF THE STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF EDUCATION'S (OSSE) REGULATIONS REQUIRING MANY OF THE CITY'S DAY CARE PROVIDERS TO GO TO COLLEGE OR LOSE THEIR JOBS. THESE DISASTROUS REGULATIONS WOULD HAVE CAREER-ENDING CONSEQUENCES FOR ILUMI, WHO RUNS A DAY CARE IN HER HOME IN NORTHEAST D.C. AND HAS WORKED WITH CHILDREN FOR 932212 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) 81 11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990 EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
OVER 20 YEARS SINCE COMING TO THE U.S. FROM HER NATIVE DOM	INICAN
REPUBLIC. TO COMPLY WITH THE NEW REGULATIONS AND RETURN TO	SCHOOL FOR A
DEGREE IRRELEVANT TO CHILDCARE IS TOO MUCH OF A STRAIN ON	ILUMI'S TIME
AND MONEY. FOR PARENTS, THE REGULATIONS WOULD RAISE PRICES	AND LOWER
OPTIONS. IN MAY 2020, THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE D.	C. CIRCUIT
REJECTED A LOWER COURT'S DISMISSAL OF THE LAWSUIT. A WIN I	N THIS CASE
WILL ENSURE THAT ILUMI AND HUNDREDS OF D.C. DAY CARE PROVI	DERS MAY
CONTINUE TO DO WHAT THEY LOVE WITHOUT UNWARRANTED GOVERNME	NT
INTERFERENCE.	
RUSSINKO, ET AL. V. NEW JERSEY DEP'T OF HEALTH, ET AL.	
HOME BAKERS HEATHER RUSSINKO, LIZ CIBOTARIU, AND MARTHA RA	BELLO HAVE
TEAMED UP WITH IJ TO CONTEST THE NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF	HEALTH'S
REQUIREMENT THAT THEY BE LICENSED AS A "RETAIL FOOD ESTABL	ISHMENT" TO
SELL THEIR HOMEMADE GOODS. THIS ENTAILS USING A COMMERCIAL	GRADE
KITCHEN THAT IS SEPARATE FROM ONE'S PERSONAL HOME KITCHEN,	PAYING FEES,

AND ABIDING BY HUNDREDS OF PAGES OF REGULATIONS. BANNING THE SALE OF

HOME-BAKED GOODS DIRECTLY TO CONSUMERS SERVES NO OTHER PURPOSE THAN TO

GIVE COMMERCIAL BAKERS UNDUE PROTECTION FROM COMPETITION. THE COURT

SERVED IJ AND THE HOME BAKERS A FIRST-ROUND VICTORY IN APRIL 2018, WHEN

IT DENIED THE NEW JERSEY HEALTH DEPARTMENT'S REQUEST TO DISMISS THE

LAWSUIT CHALLENGING THE STATE'S BAN. IN APRIL 2020, THE HEALTH

DEPARTMENT PUBLISHED PROPOSED RULES THAT WOULD ALLOW HEATHER, LIZ,

MARTHA, AND OTHER NEW JERSEY BAKERS TO SELL THEIR HOMEMADE BAKED GOODS.

## SHAW, ET AL. V. METRO. GOV'T OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY

932212 09-06-19

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE, IS THE CENTER OF COUNTRY MUSIC. YET,	INCREDIBLY,
THE CITY BANNED MUSICIANS FROM MAKING MUSIC IN THEIR OWN HE	OMES.
NASHVILLE HAD OUTLAWED HOME-BASED BUSINESSES, PREVENTING LO	OCAL
MUSICIANS, HAIR STYLISTS, AND OTHER BUDDING ENTREPRENEURS	FROM BUILDING
THEIR OWN AMERICAN DREAM. NASHVILLE RESIDENTS LIKE IJ CLIEN	NTS LIJ SHAW
AND PAT RAYNOR FACED STEEP FINES IF ANY CUSTOMERS PHYSICAL	LY CAME TO
THEIR HOMES TO DO BUSINESS. IJ AND THE BEACON CENTER OF TEN	NNESSEE
TEAMED UP TO VINDICATE PEOPLE'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO US	THEIR HOMES
TO EARN AN HONEST LIVING. IN OCTOBER 2019, A NASHVILLE COUR	RT DISMISSED
THE SUIT. WE HAVE APPEALED THAT RULING.	
KING AND MARTIN V. LOUISVILLE/JEFFERSON COUNTY METRO. GOVER	RNMENT

NOBODY SHOULD NEED THEIR COMPETITORS' PERMISSION TO OPERATE A BUSINESS. THAT'S WHY, IN 2017, TWO LOUISVILLE FOOD TRUCK OWNERS TEAMED UP WITH THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE TO FIGHT A CITY LAW THAT BANNED TRUCKS FROM OPERATING WITHIN 150 FEET OF ANY RESTAURANT THAT SELLS SIMILAR FOOD. LOUISVILLE, RECOGNIZING THAT ITS 150-FOOT RULE FLUNKED A CONSTITUTIONAL TEST, WISELY REPEALED THE RULE IN MARCH OF 2018. FURTHERMORE, THE CITY AGREED TO A FEDERAL CONSENT DECREE IN WHICH IT PROMISED TO TREAT FOOD TRUCKS JUST LIKE ANY OTHER COMMERCIAL VEHICLE. IN AUGUST 2019, AFTER BIGHT MONTHS OF STONEWALLING, IJ RECEIVED EMAILS REVEALING HOW A CITY COUNCIL MEMBER COORDINATED WITH RESTAURANT OWNERS TO TRY TO UNDERMINE THE CONSENT DECREE. THE RESULTING UPROAR LED THE COUNCIL TO GET RID OF THE ORDINANCE'S ANTI-COMPETITIVE PROVISIONS.

BIRCHANSKY, ET AL. V. CLABAUGH, ET AL.

932212 09-06-19

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
CERTIFICATE OF NEED (CON) LAWS REQUIRE MEDICAL PROVIDERS T	O PROVE TO
THE GOVERNMENT THAT THERE IS A "NEED" FOR NEW MEDICAL SERV	ICES BEFORE
THEY ARE ALLOWED TO OFFER THEM. IJ CLIENT OPHTHALMOLOGIST	LEE
BIRCHANSKY IS JUST ONE CASUALTY OF THIS SYSTEM. FOR MORE T	HAN 20 YEARS,
DR. BIRCHANSKY HAS TRIED TO OPEN HIS OWN OUTPATIENT SURGER	Y CENTER NEXT
TO HIS OFFICE IN CEDAR RAPIDS, IOWA. THOUGH HE IS RECOGNIZ	ED AS ONE OF
THE TOP OPHTHALMOLOGISTS IN THE COUNTRY, DR. BIRCHANSKY WA	S DENIED A
CERTIFICATE OF NEED TO OPEN HIS CENTER ON FOUR SEPARATE OC	CASIONS -
EACH TIME AFTER TWO EXISTING OPERATING FACILITIES INTERVEN	ED TO PROTECT
THEIR BUSINESSES FROM COMPETITION. UNFORTUNATELY, THE FEDE	RAL TRIAL
COURT RULED AGAINST DR. BIRCHANSKY AND THE OTHER PLAINTIFF	S, AND, IN
APRIL 2020, THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AFFIRMED T	HAT RULING.
HOWEVER, THERE IS SOME GOOD NEWS: DURING LITIGATION, IOWA	GRANTED DR.
BIRCHANSKY'S CON APPLICATION. DR. BIRCHANSKY CAN FINALLY O	PEN HIS
CENTER, EVEN IF OTHER DOCTORS - AND THEIR PATIENTS - REMAI	N SHUT OUT.

## ALEXIS BAILLY VINEYARD AND THE NEXT CHAPTER WINERY V. DOHMAN

A LITTLE-KNOWN MINNESOTA LAW REQUIRES WINERIES IN THE STATE MAKE THEIR WINE PRIMARILY USING GRAPES GROWN IN MINNESOTA, EVEN THOUGH FEW TYPES OF GRAPES CAN GROW IN MINNESOTA'S CLIMATE. THIS LAW HURTS THE ECONOMIC VIABILITY OF MINNESOTA VINEYARDS, MAKES IT HARDER FOR MINNESOTA WINE-LOVERS TO LOCALLY FIND THE KINDS OF WINES THEY LIKE, AND HINDERS THE INTERSTATE COMMERCE THAT BINDS AMERICA TOGETHER. IJ JOINED FORCES WITH TWO MINNESOTA WINERIES, ALEXIS BAILLY VINEYARD AND NEXT CHAPTER WINERY, TO CHALLENGE THIS ONEROUS AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAW. IN APRIL 2018, A FEDERAL JUDGE DISMISSED THE SUIT ON PROCEDURAL GROUNDS, BUT IN JULY 2019, THE 8TH U.S. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS REVERSED THIS DECISION 020212 00-06-19 84 11440216 147227 0208459-0208459-0208459.0990 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 02084591

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) Page 2	2
-----------------------------------------------	---

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

AND SENT THE CASE BACK TO TRIAL COURT.

PIZZA DI JOEY, LLC V. MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE

IN MAY 2016, TWO BALTIMORE-AREA FOOD TRUCKS - PIZZA DI JOEY AND MINDGRUB CAFE - JOINED WITH IJ TO FILE A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE CITY CHALLENGING ITS BAN ON MOBILE VENDORS OPERATING WITHIN 300 FEET OF ANY BRICK-AND-MORTAR ESTABLISHMENT SELLING SIMILAR FOOD. AND ON DECEMBER 20, 2017, A CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE RULED THAT THE 300-FOOT BAN WAS TOO VAGUE, GIVING BALTIMORE 60 DAYS TO STOP ENFORCING IT. UNFORTUNATELY, IN MAY 2019, AN APPEALS COURT OVERTURNED THAT DECISION AND REINSTATED THE RULE. IJ AND THE FOOD TRUCK OWNERS KEPT UP THE FIGHT AGAINST THIS PROTECTIONIST LAW AND APPEALED TO THE MARYLAND COURT OF APPEALS, THE STATE'S HIGHEST COURT. WE ARE AWAITING A RULING TO SEE IF BALTIMORE RESIDENTS CAN ENJOY THE INCREASED CHOICE AND LOWER PRICES THAT MORE COMPETITION FROM FOOD TRUCK WOULD BRING.

#### BURKE V. CITY OF CHICAGO

IN A BLOW TO FOOD FREEDOM IN MAY 2019, THE ILLINOIS SUPREME COURT UPHELD TWO PROVISIONS OF CHICAGO'S LAW THAT BLOCK FOOD TRUCKS FROM PARKING WITHIN 200 FEET OF RESTAURANTS AND REQUIRE THEY INSTALL GPS DEVICES SO CITY OFFICIALS MAY TRACK THEIR EVERY MOVE. THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE CHALLENGED THESE TWO PROVISIONS IN 2012 ON BEHALF OF LAURA PEKARIK, OWNER OF THE CUPCAKES FOR COURAGE FOOD TRUCK. NOT ONLY DOES CHICAGO'S RULE STYMIE A COMMON, LOW-COST PATH INTO THE RESTAURANT INDUSTRY FOR THE CITY'S ENTREPRENEURS, IT FORCES EVERYDAY CHICAGOANS TO CONTINUE TO SUFFER FROM FEWER CHOICES AND HIGHER PRICES. IN OCTOBER 932212 09-06-19 11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 02084591

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page <b>2</b>
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
2019, IJ ASKED THE U.S. SUPREME COURT TO TAKE THE CASE ON	THE GROUNDS
THAT CHICAGO'S GPS MONITORING VIOLATES THE FOURTH AMENDMEN	T PROTECTIONS

FROM UNREASONABLE SEARCHES BY THE GOVERNMENT. UNFORTUNATELY, THE

SUPREME COURT DECLINED TO HEAR THE CASE.

COURTNEY AND COURTNEY V. GOLTZ, ET AL.

FOR YEARS, BROTHERS JIM AND CLIFF COURTNEY HAVE WANTED TO PROVIDE CONVENIENT FERRY SERVICE ACROSS LAKE CHELAN IN WASHINGTON STATE TO ENHANCE ECONOMIC PROSPERITY IN THEIR SMALL COMMUNITY LOCATED AT THE NORTHERN END OF THE LAKE. BUT THE STATE REQUIRES JIM AND CLIFF TO EITHER OBTAIN THE EXISTING FERRY COMPANY'S PERMISSION TO COMPETE, OR PROVE IN A TRIAL-LIKE HEARING THAT THE EXISTING COMPANY IS NOT PROVIDING "REASONABLE AND ADEQUATE SERVICE" AND THAT A NEW SERVICE IS NECESSARY. REALIZING THAT THE STATE IS MORE CONCERNED WITH PROTECTING ESTABLISHED INTERESTS THAN FOSTERING ECONOMIC FREEDOM AND OPPORTUNITY, JIM AND CLIFF HAVE JOINED WITH IJ TO PROTECT THEIR RIGHT - AND THE RIGHT OF EVERY AMERICAN - TO PURSUE AN HONEST LIVING FREE FROM PROTECTIONIST INTERFERENCE BY THE GOVERNMENT. IN APRIL 2020, THE 9TH U.S. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS RULED AGAINST US. WE PLAN TO APPEAL THIS DECISION TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT.

KILLEEN, ET AL. V. YAVAPAI COUNTY, ET AL.

JOSHUA AND EMILY KILLEEN MOVED FROM CALIFORNIA AND BOUGHT UNDEVELOPED

DESERT PROPERTY IN YAVAPAI COUNTY, IN THE HEART OF ARIZONA, ENVISIONING

A MODEST HOME FOR THEMSELVES AND A RUSTIC WELLNESS AND WEDDING RETREAT.

WHILE THEY WORKED TO GET THEIR PERMITS IN ORDER, COUNTY OFFICIALS 9322 12 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) 86

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
DIRECTED THEM TO PULL DOWN ANY ONLINE ADVERTISEMENTS SAYIN	G THAT THEIR
BUSINESS WOULD BE "COMING SOON" OR TAKING ANY ADVANCED BOO	KINGS. THE
COUNTY ALSO BANNED JOSHUA AND EMILY FROM HAVING FRIENDS AN	D NEIGHBORS
OVER FOR YOGA AND POTLUCK MEALS, EVEN THOUGH THOSE EVENTS	WERE FREE OF
CHARGE AND TOOK PLACE OUTSIDE. ZONING CODES OFTEN MICROMAN	AGE HOW
AMERICANS CAN USE THEIR PROPERTY, BUT THEY CANNOT DO SO IN	A WAY THAT
VIOLATES THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, ESPECIALLY ONE'S CONSTITUT	IONAL RIGHTS
TO FREE SPEECH AND ASSOCIATION. IN MAY 2020, JOSHUA AND EM	ILY TEAMED UP
WITH THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE TO PROTECT THEIR RIGHT TO C	OMMUNICATE
ABOUT THEIR FUTURE BUSINESS AND TO WELCOME THEIR FRIENDS OF	NTO THEIR
PROPERTY FOR FOOD, FELLOWSHIP, AND EXERCISE.	

REGULUS BOOKS, LLC, V. CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE AND DIVERS; HART V. COUNTY OF ALBEMARLE

THE CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE AND ALBEMARLE COUNTY HAVE DECIDED TO REQUIRE A BUSINESS LICENSE TO WRITE NOVELS, AND THEY HAVE ASSESSED THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN BACK TAXES AGAINST SOME OF THEIR HARDWORKING FREELANCE WRITERS, WHILE EXEMPTING NEWSPAPERS, MAGAZINES, RADIO, AND TELEVISION. CHARLOTTESVILLE'S MONEY-GRAB ISN'T JUST WRONG, IT'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL. THAT'S WHY BESTSELLING NOVELISTS CORBAN ADDISON AND JOHN HART TEAMED UP WITH IJ IN JULY 2019 TO FILE LAWSUITS AGAINST THE CITY AND COUNTY ASKING FOR REFUNDS OF THEIR BUSINESS LICENSE TAXES AND CHALLENGING THEIR CONSTITUTIONALITY UNDER THE FIRST AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS. THESE CUTTING-EDGE LAWSUITS WILL VINDICATE AMERICANS' RIGHT TO SPEAK FOR A LIVING WITHOUT BEING BURDENED BY DISCRIMINATORY TAXES.

UPTON'S NATURALS CO. AND THE PLANT BASED FOODS ASSOCIATION V. BRYANT 932212 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page <b>2</b>
Name of the organization	Employer identification number
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	52-1744337

AND GIPSON

PEOPLE CAN BUY AND SELL VEGAN FOODS IN MISSISSIPPI USING THE TERMS THEY UNDERSTAND BEST THANKS TO AN IJ FREE SPEECH VICTORY. AT THE BEHEST OF THE MEAT INDUSTRY, MISSISSIPPI HAD BANNED THE USE OF "MEAT" TERMS TO SELL PLANT-BASED FOODS, MEANING NO USE OF "VEGGIE BURGER" OR "VEGAN HOT DOG." IJ PARTNERED WITH INDEPENDENT VEGAN FOOD MAKER UPTON'S NATURALS AND THE PLANT BASED FOODS ASSOCIATION TO FILE A FEDERAL LAWSUIT CHALLENGING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF MISSISSIPPI'S LAW. IN RESPONSE, THE MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE ADOPTED NEW REGULATIONS IN NOVEMBER 2019 ALLOWING THE USE OF "MEAT" TERMS WHEN THE LABEL MAKES CLEAR THE FOOD IS PLANT-BASED.

KERSTEN, ET AL. V. CITY OF MANDAN

WHEN BRIAN BERUBE AND AUGUST "AUGIE" KERSTEN PAINTED A WESTERN-THEMED MURAL ON THEIR SALOON, COMPLETE WITH THE BAR'S NAME "LONESOME DOVE" IN FANCY SCRIPT, CUSTOMERS LOVED IT, BUT THE CITY OF MANDAN, NORTH DAKOTA, DID NOT. THE CITY WOULD GRANT THEM NEITHER A MURAL PERMIT (SINCE THE MURAL ADVERTISED THEIR BUSINESS) NOR A SIGN PERMIT (SINCE IT WAS PAINTED ON A WALL) AND INSTEAD ORDERED THEM TO REMOVE THE MURAL OR FACE THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN FINES. SO, BRIAN AND AUGIE TEAMED UP WITH IJ TO CHALLENGE MANDAN'S MURAL ORDINANCE AND VINDICATE THEIR FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS. IN RESPONSE TO THE SUIT, THE CITY REWROTE ITS MURAL CODE TO NOT DISCRIMINATE AGAINST BUSINESSES' SPEECH, IMPROVING THE FREE SPEECH RIGHTS OF EVERYONE IN THE CITY. THIS CASE CLOSED IN FEBRUARY 2020. IJ RECEIVED FROM THE GOVERNMENT \$60,000 IN FEES IN THIS CASE.

932212 09-06-19

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 0

Schedule 0 (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

LANCASTER COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE V. WALKER, ET AL.

CARTER WALKER IS A REPORTER FOR THE MEDIA GROUP LNP, COVERING THE GOVERNMENT IN LANCASTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA. CARTER WANTED TO KNOW WHAT THE COUNTY WAS DOING WITH THE CASH AND PROPERTY IT ACQUIRED THROUGH CIVIL FORFEITURE - A PRACTICE UNDER WHICH THE GOVERNMENT CAN SEIZE PROPERTY FROM THOSE NOT ACCUSED OF ANY CRIME - SO, HE ASKED THE LANCASTER COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR THAT INFORMATION. THE DA REFUSED, WRONGLY CLAIMING AN EXCEPTION UNDER THE STATE'S RIGHT TO KNOW LAW. CARTER APPEALED THAT DENIAL TO PENNSYLVANIA'S OFFICE OF OPEN RECORDS, WHICH CONCLUDED THAT THE RECORDS SHOULD BE PUBLIC. WHEN THE LANCASTER DA APPEALED THE DECISION, CARTER, LNP, AND IJ TEAMED UP TO MAKE FORFEITURE RECORDS IN LANCASTER COUNTY AND THE NEIGHBORING BERKS COUNTY AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC IN ORDER TO EXPOSE ABUSES.

CATO INSTITUTE V. SEC

SINCE THE 1970S, THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION HAS REFUSED TO
SETTLE ANY ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS UNLESS THE DEFENDANT AGREES TO A GAG
ORDER. FACED WITH THE POTENTIALLY STAGGERING COST OF CHALLENGING THE
SEC'S PROSECUTION, MANY DEFENDANTS AGREE TO SETTLE. THIS PREVENTS THOSE
WHO BELIEVE THEY ARE INNOCENT FROM CRITICIZING THE SEC'S ACTIONS
AGAINST THEM, EFFECTIVELY MEANING A GOVERNMENT AGENCY IS DECIDING WHO
CAN CRITICIZE THAT AGENCY. THE CATO INSTITUTE, A D.CBASED THINK TANK,
WANTS TO PUBLISH A BOOK BY SOMEONE WHO BELIEVES HE IS THE VICTIM OF
ABUSE BY THE SEC AND TO HOST A PANEL DISCUSSION WITH OTHERS. IJ JOINED
CATO TO CHALLENGE THE SEC'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL INFRINGEMENT ON FREE
SPEECH. IN FEBRUARY 2020, A FEDERAL JUDGE IN D.C. DISMISSED THE
932212 D9-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) 8 9
11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 02084591

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page <b>2</b>
Name of the organization	Employer identification number
INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	52-1744337

LAWSUIT, HOLDING THAT CATO COULDN'T CHALLENGE THE SEC'S POLICY BECAUSE,

ALTHOUGH THE POLICY PREVENTS CATO FROM PUBLISHING INFORMATION IN THE

PUBLIC INTEREST, IT DOESN'T REGULATE CATO DIRECTLY. WE ARE APPEALING

THIS RULING.

HINES V. TEXAS STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS, ET AL.

DR. RON HINES IS A RETIRED AND PHYSICALLY DISABLED LICENSED VETERINARIAN IN TEXAS. FROM 2002 TO 2012, HE GAVE PET OWNERS AROUND THE COUNTRY VETERINARY ADVICE, MOSTLY TO THOSE WHO LACKED ACCESS TO VETERINARIANS AND OFTEN FOR FREE - THAT IS, UNTIL THE TEXAS STATE BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICAL EXAMINERS SHUT RON DOWN, SUSPENDED HIS LICENSE, AND FINED HIM. RON AND IJ FILED A FEDERAL LAWSUIT TO VINDICATE RON'S FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH, BUT AN APPEALS COURT RULED THAT RON'S SPEECH WASN'T PROTECTED BECAUSE IT'S PART OF AN OCCUPATION. SINCE THEN, THE U.S. SUPREME COURT HAS AFFIRMED THAT THE FIRST AMENDMENT PROTECTS PROFESSIONAL SPEECH, SO RON AND IJ HAVE FILED A NEW LAWSUIT TO VINDICATE HIS RIGHT TO GIVE VETERINARY ADVICE TO PET OWNERS WHO NEED IT AND TO HELP ALL THOSE WHO USE THE INTERNET TO SPEAK IN INNOVATIVE WAYS.

MISSISSIPPI BOARD OF LICENSURE FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS
V. VIZALINE

BRENT MELTON AND SCOTT DOW ARE MISSISSIPPI ENTREPRENEURS AND FOUNDERS OF VIZALINE, LLC, A STARTUP THAT PROVIDES SMALL BANKS WITH INFORMATION ABOUT THEIR PROPERTIES BY USING PUBLIC INFORMATION TO DRAW LINES ON A MAP. IN 2017, HOWEVER, THE MISSISSIPPI BOARD OF LICENSURE FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS SUED THE COMPANY, CLAIMING IT WAS Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) 90

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
PRACTICING UNLICENSED SURVEYING. THE BOARD IS MADE UP OF P	ROFESSIONAL
ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS WHO HAVE A CLEAR INTEREST IN SHUTT	ING DOWN
INNOVATIVE AND AFFORDABLE ALTERNATIVES THAT COMPETE WITH T	HEM. IN
FEBRUARY 2020, THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 5TH CIRCU	IT AFFIRMED
THAT THE FIRST AMENDMENT'S PROTECTION OF FREEDOM OF SPEECH	APPLIES TO
OCCUPATIONAL LICENSING LAWS AND REMANDED THE CASE TO THE D	ISTRICT
COURT. THE RULING IS A MAJOR VICTORY THAT WILL HELP ENTREP	RENEURS IN
MANY FIELDS VINDICATE THEIR RIGHTS IN COURT.	
······································	
PCHS, ET AL. V. GRAFILO, ET AL.	
IN 2017, BOB SMITH, OWNER OF THE PACIFIC COAST HORSESHOEIN	G SCHOOL,
RECEIVED A NOTICE FROM THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THREATENING	TO SHUT HIM
DOWN FOR VIOLATING STATE LAW BY ADMITTING STUDENTS WHO HAD	N'T GRADUATED
FROM HIGH SCHOOL. SO, WHEN ESTEBAN NAREZ, A RANCH HAND, AP	PLIED TO
BOB'S SCHOOL A FEW MONTHS LATER, BOB HAD TO REJECT HIS APP	LICATION.
CALIFORNIA'S LAW NOT ONLY HURTS STUDENTS WITH LITTLE EDUCA	TION BY
PREVENTING THEM FROM LEARNING WELL-PAYING TRADES, IT ALSO	VIOLATES THE
FIRST AMENDMENT. BOB, ESTEBAN, AND IJ CHALLENGED THIS MISC	UIDED LAW IN
COURT. IN JUNE 2020, THE 9TH U.S. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS	RULED THAT
CALIFORNIA RESTRICTED BOB AND ESTEBAN'S FIRST AMENDMENT RIC	GHTS AND SENT
THE CASE BACK TO THE DISTRICT COURT, WHERE CALIFORNIA WILL	BE
HARD-PRESSED TO DEFEND ITS UNCONSTITUTIONAL SPEECH RESTRICT	FION.
DEL CASTILLO V. FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH	
IN 2014, HEATHER DEL CASTILLO LEFT AN UNFULFILLING CAREER	FO START A

BUSINESS PROVIDING PERSONALIZED HEALTH COACHING. SHE NEVER CLAIMED TO 8322 12 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
BE A LICENSED NUTRITIONIST OR DIETITIAN, BUT THE FLORIDA D	EPARTMENT OF
HEALTH ORDERED HER TO CEASE PROVIDING NUTRITIONAL ADVICE A	ND DEMANDED
THAT SHE PAY OVER \$750 IN FINES. HEATHER COULDN'T AFFORD T	O SPEND YEARS
AND THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS GETTING THE DEGREE TO BECOME A LI	CENSED
DIETICIAN, SO SHE SHUT HER BUSINESS DOWN. THESE SORTS OF O	CCUPATIONAL
LICENSING LAWS PROTECT THE ECONOMIC INTERESTS OF LICENSE H	OLDERS BY
CENSORING WHAT OTHERS CAN SAY AND HEAR. HEATHER AND IJ FIL	ED A FEDERAL
LAWSUIT CHALLENGING FLORIDA'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL RESTRICTION	S ON GIVING
DIETARY ADVICE. UNFORTUNATELY, IN JULY 2019, A FEDERAL DIS	TRICT_UPHELD
THE LICENSING REQUIREMENT ON THE BASIS THAT HEATHER'S ADVI	CE WAS
"CONDUCT" NOT "SPEECH" AND THEREFORE EXEMPT FROM FIRST AME	NDMENT
PROTECTIONS. WE ARE APPEALING THIS RULING.	

BILLUPS, ET AL. V. CITY OF CHARLESTON; FREENOR, ET AL. V. MAYOR AND ALDERMAN OF THE CITY OF SAVANNAH

IJ SUCCESSFULLY CHALLENGED ORDINANCES IN CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA, AND SAVANNAH, GEORGIA, REQUIRING THAT ANYONE GIVING A PAID CITY TOUR OBTAIN A SPECIAL LICENSE. THE GOVERNMENT CAN'T DECIDE WHO GETS TO SPEAK, BUT THESE CITIES DEMANDED THAT TOUR GUIDES PASS EXTENSIVE TESTS TO RECEIVE A TOUR GUIDE LICENSE. IJ CHALLENGED THESE REQUIREMENTS TO VINDICATE THE RIGHTS OF TOUR GUIDES AND ALL AMERICANS WHO SPEAK FOR A LIVING. IN MAY 2019, A FEDERAL COURT IN SAVANNAH RULED THAT THAT CITY'S ORDINANCE VIOLATED THE FIRST AMENDMENT, AND IN JUNE 2020, THE 4TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS RULED THAT CHARLESTON'S ORDINANCE DID AS WELL. THESE RULINGS ARE IMPORTANT VICTORIES IN IJ'S EFFORTS TO ENSURE THAT OCCUPATIONAL SPEECH ENJOYS THE SAME CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTION AS OTHER TYPES OF SPEECH. IJ RECEIVED \$161,500 IN FEES FROM THE SAVANNAH CITY Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019) 932212 09-06-19 92 11440216 147227 0208459-0208459.0990 2019.05050 INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE 02084591

Schedule O	(Form 990 oi	r 990-EZ)	(2019)

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

Employer identification number 52-1744337

GOVERNMENT.

METRO. GOVERNMENT OF NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTY, ET AL., V.

TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, ET AL.

IN MAY 2019, TENNESSEE ENACTED THE TENNESSEE EDUCATION SAVINGS ACCOUNT PILOT PROGRAM ACT, GIVING THOUSANDS OF LOW- AND MIDDLE-INCOME FAMILIES IN TENNESSEE WITH GREATER SCHOOL CHOICE. IJ IS INTERVENING ON BEHALF OF TWO PARENTS, NATU BAH AND BUILGUISSA DIALLO, TO DEFEND THE PROGRAM FROM A LAWSUIT CHALLENGING ITS CONSTITUTIONALITY. THE PROGRAM PROVIDES SCHOLARSHIPS WORTH UP TO \$7,300 TO FAMILIES FROM SHELBY COUNTY AND METRO NASHVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO SEND THEIR CHILDREN TO PRIVATE SCHOOLS. UNFORTUNATELY, IN MAY 2020 THE CHANCERY COURT FOR DAVIDSON RULED THAT THE PROGRAM VIOLATED THE HOME RULE AMENDMENT OF THE TENNESSEE CONSTITUTION AND ENJOINED FURTHER IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROGRAM. NATU, BUILGUISSA, AND IJ ARE APPEALING THIS RULING TO PRESERVE A LIFELINE FOR FAMILIES WHO WOULD LIKE TO LEAVE PUBLIC SCHOOLS THAT DO NOT MEET THEIR CHILDREN'S NEEDS.

MORENCY, ET AL. V. STATE OF NEVADA, ET AL.

TO ENSURE THAT STUDENTS OF EVERY BACKGROUND HAVE THE EDUCATIONAL

OPPORTUNITY THEY NEED TO SUCCEED, THE STATE ESTABLISHED THE NEVADA

EDUCATIONAL CHOICE SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAM, A NEED-BASED PROGRAM FUNDED BY

PRIVATE DONATIONS INCENTIVIZED BY TAX CREDITS. TO ACCOMMODATE THE

STATE'S GROWING POPULATION AND INCREASING EDUCATION COSTS, THE LAW

INCREASED THE NUMBER OF TAX CREDITS AVAILABLE BY 10% ANNUALLY. THE

STATE REPEALED THIS SO-CALLED "ESCALATOR PROVISION" IN 2019, BUT THE 932212 09-06-19 Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
NEVADA CONSTITUTION DOES NOT ALLOW THE LEGISLATURE TO DO A	NYTHING TO
INCREASE TAX REVENUE WITHOUT A TWO-THIRDS VOTE IN BOTH THE	STATE HOUSE
AND SENATE, AND THE LEGISLATION PASSED WITH LESS THAN A TW	O-THIRDS
MAJORITY. NEVADA PARENTS, A SCHOLARSHIP ORGANIZATION, AND	PRIVATE
DONORS TEAMED UP WITH IJ TO FILE SUIT IN NEVADA STATE COUR	T CHALLENGING
THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE REDUCTION IN TAX CREDITS. IN	MAY 2020, A
JUDGE UPHELD THE 2019 CHANGE. WE ARE APPEALING THIS RULING	•
GILLIS, ET AL. V. HASSON, JR., ET AL.	
IN MAINE, THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE AND THE FIRST LIBERTY	INSTITUTE
FILED A FEDERAL LAWSUIT IN AUGUST 2018 TO OVERTURN A STATE	LAW THAT
EXCLUDES PARENTS WHO SELECT RELIGIOUS OPTIONS FROM PARTICI	PATING IN THE
NATION'S SECOND-OLDEST SCHOOL CHOICE PROGRAM. MAINE'S "TUI	TIONING"
PROGRAM, WHICH ENABLES PARENTS IN TOWNS THAT DO NOT OPERAT	E PUBLIC HIGH
SCHOOLS TO CHOOSE THE PUBLIC OR PRIVATE SCHOOL THAT BEST S	UITS THEIR
CHILDREN'S NEEDS, HAS BARRED RELIGIOUS OPTIONS FOR NEARLY	FOUR DECADES.
BUT BY SINGLING OUT RELIGIOUS SCHOOLS, AND ONLY RELIGIOUS	SCHOOLS, FOR
DISCRIMINATION, MAINE IS VIOLATING BOTH THE RELIGIOUS FREE	DOM AND EQUAL
PROTECTION GUARANTEES IN THE U.S. CONSTITUTION. LAST JUNE,	A DISTRICT
COURT JUDGE UPHELD THE EXCLUSIVE, ANTI-CHOICE LAW. THE CAS	E IS NOW ON
APPEAL AT THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 1ST CIRCUIT. I	J'S LANDMARK
U.S. SUPREME COURT VICTORY IN ESPINOZA V. MONTANA IN JUNE	SHOWS THAT
MAINE'S RESTRICTION IS CLEARLY UNCONSTITUTIONAL.	
SUMMIT CHRISTIAN ACADEMY, ET AL. V. MEOTTI, ET AL.	

WASHINGTON'S WORK-STUDY PROGRAM IS A FINANCIAL AID PROGRAM THAT

932212 09-06-19

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1744337
PROVIDES FUNDING FOR LOW- AND MIDDLE-INCOME STUDENTS WHO W	ANT TO EARN
MONEY DURING COLLEGE, OFTEN BY WORKING IN JOBS THAT RELATE	TO THEIR
FIELD OF STUDY. PARTICIPATING EMPLOYERS RANGE FROM NONPROF	IT
ORGANIZATIONS TO BUSINESSES AND GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, BUT A	LL HAVE ONE
THING IN COMMON: IN ORDER TO PARTICIPATE, THE EMPLOYER AND	THE POSITION
A STUDENT WOULD FILL MUST NOT HAVE ANY RELIGIOUS AFFILIATION	ON. THE U.S.
CONSTITUTION REQUIRES GOVERNMENT TO BE NEUTRAL TOWARD RELIG	GION, NEITHER
FAVORING NOR DISFAVORING IT. THAT IS WHY IJ TEAMED UP WITH	A SECTARIAN
EMPLOYER - A PRIVATE CHRISTIAN SCHOOL - AND A UNIVERSITY ST	IUDENT GROUP
IN AUGUST 2018 TO CHALLENGE WASHINGTON STATE'S SECTARIAN E	XCLUSION. IN
RESPONSE TO THE LAWSUIT, WASHINGTON ADOPTED NEW RULES SO TH	НАТ
WASHINGTON'S STUDENTS AND RELIGIOUS EMPLOYERS, FOR THE FIR:	ST TIME EVER,
NOW HAVE FULL FREEDOM TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROGRAM.	·····

FRIEND OF THE COURT BRIEFS

IN ADDITION TO LITIGATING THE ABOVE-DESCRIBED CASES, THE INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE FILED AMICUS BRIEFS IN THE FOLLOWING CASES BETWEEN JULY 1, 2019 AND JUNE 30, 2020:

J.K.J. V. POLK COUNTY, WISCONSIN

STATE OF WASHINGTON V. JOEL VILLELA

DAVID THOMPSON, ET AL. V. HEATHER HEBDON, ET AL.

JESUS HERNANDEZ, ET AL. V. JESUS MESA, JR

AMERICANS FOR PROSPERITY FOUNDATION V. XAVIER BECERRA

DOE V. IOWA

STATE OF KANSAS V. CHARLES GLOVER

CINDY MENDOZA, ET AL. V. MATTHEW L. GARRETT

932212 D9-06-19

95

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)	Page 2
Name of the organization	Employer identification number

INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

52-1744337

CTIA - THE WIRELESS ASSOCIATION V. CITY OF BERKELEY, CA II

CATHERINE REGINA HARPER, ET AL. V. PROFESSIONAL PROBATION SERVICES,

INC.

IN RE MERRILL LYNCH MORTGAGE INVESTORS TRUST MORTGAGE LOAN ASSET-BACKED

CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-RM2

FRED ROBINSON, ET AL. V. JEFF LONG

STATE OF ARIZONA V. WILLIAM MIXTON

ARIZONA ADVOCACY NETWORK, ET AL. V. CITIZENS CLEAN ELECTIONS

COMMISSION, ET AL. V. THE STATE OF ARIZONA

FNU TANZIN, ET AL. V. MUHAMMED TANVIR, ET AL.

VIOLET DOCK PORT, INC., L.L.C., V. DREW M. HEAPHY, ET AL.

ROXANNE TORRES V. JANET MADRID, ET AL.

MICAH JESSOP ET AL. V. CITY OF FRESNO, ET AL.

BETHANY AUSTIN V. STATE OF ILLINOIS

CITY OF CHICAGO V. ROBBIN L. FULTON, ET AL.

SEC V. BARRY D. ROMERIL

STATE OF WASHINGTON V. SHANNON B. BLAKE

CLYDE S. BOVAT V. STATE OF VERMONT

932212 09-06-19

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) (2019)